From:

McBurnie, Michael ALC:EX

To:

Planning

Subject: RE: By

Date:

RE: Bylaw Referral - Greater West Bench Geotech Review (F2021.018-ZONE)

January 14, 2022 1:27:33 PM

Attachments:

image001.png image003.png

Hi Lauri,

Thank you for referring this bylaw amendment to the ALC for review. I don't see any areas where this amendment overlaps with ALR land. The study area is adjacent to the ALR in a few areas. We typically recommend that local governments consider buffering between non-agricultural uses and ALR land when proposing any changes that may have an impact on adjacent agricultural land, but I don't believe that applies in this case. The measures proposed do not appear to contemplate any new uses that would affect adjacent ALR land.

If you have any further questions, please let me know:

Michael McBurnie (he/him)
Regional Planner, Northeast, Interior, and Okanagan Regions
Agricultural Land Commission
michael.mcburnie@gov.bc.ca | 236.468.3246



Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

OKANAGAN. SIMILKAMEEN

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9 Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO:		Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen FILE NO.: F2021.018-ZONI				
FROM:		Name:	John Chapman			
				(please print)	
		Street Address:	a sa mare Ammerican management	·,		
E	Electora Electora	l Area "F" Zoning I	Review Update Community Plan (OPC) Am Amendment Bylaw No. 246		law No. 2790.	04
Му со	nments	/ concerns are:				
	ا <u>do</u>	support the propo	sed amendments to the Ele	ectoral Area	"F" OCP and Zo	oning Bylaws.
		support the propo ect to the commer	sed amendments to the Elents listed below.	ectoral Area	"F" OCP and Zo	oning Bylaws,
	i <u>do</u>	not support the pr	oposed amendments to th	e Electoral A	rea "F" OCP an	d Zoning Bylaws.
			eceived from this informati prior to 1 st reading of Amer			

To clarify, I support some of the proposed amendments, but I do not support all of them. Specifically:

Area F OCP Amendment Bylaw No. 2790.04

- I support all proposed amendments except 17.4.1 Policy .3 which reads: (The Regional Board) "Supports restricting densities in the Greater West Bench Area due to geotechnical hazards by prohibiting accessory dwellings, secondary suites and establishing larger lot parcel sizes for the subdivision of land."

The West Bench/Sage Mesa area needs infrastructure improvements including sewer and better storm water management. I agree that densification should be limited without these infrastructure improvements, but feel specifying under what conditions densification will be permitted, will lead to these necessary improvements becoming a reality.

This may be as simple as adding wording such as: ", unless such densification is serviced
by sewer and stormwater management system.". Such wording would also provide consistency
with other sections of the current Area F OCP Bylaw.
D. I. N. 2001 21
Area F Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2461 .21
- I support proposed amendment 2. i) regarding prohibiting swimming pools, particularly in
areas designated as High Hazard or lacking storm drainage infrastructure.
- I do not support proposed amendments 2. ii) and iii) regarding increasing minimum parcel size
to 2.0 ha.
I question both the need for such increase, considering that MoTI is not approving subdivisions
without the sewer and storm drainage management, and the deletion of the minimum parcel size
subject to servicing (sewer/storm drainage).
Again, these infrastructure improvements are needed to address existing geotechnical concerns
and, as in the current Area F OCP Bylaw, amendments and future Bylaws should reflect that.
and, as in the current Area P OCP bylaw, amendments and luture bylaws should reserve and
Thank you for your consideration.



Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

OKANAGAN-SIMILKAMEEN 101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9
Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO:	Regional District	Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen			FILE NO.:	F2021.018-ZONE
FROM:	Name:	M K Chapman				
	Street Address:	,	7. Cir.	10		
Ele Ele	est Bench Geotechnica ctoral Area "F" Official ctoral Area "F" Zoning	Community Plan			t Bylaw No. 2790).04
My comr	nents / concerns are:					
	I <u>do</u> support the prop	osed amendmen	ts to the El	ectoral A	rea "F" OCP and	Zoning Bylaws.
	I <u>do</u> support the prop subject to the comme			ectoral A	rea "F" OCP and	Zoning Bylaws,
	I <u>do not</u> support the p	proposed amendr	nents to th	e Electoi	ral Area "F" OCP a	and Zoning Bylaws.

Written submissions received from this information meeting will be considered by the Regional District Board prior to 1st reading of Amendment Bylaw Nos. 2790.04 & 2461.21.

- Although the Geotechnical Report recommendations include limiting pools, which I support, the recommendations <u>do not</u> include increasing minimum lot size.
- We need sewer and other improvements and growth to make them affordable, so I cannot support Bylaw amendments that don't promote growth (with sewer)



already completed outbuildings?

TO:

Feedback Form

FILE NO.:

F2021.018-ZONE

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

FROM:	Name:Alex _Gardner
	(please print)
DR _.	Street Address:
Elect	Bench Geotechnical Review Update oral Area "F" Official Community Plan (OPC) Amendment Bylaw No. 2790.04 oral Area "F" Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2461.21
My comme	ents / concerns are:
	<u>do</u> support the proposed amendments to the Electoral Area "F" OCP and Zoning Bylaws.
	<u>do</u> support the proposed amendments to the Electoral Area "F" OCP and Zoning Bylaws, ubject to the comments listed below.
х <u> </u> І	do not support the proposed amendments to the Electoral Area "F" OCP and Zoning Bylaws.
	Written submissions received from this information meeting will be considered by the gional District Board prior to 1 st reading of Amendment Bylaw Nos. 2790.04 & 2461.21.
	ile I did find the report thorough and informative, I was less than impressed with
	a very arbitrary decision in the presentation of bylaws not allowing housing options
	carriage houses and accessory building dwellings. As there is a great need for
	lations in the greater Penticton area, I feel that making a blanket decision concerning
	ny rental properties lacks foresight. We are an empty nester household, our children
	ed on and now the home that held 5 is just 2. Our septic system has been well
	d and we have never had any issues arise. If I was planning on applying for a TUP
	erm rentals we would have the system certified. I am wondering why the same
process co	uld not be put in place for families who wish to rent a portion of their home or

I believe it is time to draft new bylaws to allow suites which would allow for densification of our area in a measured approach. At present, renting out a suite or having in-laws is based on good will of neighbours not reporting infringements. By making the process legal in terms of following bylaws, then I think this would create more harmony within neighbourhoods.

We live in a great area, and it is changing with the times. I do despise the addition of the crazy size garages which have popped up and I certainly do not want to see mammoth sized suites or carriage houses being added. A measured approach regulated with bylaws would allow our area to keep the redeeming space and tranquility people so enjoy here and expand the availability of much needed housing.

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to January 14, 2022.



Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO:	Regional Distri	ct of Okanagan	Similkameen	FILE NO.:	F2021.018-ZONE
FROM:	Name:	Heathe	r Cooper		
			(plea	se print)	
	Street Address	: <u> </u> :	· · · · ·	, BC	
El€	est Bench Geotechnic ectoral Area "F" Offic ectoral Area "F" Zonir	ial Community	Plan (OPC) Amend	ment Bylaw No. 2790	0.04
My com	ments / concerns are:				
	I <u>do</u> support the pro	posed amendr	ments to the Elector	al Area "F" OCP and a	Zoning Bylaws.
	I <u>do</u> support the pro subject to the comm			al Area "F" OCP and 2	Zoning Bylaws,
I do not support the proposed amendments to the Electoral Area "F" OCP and Zoning Byla				nd Zoning Bylaws.	
R	Written submissions Regional District Board	received from d prior to 1 st rea	this information me ading of Amendmer	eeting will be conside at Bylaw Nos. 2790.04	red by the & 2461.21.
	do not support the				
	nical Report and is				
	ewer system and sto lots and future dev			d in this area to ens	(5)
-					
					

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to January 14, 2022.

From: To: Niklaus Durisek Riley Gettens; Planning

Kate Durisek

Cc: Subject:

West Bench Geotechnical Review January 17, 2022 9:42:14 AM

To whom it may concern,

I am replying to proposed zoning changes for the West bench. After looking at the review, I had a few comments.

- 1. Looking at the 12 documented geohazards since 1992 (30 years), it seems like that is pretty minimal, and probably not too uncommon for the period of time for soil to shift. Of these events, most are events where animals or humans fell into a sinkhole most likely on the KVR trail that has been poorly maintained, if at all. A few of the others are due to broken irrigation pipes or water lines that sometimes go unnoticed for long periods of time, which makes sense that erosion would occur if left unattended. Some of the others are due to road construction in Sage Mesa. There are only 3 that involve properties with potential damage to the structures, with only one of these being a pool, with uneven ground underneath. This could most likely have been from poor planning from the contractor, not using enough crush to support the load of the pool.
- 2. Looking at the majority of the properties in the West Bench and Sage Mesa, very few are in the areas of highest concern. Most properties lie in the area of low land slide possibility as well as low to moderate chance for sinkholes. So to restrict all residence in the West Bench and Sage Mesa area does not make sense. Most of the areas of concern are along gullies, where most likely there is natural flow of run-off, which in turn overtime would have some type of erosion to occur.
- 3. The supposed count of 301 sinkholes from air, field work and anecdotal compared to the 97 confirmed with it being unclear why there is such a contrasts, seems to be again trying to stretch the concern, especially since this over a 30 period with a majority of them being from the cliffs and or gullies in the area, which again is a very small area where there are few homes, properties or structures of concern.
- 4. Things that should be addressed is the volume of rock that is being pulled from the West Hills Arregrate, as well as the large dump trucks that are constantly driving up through the West Bench causing damage to the roads with the heavy loads and throwing rock and debris from the overfilled loads which can be seen all over the road on the path from West Hills Aggregate. In the 10 years that I have been a West Bench resident, I have noticed the increase of dump truck traffic as well as major decrease of rock and soil from the hillside that could also cause more land erosion issues. I feel that the Penticon Indian band should be more conscious of their potential of causing more damage to the area, and should be using their roads (WestHills Rd) to the industrial zone off of Green Mountain Road, instead of Bartlett and West Bench Hills Road.
- 5. The KVR trail which is used by not only West Bench and Sage Mesa residents but also other locals and visitors should be maintained better. Yes there are sinkholes, but to leave them unattended for years is not the answer. Who is responsible for taking care of the Trail? Is this PIB, RDOS, or does it fall under crown land? I feel that the buck to fix these issues is just pushed around with none of it ever being addressed. I have noticed that a few of the sinkholes are actually from improper drainage from the 3 bridges. During heavy rainfall, you can clearly see the drain pipes flowing directly onto the trail, which is causing it to errode. The drain pipes could easily be directly to gullies to help reduce the erosion along the trail ways.

6. I feel that denying any request for pool installation is unfair. We purchased our property in the West Bench due to the larger lot size which has the space for a pool. Not every resident in the West Bench wants to have a pool. How many residents are even considering putting in a pool? Maybe this question should be asked so that there is more clarity on the potential influx of water issues. My home is in an area of low landslide and moderate sinkhole development. I understand that some properties along the gullies or cliffs should not be allowed to have pools installed. I feel that it should be on a case by case basis, and if the property considering the development of pools or other structures could be required to have the land surveyed to see if there are any issues before developing. To say that no new pools or stucutures can be built in the entire West Bench and Sage Mesa area is unfair, and I appeal this consideration.

In closing, I feel that these restrictions should not be imposed without more research or more communication with the community on how we want to address these issues that have been slowly happening over the last 30 years.

Thank you for your time. I look forward to hearing your response.

Nik & Kate Durisek

Penticton BU VZA 8X4

RESPONSE SUMMARY

☐ Approval Recommended for Reasons Outlined Below	☐ Interests Unaffected by Bylaw
☐ Approval Recommended Subject to Conditions Below	☐ Approval Not Recommended Due to Reasons Outlined Below
No comments.	
Signature:	Signed By:Tanya Osborne
Agency:Interior Health	Title:Community Health Facilitator
Date: Jan 10, 2022	

From: RONALD JOHNSON

To: Christopher Garrish

Subject: RE: West Bench Geotechnical Review

Date: January 14, 2022 10:35:38 AM

Hi Chris, ... I would say that a 2 hectare subdivision limit is too much. The current 1 hectare has proven to be quite effective in shutting down subdivision. There is no need to increase this number. Please take my other remarks into consideration. Thanks, Ron Johnson.



Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO:	Regional Distr	ict of Okanagan Similkameen	FILE NO.:	F2021.018-ZONE
FROM:	Name:	Barry Leigh(pl	ease print)	
	Street Addres	s:		
Elec	st Bench Geotechn ctoral Area "F" Offic ctoral Area "F" Zon	ical Review Update cial Community Plan (OPC) Amer ing Amendment Bylaw No. 2461.	idment Bylaw No. 279 21	0.04
My comn	nents / concerns are		4-11-2-2	D.I
		roposed amendments to the Elect		
		oposed amendments to the Elect Iments listed below.	oral Area "F" OCP and	Zoning Bylaws,
$\square x$	I <u>do not</u> support th	e proposed amendments to the I	Electoral Area "F" OCP	and Zoning Bylaws.
	Written submission Regional District Bo	ons received from this information ard prior to 1 st reading of Amend	n meeting will be consi ment Bylaw Nos. 2790	dered by the .04 & 2461.21.
My wife a sole occupliving and our prope	nd I are long time respants of our 3200 sq foot being on a fixed incoerty. Although we ha	isider this bylaw amendment as it peridents of the West Bench and like a st home. We like our home and we eme it is becoming more costly to me ve never rented any part of our home to allow us to meet those costs.	lot of people living here with the mode in the West Bench, but het daily expenses as well he, we would like to have	we are retired and the t with the rising cost of as keep and maintain
regulate t	he size of accessory b	amendments, it would be good if thouldings so as to control the erection neighbourhood.	n of large commercial siz	by-law that would ed structures such as
=				

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to January 14, 2022.

From:

Fox, Alison AFF:EX

To:

Planning

Cc:

Gyug, Philip AFF:EX

Subject:

RE: Bylaw Referral - Greater West Bench Geotech Review (F2021.018-ZONE)

Date:

January 14, 2022 2:17:42 PM

Attachments:

image001.png image003.png

Good afternoon,

Ministry staff consider the ministry's interests unaffected by the above noted OCP and Zoning Bylaw amendments. Please let me know if you have any questions, but otherwise we will not be providing a formal response to this referral.

Thank you for the opportunity to review the bylaw amendments. Sincerely,

Alison Fox, P.Ag. Land Use Agrologist Strengthening Farming Program BC Ministry of Agriculture Food and Fisheries 778 666-0566 Alison.Fox@gov.bc.ca

Ministry Mission: World leading stewardship of land, farm and food systems for the health and prosperity of **British Columbians**

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE. This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this e-mail and any attachments is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact me immediately by return e-mail and delete this copy from your system. Thank you.

P Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Application Summary eDAS File Number: 2021-06420

Approval Application:

Approval Type:

Bylaw Text Amendment

Selected Office:

Penticton Area Office

Applicant File Number:

D2021.019-ZONE (Agur) BL 2455.49

Project Description:

Bylaw Amendment to permit mini storage and outdoor storage

Other Information:

Location:

Order Location

Property Address: 1830 Alba Road

Legal Description: Lot 1, Plan KAP87398, DL10, SDYD

Approval Application Details:

Local Government: RDOS

Existing Zoning: Low Density Residential Duplex Site Specific Bylaw Number: 2455.49

Intended Zoning:

Alba Road Comprehensive Development (CD12) Zone

Existing Land Use: Intended Land Use:

☐ Conform with Official Community Plan/Official Settlement Plan?

☐ In Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR)?

Attachments:

Filename	File Description	Classification
2455.49v20211102.pdf	Proposed Amendment Bylaw 2455.49	Document
ApplicationForm.pdf	Application form	Application
ApplicationSummary.pdf	Application Summary	Application
BylawReferral.pdf	Bylaw Referral	Document

Approval Application Parties:

Туре	Name/Company	Address	Role
Applicant	Feindell, Lauri - Regional District Okanagan Similkameen	101 Martin Street , Penticton, BC V2A 5J9	
Contact	Titley, Fiona - RDOS		Primary



Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

7	-	
	U .	

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

FILE NO.:

F2021.018-ZONE

FROM:

Name:

Curt Neumann

(please print)

Street Address:

RE: West Bench Geotechnical Review Update

Electoral Area "F" Official Community Plan (OPC) Amendment Bylaw No. 2790.04

Electoral Area "F" Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2461.21

My comments / concerns are:

	I do support the proposed amendments to the Electoral Area "F" OCP and Zoning Bylaws.
X	I <u>do</u> support the proposed amendments to the Electoral Area "F" OCP and Zoning Bylaws, subject to the comments listed below.
	I do not support the proposed amendments to the Electoral Area "F" OCP and Zoning Bylaws.

Written submissions received from this information meeting will be considered by the Regional District Board prior to 1st reading of Amendment Bylaw Nos. 2790.04 & 2461.21.

RE: Bylaw 2461.21 - I feel there should be consideration for current landowners that are in areas rated as "low risk" (as per the 2021 geotechnical review). We purchased our property in 2017 and one of the reasons for purchasing the property was the ability to have a pool put in. We have had preliminary plans done and have now been on a wait list for over a year. We have invested time and money into this and I don't think it is fair to have a sweeping change to the bylaw that stops us from installing a pool. There is recent precedent on our street as (2) of our immediate neighbors have installed pools within the last year. They went through all the appropriate channels (permits, Geotech, etc) and to take that opportunity away from us now does not seem fair.

I do understand that for some areas it just may not be possible due to poor soil conditions. That being said, if we are in the "low risk" area and go through the motions of Geotech review and permitting, I don't see why a pool would be banned for us. If I Geotech review of our property deems our soil conditions safe and suitable, I feel that should be adequate.

Perhaps if a "full ban" of all pools and water features on the West Bench is to be introduced, some consideration should be given to existing landowners vs future purchasers. Had we known this would be an issue, perhaps we may have not purchased this property.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter.

Sincerley

Curt Neumann

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to January 14, 2022.

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9 Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO:

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

FILE NO.:

F2021.018-ZONE

FROM:

Name:

Sarah Neumann

Street Address:

RE: West Bench Geotechnical Review Update

Electoral Area "F" Official Community Plan (OPC) Amendment Bylaw No. 2790.04

Electoral Area "F" Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2461.21

My comments / concerns are:

I do support the proposed amendments to the Electoral Area "F" OCP and Zoning Bylaws.

 $\mathbf{1}_{ ext{do}}$ support the proposed amendments to the Electoral Area "F" OCP and Zoning Bylaws, subject to the comments listed below.

I do not support the proposed amendments to the Electoral Area "F" OCP and Zoning Bylaws.

Written submissions received from this information meeting will be considered by the Regional District Board prior to 1st reading of Amendment Bylaw Nos. 2790.04 & 2461.21.

Regarding Bylaw 2461.21 - I feel that there should be consideration for current landowners in areas rated as low risk (per 2021 geotechnical review) regarding prohibiting pools. We purchased our property in 2017 with the plans to put in a pool and have been on a waitlist for a pool installation for a year so far. We have had neighbours on our street install pools as recently as this past summer.

I believe pools properly installed with permits and geotechnical consultation, and proper drainage should be allowed in low risk areas. I disagree with having a sweeping bylaw that prohibits pools for all of the areas in the West Bench.

Thank you,

Sarah Neumann

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to January 14, 2022.



Penticton Indian Band

Natural Resources Department 841 Westhills Drive | Penticton, B.C. V2A 0E8

Referrals@pib.ca | www.pib.ca Telephone: 250-492-0411 Fax: 250-493-2882

Project Name:

Z2021.018-ZONE (West Bench Geotechnical Review)

FN Consultation ID:

L-211210-Z2021-018-ZONE

Consulting Org Contact:

Planning RDOS

Consulting Organization:

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

Date Received:

Monday, December 13, 2021

January 4, 2022

Attention: Christopher Garrish File number: F2021.018-ZONE

RE: 40 (forty) day extension

Thank you for the above application that was received on 2021-12-13T00:00:00.

This letter is to inform you that due to current levels of internal capacity, we are unable to review your referral in your proposed timeline. With additional time, the Penticton Indian Band will be able to ensure that an informed review process will occur. We are setting the new timeline to be 40 days from the existing timeline.


Most recently, the Supreme Court of Canada in the Tsilquot'in case confirmed that the province has been applying an incorrect and restrictive test to the determination of Aboriginal Title, and that Aboriginal Title includes the exclusive right of a First Nation to decide how that land is used and the right to benefit economically from those uses.

Please note that not receiving a response regarding a referral from Penticton Indian Band in the pre-application, current or post-application stage does not imply our support for the project.

I appreciate your co-operation.

limləmt,

Heather McDougall Referrals Clerk Natural Resources Department Penticton Indian Band P: 250-492-0411 Referrals.clerk@pib.ca



Penticton Indian Band

Natural Resources Department 841 Westhills Drive | Penticton, B.C. V2A 0E8

Referrals@pib.ca | www.pib.ca Telephone: 250-492-0411 Fax: 250-493-2882

Project Name:

Z2021.018-ZONE (West Bench Geotechnical Review)

FN Consultation ID:

L-211210-Z2021-018-ZONE

Consulting Org Contact:

Planning RDOS

Consulting Organization:

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

Date Received:

Monday, December 13, 2021

File number:

F2021.018-ZONE

January 4, 2022

Attention: Christopher Garrish

We are in receipt of the above referral. This proposed activity is within the PIB Area of Interest within the Okanagan Nation's Territory, and the lands and resources are subject to our unextinguished Aboriginal Title and Rights.

The Supreme Court of Canada in the *Tsilhqot'in* case has confirmed that the province and Canada have been applying an incorrect and impoverished view of Aboriginal Title, and that Aboriginal Title includes the exclusive right of Indigenous People to manage the land and resources as well as the right to benefit economically from the land and resources. The Court therefore concluded that when the Crown allocates resources on Aboriginal title lands without the Indigenous peoples' consent, it commits a serious infringement of constitutionally protected rights that will be difficult to justify.

PIB has specific referral processing requirements for both government and proponents which are integral to the exercise of our management right and to ensuring that the Crown can meet its duty to consult and accommodate our rights, including our Aboriginal title and management rights. According to this process, proponents are required to pay a \$500 processing fee for each referral. This fee must be paid within 30 days. Proper consultation and consideration of potential impacts cannot occur without the appropriate resources therefore it is only with payment that proper consultation can begin and the proposed activity/development can be reviewed.

1. Invoice Number: L-211210-Z2021-018-ZONE Referrals Processing Fee Sub Total \$ 500.00 Tax \$ 0.00 Total \$ \$500.00

INVOICE AMOUNT FOR PRELIMINARY OFFICE REVIEW \$500.00

Please make cheque or cash payable to Penticton Indian Band. re: P.C.132 and send to 841 Westhills Drive, Penticton, British Columbia. Canada V2A 0E8

Upon receipt of the processing fee, we will commence our review. You may then expect to receive a letter from us notifying you of the results of our review of potential impacts of the project within 30 to 90 days.

If the proposed activity requires a more in-depth review, PIB will notify the proponent and all parties will negotiate a memorandum of agreement regarding a process for review of the proposed activity.

Please note that our participation in the referral and consultation process does not define or amend PIB's Aboriginal Rights and Title, or limit any priorities afforded to Aboriginal Rights and Title, nor does it limit the positions that we may take in future negotiations or court actions.

If you require further information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact me.

limləmt,

Heather McDougall Referrals Clerk Natural Resources Department Penticton Indian Band W: 250-492-0411 Referrals.clerk@pib.ca