

# DCC Discussion

October 18<sup>th</sup>, 2016

#### NARAMATA WATER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

#### OVERVIEW OF DEVELOPMENT COST CHARGES (DCCs)



# AGENDA

#### Background

#### Development Cost Charges (DCC) overview

- What are they?
- What projects can DCCs cover?
- Who pays and when?
- DCC Process and Rate Calculations
- Current DCCs in Naramata
- Calculated DCC updates
- Pros and Cons of DCCs
- Other Cost Recovery Tools
- Suggested Approach for Naramata
- Discussion and Questions



Photo Credit: Picture BC



# BACKGROUND TO THE PROJECT

- Request to update DCCs
- Examined existing DCCs and capital projects
- Conducted an analysis of the water system
- Identified replacement and upgrades required to the system
- Identified capital projects required to service growth
- Report prepared in April 2014 (Complete with DCC calculations)
- Memo identifying that RDOS may wish to consider cost recovery options other than DCCs





# WHAT ARE DCCS?

A means of POOLING FUNDS from a number of developments to PAY FOR OFF-SITE CAPITAL PROJECTS which benefit them all.



# WHAT ARE DCCS?

Part 14, Division 19 of the Local Government Act

- Authorizes the collection of DCCs.
- DCCs are charges levied on developers to help pay for Infrastructure associated with growth





# What can DCCs Pay for?

To pay for the costs of expanding and upgrading community infrastructure to meet the needs of growth, including:

- Roads
- Sewer
- Water
- Drainage
- Parkland
- Park development













# WHO PAYS DCCS?

Applicants for subdivision approval to create single family development sites

Applicants for building permits to construct multi-family, commercial, industrial, and institutional development







## **BASIC DCC CALCULATION**





# **Benefit Allocations and Assist Factors**

#### Benefit allocations

- A fair sharing of costs
- Determine the portion that benefits existing development and
- the portion that benefits **new** development

#### Assist Factor

- Provides an assist to new development
- Even though the project (or part of the project) benefits **new** development, the RDOS and its
   **existing** taxpayers pay for it.





## DCC RECOVERABLE COSTS





# DCC CALCULATION PROCESS





### CURRENT DCCs - NARAMATA WATER SYSTEM

- \$5,700 per parcel for single family and per unit for multi family
- Based on 10 year capital plan prepared in 1994
- \$5,630,000 in expenditures a portion recovered by Capital Expenditure Charges
- \$2,858,000 / 500 lots = \$5,720 based on Capital Expenditure charges prepared for Naramata Irrigation District
- Report prepared to update the DCCs in 2014

   Most of the work required is to renew and maintain the existing system
   Very limited works required for growth





# GROWTH

Name	Estimated Units	
Kettle Ridge	50	
Palomino Estates	40	
Naramata Benchlands	58	
Naramata Town Core	60	
Total	208	





## **GROWTH AREAS**



# PROJECTS REQUIRED FOR GROWTH

Project Name	Cost (2014)	
Palomino duplex pump station	\$274,500	
Palomino Estates reservoir	\$424,500	
Naramata Benchlands duplex pump station	\$393,000	
Naramata Benchlands reservoir	\$462,000	
Total	\$1,554,000	





# PROJECTS REQUIRED FOR GROWTH



Land Use	DCC Rate	Unit
Single Detached	\$8,237	Per lot
Multi Family	\$5,107	Per unit





# GOOD DCC PROJECTS

- Planned well in advance
- Required if growth proceeds, not required if growth does not proceed
- Primarily benefits new development
- Community-wide projects
- Benefit can't be allocated to just a few properties or developers





Photo Credit: Tourism BC



# POOR DCC PROJECTS

- Required immediately
- Required primarily to rectify existing deficiencies
- Not related directly to growth
- Benefits only a small area, or one development, or a few land owners









# PROS AND CONS OF DCCs

#### Pros

- Some projects very appropriate for DCCs (i.e. development driven)
- Work well for community-wide systems that can't be allocated to one or two developments
- Reduces financial burden of water system development on RDOS
- DCCs fit as part of a broader financial strategy
- Benefiter pays for growth instead of using revenues from general water rates

#### Cons

- DCCs are not the answer to all new capital funding issues
- DCCs have a very specific application (i.e. community wide capital costs related to new growth only)
- RDOS still needs to pay a portion of costs
- Some projects not well suited for DCCs
- Collection timing is unpredictable, limiting how and when DCCs can be spent, and placing risk on RDOS.
- Requires additional administration from the RDOS

# ELIMINATING DCCS

- In some cases deleting the DCC bylaw can make sense
- No growth occurring
- No projects required to service growth
- Growth related projects only service a few developments
- Development can pay for growth through other means:
  - Pay all costs up front
  - Development Agreements
  - Local Service Areas
  - Latecomers



Photo Credit: Kenneth D. Hall





# NARAMATA GROWTH PROJECTS

- Few projects required for Growth (most are required to address existing deficiencies)
- Benefits only a small area, or one development, or a few land owners
- Benefit is mainly for two developments:
  - Naramata Benchlands
  - Palomino Estates
- Two projects can be allocated to Naramata Benchlands
- Two projects can be allocated to Palomino Estates





# Potential risks of using DCCs for these projects

- The Regional District will need to build projects before development proceeds
- Development might not proceed, or may proceed slowly
- Regional District takes risk of building project, while DCC revenues are uncertain
- Rate payers may need to pay for projects required to service new development





# Potential benefits of using DCCs for these projects

- May encourage development
- Benefit to developers, who won't have to build the project, and only need to pay as lots are approved
- Limited risk if development proceeds predictably and quickly
- Familiar tool that has been used in the past





### Why take the risk if other, more appropriate, cost recovery tools are available?



Photo Credit: G Gaspari Photo





# OTHER COST RECOVERY TOOLS



Our suggestion is to consider the use of **development agreements**.





# DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS

- Relatively straight forward
- Developers of Naramata Benchland and Palomino Estates need to build pumps and reservoirs before their development can proceed
- Developer takes the risk
- If they build pumps and reservoirs, and development does not proceed, they incur the costs, not the Regional District





# CONCLUSION

Discussion and Questions?





