

Lesley Gibbons

From: Shannon Duong
Sent: September 2, 2025 8:37 AM
To: Planning
Subject: FW: 1018 Veteran Dr. F2025 024.DVP Feedback

FYI found in my spam folder.

Shannon Duong, MRM ? Planner II
Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen
101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC V2A 5J9 p. 250-490-4384 ? tf. 1.877-610-3737 ? f. 250-492-0063 www.rdos.bc.ca
? sduong@rdos.bc.ca

****Please note that my days of work are Tuesday through Friday. Urgent queries should be directed to planning@rdos.bc.ca****

I acknowledge that I work within the traditional, unceded territory of the syilx people in the Okanagan Nation.

This Communication is intended for the use of the recipient to which it is addressed, and may contain confidential, personal and/ or privileged information. Please contact the sender immediately if you are not the intended recipient of this communication and do not copy, distribute or take action relying on it. Any communication received in error, or subsequent reply, should be deleted or destroyed.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dawna [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, August 31, 2025 12:46 PM
To: Planning <planning@rdos.bc.ca>
Subject: 1018 Veteran Dr. F2025 024.DVP Feedback

[Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

Hi

I received a neighbourhood DVP notification recently re 1018 Veteran Dr. I have reviewed the printed information and the online reports. I already find the yellow boundary information on the printed handout confusing because it appears to not include a large parking area that seems extend onto [REDACTED] So what is the actual property boundary of this property and has it already been granted a large easement for parking from the [REDACTED] neighbour?

The front of the home at 1018 also already seems very close to the front property line which may explain why their existing deck is small. So are they really asking to build a raised large deck upslope to their neighbour below, and within about 0.6 m from the property line? This is so imposing and while I'm sure they'd enjoy that, why in the world would any neighbour agree to permitting that? Just because they're lucky enough that their neighbour isn't also situated 10 ft from the property line doesn't mean they should be able to take advantage of the space their neighbours yard provides. The people in 1018 have the house they purchased. If it had been properly set back in the first place this wouldn't be an issue but it's not and it's not their neighbours fault to remedy.

I find this application unreasonably imposing, affecting privacy and enjoyment and frankly quite rude. The building set backs are there to protect neighbours not to take advantage of by requesting variances.

If I misunderstand the drawings, I'd be glad to review my comments and reassess.

Thanks for inviting feedback.

Dawna Plato