SUPPORTING RATIONALE:

When considering a variance request, Regional District staff will *generally* assess the proposal against the following criteria:

- is the proposed variance consistent with the general purpose and intent of the zone?
- is the proposed variance addressing a physical or legal constraint associated with the site (e.g., unusual parcel shape, topographical feature, statutory right-of-way, etc.)?
- is strict compliance with the zoning regulation unreasonable or un-necessary?
- will the proposed variance unduly impact the character of the streetscape or surrounding neighbourhood?

A request to change a zoning regulation should only be considered as a <u>last resort</u> to a design challenge. Please explain how the requested variance(s) meet the assessment criteria listed above:

Tes the proposed variance is consistent with the intended use of the land.

Tes this variance addresses a SRW.

No, strict compliance with zoning is not unreasonable as other neighboring properties have sheds/structures in place.

No, the proposed variance will not impact the streetscape or neighborhood as this is the rear of the property with no neighboring properties behind.

The reason for the variance is also to a mismeasurement, when the survey was done the property pins were offset and the construction workers measured from the offsets mstead of the property pins.