

Electoral Area “H”

Official Community Plan Update Consultation Plan (2026)



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The purpose of this Consultation Plan is to establish an engagement process as the Regional District updates the Electoral Area “H” Official Community Plan (OCP) Bylaw.

This Consultation Plan is intended to meet Section 475 (Consultation during development of official community plan) of the *Local Government Act* as well as the Regional District Board’s *OCP Bylaw Preparation Consultation Policy* (2008).

1.2 Statutory Requirements

For the purposes of Section 475 of the Act, the Regional District must provide one (1) or more opportunities it considers appropriate for consultation with persons, organizations and authorities it considers will be affected by the proposed OCP. This includes:

- (a) consider whether the opportunities for consultation with one or more of the persons, organizations and authorities should be early and ongoing, and*
- (b) specifically consider whether consultation is required with the following:*
 - (i) the board of the regional district in which the area covered by the plan is located, in the case of a municipal official community plan;*
 - (ii) the board of any regional district that is adjacent to the area covered by the plan;*
 - (iii) the council of any municipality that is adjacent to the area covered by the plan;*
 - (iv) first nations;*
 - (v) boards of education, greater boards and improvement district boards;*
 - (vi) the Provincial and federal governments and their agencies.*

If the development of the OCP might affect agricultural land, the Regional District *must* also consult with the Agricultural Land Commission, and if it includes the whole or any part of one or more school districts, the Regional District must consult with the boards of education for those school districts.

Importantly, the consultation noted above is to be in addition to a formal public hearing requirement that is specified at Section 477 of the Act.

1.3 Board Policy

Amongst other things, the Board’s *OCP Bylaw Preparation Consultation Policy* (2008), suggests that consultation may involve a variety of methods, including information meetings, open houses, flyers, surveys, dialogue and/or written correspondence.

2.0 COMMUNITY OVERVIEW

2.1 Location

Electoral Area “H” is the largest of the eight (8) electoral areas within the Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen (RDOS), encompassing approximately 4,808 km² and representing approximately 46% of the total regional land base.

The Electoral Area encompasses the rural lands surrounding the Town of Princeton and the rural communities of Tulameen, Coalmont, Eastgate, the Princeton-Summerland Corridor (Chain Lake and Osprey Lake), Allison/Borgeson Lakes, Missezula Lake, Kennedy Lake, and Headwater Lakes, among others.

The area is situated in the Similkameen Valley and is served primarily by Highway 3 (Hope-Princeton Highway) and Highway 5A (Princeton-Merritt). The Town of Princeton, situated at the confluence of the Similkameen and Tulameen Rivers, serves as the principal service, commercial and administrative centre for the Electoral Area.



2.2 Key Demographic Considerations

Although the population of Electoral Area “H” was 2,232 persons at the time of the 2021 Census, the Electoral Area has a long history of significant seasonal or recreational homeownership, primarily by persons who otherwise reside in the “Lower Mainland”.

At the time the current OCP was being drafted (2009-2011), a majority of property owners resided **outside** of the Electoral Area (approximately 54.6%). As of the 2021 Census, this number has shifted subtly so that a majority of property owners now reside **within** the Electoral Area (approximately 51.5%).

Capturing the views of this “Shadow” or “Seasonal” population has been an on-going challenge with any update of the Electoral Area “H” OCP Bylaw and is further compounded by an uneven occurrence of this split at the local area level.

For instance, a majority of the permanent population has historically been clustered in the area immediately adjacent the Town of Princeton (e.g., the “Princeton Fringe Area”), with the

“Shadow” or “Seasonal” population occurring in seasonal/recreational communities such as Tulameen, Eastgate, Missezula Lake, Alison Lake and the Princeton-Summerland Corridor (Chain Lake and Osprey Lake).

The shift to permanent residents representing the majority of the population of Electoral Area “H” is considered attributable to in-migration in the form of remote workers, mine employees, retirees and individuals seeking more affordable real estate from the Lower Mainland and Okanagan Valley.

Many local governments establish land use policies that carry long-term implications based on the feedback of current residents and property owners. However, these same local governments may overlook population turnover which can potentially introduce new perspectives and demands within a relatively short period of time – which is an anticipated outcome of the community consultation in support of the OCP Update.

2.3 Short-Term Rental Accommodations (STR)

The current OCP was drafted prior to the British Columbia Supreme Court ruling in *Okanagan-Similkameen (Regional District) v. Leach, 2012 BCSC 63*, which established that property owners in the Okanagan Electoral Areas could lawfully operate short-term vacation rentals in a Residential zone.

Despite many of the “Local Areas” that comprise Electoral Area “H” having strong seasonal and recreational bases to their community, the Electoral Area was not included in the OCP amendments that provided direction to address “vacation rental” uses through the issuance of Temporary Use Permit (TUPs) from 2014 through to 2025.

Similarly, when the Regional District updated its electoral area OCP Bylaws in 2025 to reflect the introduction of the Short-Term Rental Accommodation Act by the province, Electoral Area “H” was not included in this process on the basis that a policy direction for STR uses would be undertaken as part of the OCP Review.

Accordingly, the new OCP must establish a policy framework to address STR activity in the Electoral Area that will balance economic opportunity against housing availability. Given the strong seasonal and recreational bases to their community, ensuring property owners from these “Local Areas” are appropriately captured in any community engagement process is imperative.

3.0 ENGAGEMENT PRINCIPLES

3.1 Introduction

The Regional District's approach to community engagement for the Electoral Area "H" OCP review will be guided by the principles outlined in this section.

3.2 Broad Participation

Given the distinctive demographic profile of Electoral Area "H" in which there are multiple different local communities and property ownership is nearly evenly split between permanent and seasonal populations, engagement methods must be designed to capture the voices of all residents.

3.3 Capturing Community Values

Community engagement will focus on eliciting community values, priorities, and broad policy objectives and is not to be viewed as an invitation to draft specific policy language or to influence the treatment of specific properties.

Policy drafting is a technical function that will be carried out by Regional District staff, informed by the community input received through the engagement process.

This approach respects the distinct roles of the community (to express values and priorities), elected officials (to make policy decisions), and professional staff (to translate both into effective OCP policy language).

3.4 Iterative Processes

Engagement will be sequential and iterative with the results of each phase of engagement informing the next phase.

3.5 Accessibility

Engagement materials and opportunities will be designed to be accessible to all members of the community, using multiple channels including:

- posting update materials on the Regional District's webpage;
- use online platforms for surveying;
- targeted and bulk mail notifications;
- email notifications through Voyent Alert!;
- social media postings;
- newspaper notifications; and
- direct community outreach (e.g., public information meetings).

Recognizing that internet access remains incomplete across parts of the Electoral Area, paper-based alternatives will be provided for all online engagement tools.

3.6 Techniques

This Consultation Plan uses a variety of techniques intended to encourage participation from the community. The goal is to educate the community and support collaboration and communication throughout the project.

3.6.1 Education Techniques

- OCP materials: documents and links posted on the Regional District's website including information releases, reports, presentation and other supporting documentation.
- Presentations: public information meetings (live and recorded).

3.6.2 Communication Techniques

- Online: through the course of the project, all OCP materials will be posted online including drafts of the bylaw, reports, presentations and other materials.
- Media Releases: scheduled information releases (using the Regional District's information release process) for OCP updates and upcoming consultation opportunities.
- Mass notification system: app based, email, text and phone call notifications advertising upcoming events and activities.
- Notices: notices will be posted as follows:
 - on the Regional District's event calendar;
 - on the Regional District's website; and
 - in consecutive editions of local newspapers (as applicable).
- Physical copies: physical copies of the materials will be available at the Regional District's office.

3.6.3 Collaboration Techniques

- Referrals: impacted groups and agencies will be invited to comment on draft versions of the OCP documents and will be asked at the beginning of the process how they wish to engage. This may include in-person or electronic meetings.
- Project webpage: public notices and feedback forms will be made available.
- Public meetings: public information meetings will include presentations and opportunities for dialogue with participants (Q&A).

3.7 Advisory Committee

Due to the number different local communities and property ownership being nearly evenly split between permanent and seasonal populations, the use of a citizen advisory committee, working group or similar standing body to guide the OCP review is not seen to be conducive to obtaining community input.

The use of advisory committees on previous land use projects has also presented a range of challenges beyond representativeness, including:

- unfamiliarity with legislative requirements that both govern and limit what can be done in relation to land use planning;
- a disproportionate focus on individual issues or interests that do not necessarily reflect broader community priorities;
- potential conflicts of interest and unfamiliarity with the obligations around this; and
- a tendency to advocate for an expansion of the project scope beyond that established by the Board.

For these reasons, a broad-based online survey approach is proposed in Phase 1 of this Plan (see Section 6.0) to produce more representative community input at lower cost and with less process risk.

4.0 WHO WILL BE CONSULTED

4.1 Introduction

In order to satisfy the “early and ongoing consultation” requirements under Section 475 of the *Local Government Act*, it is being proposed that the “persons, organizations and authorities” outlined in this section be consulted.

4.2 Residents & Property Owners

Section 475(1) of the Act requires the Board consider whether consultation is required with “persons...it considers will be affected”.

In response, it is being proposed that all residents and property owners in Electoral Area “H” be apprised, to the extent possible, of the OCP Update and be given an opportunity to provide feedback.

4.3 Regional Districts

Section 475(2)(b)(ii) of the Act requires the Board consider whether consultation is required with “the board of any regional district that is adjacent to the area covered by the plan”.

In response, it is being proposed that the Fraser Valley Regional District (FVRD) and Thompson Nicola Regional District (TNRD) be apprised of the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update and be given an opportunity to provide feedback.

4.4 Municipalities

Section 475(2)(b)(iii) of the Act requires the Board consider whether consultation is required with “the council of any municipality that is adjacent to the area covered by the plan”.

In response, it is being proposed that the Town of Princeton be apprised of the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update and be given an opportunity to provide feedback.

4.5 First Nations

Section 475(2)(b)(iv) of the Act requires the Board consider whether consultation is required with “first nations”.

In response, it is being proposed that the Upper Similkameen Indian Band (USIB), Lower Similkameen Indian Band (LSIB); and Okanagan Nation Alliance (ONA) be apprised of the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update and be given an opportunity to provide feedback.

Additional information regarding this requirement is contained in Section 5.0 of this Plan.

4.6 School Districts

Section 475(2)(b)(v) of the Act requires the Board consider whether consultation is required with “boards of education”.

In response, it is being proposed that School District No. 58 be apprised of the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update and be given an opportunity to provide feedback.

4.7 Improvement Districts

Section 475(2)(b)(v) of the Act requires the Board consider whether consultation is required with “greater boards and improvement district boards”.

In response, it is being proposed that the Alison Lake Improvement District be apprised of the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update and be given an opportunity to provide feedback.

4.8 Federal Government

Section 475(2)(b)(vi) of the Act requires the Board consider whether consultation is required with “the Provincial and federal governments and their agencies”.

In response, it is being proposed that Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Fisheries and Oceans Canada and Parks Canada be apprised of the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update and be given an opportunity to provide feedback.

4.9 Provincial Government

Section 475(2)(b)(vi) and Section 475(4) of the Act requires the Board consider whether consultation is required with “the Provincial and federal governments and their agencies” and the Agricultural Land Commission.

In response, it is being proposed that Agricultural Land Commission, BC Parks, Interior Health Authority (IHA), Ministry of Agriculture & Food, Ministry of Mining & Critical Minerals, Ministry of Transportation and Transit, Archeology Branch and Ministry of Water, Land, and Resource Stewardship be apprised of the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update and be given an opportunity to provide feedback.

4.10 Summary

The following table summarizes the various agencies proposed to be consulted as part of the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update (e.g., those indicated with a ☒):

MEMBER MUNICIPALITIES			
☐	City of Penticton	☐	Town of Oliver
☐	District of Summerland	☐	Town of Osoyoos
☒	Town of Princeton	☐	Village of Keremeos
FIRST NATIONS			
☒	Okanagan Nation Alliance (ONA)	☒	Lower Similkameen Indian Band (LSIB)
☐	Osoyoos Indian Band (OIB)	☒	Upper Similkameen Indian Band (USIB)
☐	Penticton Indian Band (PIB)		
SCHOOL DISTRICTS			
☐	School District No. 53 (Areas A, C & G)	☐	School District No. 67 (Areas D, E, F, I)
☒	School District No. 58 (Area H)		
REGIONAL DISTRICTS			
☐	Central Okanagan Regional District	☐	Kootenay Boundary Regional District
☒	Fraser Valley Regional District	☒	Thompson Nicola Regional District
IRRIGATION & IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS			
☒	Allison Lake Improvement District	☐	Keremeos Irrigation District
☐	Apex Mountain Resort (utilities)	☐	Lower Nipit Improvement District
☐	Boundary Line Irrigation District	☐	Meadow Valley Irrigation District
☐	Cawston Irrigation District	☐	Osoyoos Irrigation District
☐	Farleigh Lake Water Users Community	☐	Red Wing Resorts (Water System)
☐	Fairview Heights Irrigation District	☐	Rolling Hills Waterworks District
☐	Hedley Improvement District	☐	Similkameen Improvement District
☐	Kaleden Irrigation District	☐	Vaseux Lake Improvement District
FIRE DEPARTMENTS			
☐	Anarchist Mtn Volunteer Fire Department	☐	Oliver Fire Department
☐	Apex Volunteer Fire Department	☐	Osoyoos Fire Department
☐	Kaleden Volunteer Fire Department	☐	Penticton Fire Department
☐	Naramata Volunteer Fire Department	☐	Summerland Fire Department
☐	OK Falls Volunteer Fire Department	☐	Willowbrook Volunteer Fire Department
PROVINCIAL MINISTRIES & AGENCIES			
☒	Agricultural Land Commission (ALC)	☒	Ministry of Agriculture & Food
☒	Archaeology Branch	☐	Ministry of Energy & Climate Solutions
☒	BC Parks	☐	Ministry of Housing & Municipal Affairs
☐	Integrated Land Management Bureau	☐	Ministry of Infrastructure
☒	Interior Health Authority (IHA)	☒	Ministry of Mining & Critical Minerals
☐	Mountain Resort Branch	☒	Ministry of Transportation and Transit
		☒	Ministry of Water, Land, & Res.e Stewardship
FEDERAL MINISTRIES & AGENCIES			
☒	Canadian Wildlife Services	☒	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
☐	Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory	☒	Parks Canada
☒	Environment Canada		
OTHER			
☐	Fortis		

Other community groups, organizations and jurisdictions that may be identified during the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update could be incorporated into the engagement process.

5.0 FIRST NATIONS ENGAGEMENT

5.1 Purpose

The Regional District recognizes that the Electoral Area “H” OCP applies to lands situated within the traditional territories of various First Nations whose interests, cultural connections, and governance responsibilities may intersect with land use planning matters addressed through the OCP Bylaw Update process.

The purpose of First Nations engagement as part of the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update is to:

- foster respectful government-to-government relationships;
- provide opportunities for First Nations to identify interests, priorities, values, and land use considerations relevant to the OCP review;
- support ongoing reconciliation efforts;
- and improve awareness and consideration of First Nations perspectives in local land use planning.

5.2 Duty of the Crown

The Regional District acknowledges that matters related to Aboriginal rights, title, treaty negotiations, and the Crown’s duty to consult are the responsibilities of the Province of British Columbia and the Government of Canada.

The Electoral Area “H” OCP Update process and associated engagement activities are not intended to replace, duplicate, or fulfill Crown consultation obligations.

Participation by First Nations in the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update process is voluntary and intended to support information sharing, relationship-building, and consideration of First Nations interests within the scope of local government land use planning authority.

5.3 Engagement Principles

Engagement with First Nations will be guided by the following principles:

- respectful government-to-government dialogue;
- early notification of planning initiatives;
- openness to alternate engagement preferences and protocols;
- consideration of indigenous knowledge, land use interests, and cultural values where shared; and
- transparency regarding how indigenous input informs the OCP review process.

5.4 Proposed Engagement Methods

The Regional District may undertake one or more of the following engagement activities with First Nations during the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update:

-
- formal notification letters at project initiation and estimated key milestones;
 - information-sharing sessions with Regional District and applicable First Nation(s) staff;
 - opportunities to provide written comments, planning considerations, or statements of interest; and
 - review of the Draft OCP Bylaw prior to Board consideration of first reading.

First Nations may, at their discretion, provide written submissions identifying interests, values, planning priorities, cultural considerations, environmental concerns, or land use matters that they wish the Regional District Board to consider during the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update process.

Any information shared by First Nations will be handled respectfully and incorporated into the planning process where appropriate and authorized for public inclusion.

5.5 Reporting

In accordance with the Regional District Board’s *OCP Bylaw Preparation Consultation Policy* (2008), a summary of First Nations engagement activities and outcomes undertaken during the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update process will be presented to the Board prior to consideration of first reading of any new OCP Bylaw.

It is recognized that certain cultural, archaeological, ecological, or traditional knowledge information may be sensitive or confidential. Accordingly, any such information shared by a First Nation(s) will be managed in accordance with applicable legislation, agreements, and mutually understood expectations regarding disclosure and use.

5.6 First Nations to be Engaged

It is proposed that the following First Nations be engaged in “early and on-going” consultation in relation to the Electoral Area “H” OCP Update:

- Upper Similkameen Indian Band (USIB);
- Lower Similkameen Indian Band (LSIB); and
- Okanagan Nation Alliance (ONA).

6.0 PROPOSED COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

6.1 Introduction

Community engagement under this Consultation Plan is organized into three (3) phases:

- Phase 1: Area-Wide Community Survey
An online survey open to all residents and property owners across Electoral Area "H", designed to establish a broad baseline of community values, priorities and concerns.
- Phase 2: Community-Level Engagement
Targeted engagement with individual communities within Electoral Area "H" on specific questions, including short-term rental accommodation policy, and any other items that are identified from the responses to Phase 1.
- Phase 3: Presentation of Draft OCP
To present the Draft OCP and provide a final round of public input prior to the Regional Board's consideration of first reading.

Phases 1 & 2 will be delivered primarily by a consultant or consulting team engaged through a competitive process. The RFP may be structured to allow proponents to bid on one or both phases or may be issued as two separate procurement processes.

6.2 Phase 1: Area-Wide Community Survey

6.2.1 Objective

To establish a broad, representative baseline of community values, priorities, and concerns to inform the update of the OCP, including the identification of any issues beyond short-term rental accommodations, that require further community-level engagement in Phase 2 or through other means.

6.2.2 Survey Design and Content

The survey will be designed by the engaged consultant in consultation with Regional District staff in order to:

- Capture demographic and residential information (permanent vs. seasonal residence, community of residence, tenure, years in the area) to allow disaggregated analysis while maintaining respondent anonymity;
- Assess community values and priorities across the key OCP policy themes, including: population growth and housing supply, housing diversity and affordability, aging population and seniors services, rural character and land use, environment and natural hazards including wildfire, economic development and broadband infrastructure, recreation and tourism, and inter-jurisdictional matters;
- Use a combination of scaled rating questions, required ranking questions and/or open-ended questions to produce both quantitative and qualitative data; and

-
- Be available in an online format with paper copies available upon request.

6.2.3 Promotion & Distribution

The survey will be promoted and distributed through the following channels:

- mail to Electoral Area “H” property owners and residents;
- phone/text/email notifications through Voyent Alert! to applicable registrants;
- social media promotion through Regional District accounts;
- information release and media outreach;
- notification in local newspapers (physical and digital);
- Regional District website promotion.

6.2.4 Reporting

A Summary Report (“What We Heard”) will be prepared and presented to the Board for its consideration following completion of Phase 1. The Board’s consideration of Phase 1 findings will inform the scope and sequencing of Phase 2 engagement.

It is anticipated that the Summary Report will include a description of methodology and response rate; demographic profile of respondents; quantitative and qualitative analysis of survey responses; identification of areas of broad community consensus and areas of divergence; and a summary of key findings.

6.3 Phase 2: Community-Level Engagement

6.3.1 Objective

To provide residents in local areas an opportunity to participate in a focused discussion on short-term rental (STR) accommodation uses as well as any other items identified as requiring further input from the Phase 1 Survey results.

6.3.2 Policy Context

On the matter of STRs, Electoral Area “H” is unique as approximately 48.5% of the total dwelling units are seasonal or recreational and, it is assumed, STR activity is prevalent within many communities.

It is also unknown if the policy preferences related to the regulation of STR uses in Electoral Area “H” differ across local areas (e.g., is what may be appropriate in Tulameen or Coalmont different from what residents in the Princeton Fringe area consider appropriate?).

Community-level engagement *may* be necessary to ensure that the new OCP’s STR policies reflect the distinct character, needs, and preferences of each community.

NOTE: it is anticipated that Phase 1 engagement with residents and property owners will reveal initial preferences regarding the regulation of STR uses that can be built on during Phase 2 engagement.

Other items emerging from the Phase 1 engagement that require further exploration can also be addressed in Phase 2.

6.3.3 Communities to be Engaged

It is anticipated that engagement could be conducted in or for each of the following communities:

- Allison / Borgeson Lakes
- Coalmont
- Eastgate
- Headwater Lakes
- Kennedy Lake
- Missezula Lake
- Princeton Fringe
- Tulameen
- Princeton-Summerland Corridor

The most appropriate engagement format for each community, which may include in-person open house or facilitated discussion events, online video sessions, or a combination of formats, having regard to the geographic remoteness of some communities, the seasonal character of others, and the relative size of each community will be determined in future.

6.3.4 In-Person Meetings

Due to the geographic remoteness of some communities, the seasonal character of others, and the relative size of each community it is not clear if in-person Public Information Meetings (PIMs) will best facilitate community engagement as part of Phase 2 engagement.

If, however, the initial Phase 1 engagement indicates a need for further public education and in-person discussion that cannot be addressed through the primary engagement format, the scheduling of a PIM can be reconsidered.

6.3.5 Reporting

A Summary Report (“Here’s What Else We Heard”) will be prepared and presented to the Board for its consideration following completion of Phase 2.

It is anticipated that the Summary Report will include a description of methodology and response rate; demographic profile of respondents; quantitative and qualitative analysis of survey responses; identification of areas of broad community consensus and areas of divergence; and a summary of key findings.

6.4 Phase 3: Presentation of Draft OCP

6.4.1 Objective

To present a draft of the updated Electoral Area “H” Official Community Plan to the community for a final round of public input prior to the Regional Board's consideration of first reading, ensuring that residents have had a meaningful opportunity to review and respond to the proposed policies before the formal statutory process — including the public hearing — commences.

6.4.2 Engagement Activities

Following completion of Phase 2, a draft of the updated Electoral Area “H” OCP will be prepared and presented to the Board at Committee to ensure it reflects the Board's policy direction before it is released for a final round of public engagement.

This final round of engagement will utilize the same communication channels outlined at Section 6.2.3 (see above), and will include a draft of the OCP, including map schedules, posted to the project webpage on the Regional District’s website.

Feedback on the draft OCP will be accepted for a period of four (4) weeks and can be submitted electronically or physically (e.g., to the Regional District office).

During this feedback period, staff will convene one (1) online Public Information Meeting (PIM) as well as one (1) in-person PIM at a venue within the Town of Princeton.

Phase 3 will be the final opportunity for the community to provide input on the draft OCP before the formal statutory process begins (e.g., consideration of first reading), however, the opportunity to submit comments up until the close of any public hearing scheduled in relation to the OCP bylaw will remain available to residents and property owners.

6.4.3 Reporting

Following the close of the Phase 3 comment period, staff will prepare an Administrative Report responding to the requirements of the Board’s *OCP Bylaw Preparation Consultation Policy* (2008) and *Land Use Bylaw Transition Policy* (2016), specifically, a summary of:

- “the consultation ... undertaken, [and] if additional consultation with external agencies, persons, organizations and authorities is required”;
- “significant policy changes” contained within the Draft Official Community Plan (OCP) Bylaw; and
- “current subdivision proposals which may be affected by proposed changes to the regulations ... comprised within the Bylaw.”

Subject to the direction provided by the Board in response to these reporting requirements, the draft OCP will be brought forward for consideration of first reading.

7.0 INDICATIVE TIMELINE

The table below shows the proposed timing of different consultation activities and identifies the roles and responsibilities of the Regional District. The scheduling of these events is *indicative only* and dependent upon earlier stages of engagement being successfully completed on schedule as proposed:

WHAT	WHO	WHEN	COMMENTS
Creation of Project Webpage	RDOS Staff	August 2026	Preparation of Background Materials
Notice of OCP Update Project	Affected Agencies First Nations*	September 2026	To request initial comments or feedback
Public Engagement (Phase 1)	Residents	September-Nov. 2026	Requires engagement of consultant to assist with survey
Report on Phase 1 Results	Board	January 2027	Findings to be presented at Committee
Public Engagement (Phase 2)	Public	June 2027	Timing will be dependent on consultant availability
Report on Phase 2 Results	Board	September 2027	Findings to be presented at Committee
Finalization of Draft OCP	RDOS Staff	September – Nov. 2027	To incorporate direction provided by the Board
Public Engagement (Phase 3)	Public	Nov. 2027 – Jan. 2028	Feedback on Draft OCP opens, includes PIMs
Report on Phase 3 Results	Board	February 2028	Findings to be presented at Committee
Commence formal adoption process	Board	March 2028	OCP Bylaw is considered at first reading

* engagement with First Nations will occur throughout this Timeline and in accordance with the methods outlined at Section 5.0 of this Consultation Plan