biect:

FW: composting/biosolids facility built at 1313 Greyback Mountain Road

From: Lisa Wilson < lwilson@pib.ca> Sent: August 31, 2023 3:08 PM

To: Andrew Reeder <areeder@rdos.bc.ca>

Cc: Bill Newell

Shewell@rdos.bc.ca>; Cheryl Hardisty <cheryl.hardisty@penticton.ca>; Kristen Dixon

<Kristen.Dixon@penticton.ca>; Michelle Goldsberry <mgoldsberry@rdos.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: composting/biosolids facility built at 1313 Greyback Mountain Road

Hello Andrew,

The syilx (Okanagan) Nation holds unextinguished aboriginal title and rights to the land and resources within our Territory. Our lands and our jurisdiction over our lands have never been ceded. Our responsibilities to the lands, waters and all living things within our Territorial boundaries were given to us by the Creator; they are the truth; an unending truth and cannot be given or taken away. All activities on the land and water are exclusively subject to syilx (Okanagan) title, jurisdiction, rights, interests, and syilx (Okanagan) decision making and responsibility.

The proposed development is located within a culturally significant area for the Penticton Indian Band, syilx Okanagan Nation. Current and past use of this area have been identified by PIB and syilx knowledge indicate that the area is highly ificant. These activities represent an infringement on our aboriginal rights and title and will have a lasting impact on people's ability to harvest plants and animals for food, social or ceremonial purposes. Our people are being systematically and purposefully excluded from our sacred lands, our hunting areas, our gathering areas, and our places of ceremony.

The RDOS does not have the right or jurisdiction to issue or approve activities on our lands or waters without acquiring consent from the Penticton Indian Band, syilx Okanagan Nation. Consideration of activities within syilx Okanagan Territory requires deep, lasting, and high-level consultation. This is true for all lands within our Territory and is not limited in any way by size, type, scope, or purpose.

As such, we write to request that you attend the PIB Major Projects Committee, at 3 PM, Thursday, September 7th to make a presentation to PIB and begin the process to seek PIB's free, prior, and informed consent.

We look forward to your presentation and further engagement.

Thank you,

Lisa Wilson

Director Policy and Planning

Penticton Indian Band

p: (250) 493-0048

c: (604) 202-0070

a: 841 Westhills Drive

Penticton, British Columbia

Canada, V2A 0E8

"We Make Things Happen"

*



T: 604-660-7000

E: ALCBurnaby@Victoria1.gov.bc.ca 201 – 4940 Canada Way, Burnaby

B.C., Canada V5G 4K6

August 8, 2023

Reply to the attention of Shannon Duong ALC Planning Review: 46845 Local Government File: E2023.008-ZONE

Shannon Duong Planner II, RDOS planning@rdos.bc.ca

Re: Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24

Thank you for forwarding a draft copy of Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24 ("Bylaw Amendment") for review and comment by the Agricultural Land Commission ("ALC" or "Commission"). The following comments are provided to help ensure that the Bylaw Amendment is consistent with the purposes of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* ("ALCA"), the Agricultural Land Reserve ("ALR") General Regulation, the ALR Use Regulation, and any decisions of the ALC.

The Bylaw Amendment proposes to amend the zoning bylaw to facilitate the two-phased construction and operation of a composting facility on the property identified as 1313 Greyback Mountain Road; PID: 011-781-441 (the "Property").

In 2020, ALC Application 60765 was submitted to the Commission to exclude the ALR portion of the Property from the ALR to construct a composting facility. By Resolution #512/2021, the Commission refused to exclude the ALR portion of the Property for the composting facility.

The Bylaw Amendment notes that most of the Property is within the ALR. Phase 1 of the composting facility is proposed outside of the ALR; however, Phase 2 of the facility, that includes biosolids storage and agricultural and wood waste composting, is proposed within the ALR. The Bylaw Amendment notes that a non-farm use application is required for Phase 2.

ALC Staff confirm that a non-farm use for Phase 2 of the composting facility within the ALR is required, and that an application has not been submitted to the Commission for its consideration at this time. Any zoning changes to the ALR portion of the Property that permits a use that is not permitted in the ALR would be in consistent with the ALCA and its Regulations and, to the extent of the inconsistency, would have no force and effect. ALC Staff recommend that the



ALC File: 46845

Bylaw Amendment does not proceed unless or until the non-farm use for Phase 2 within the ALR is approved by the Commission.

ALC Staff have no concerns about zoning amendments to the non-ALR portion of the Property.

The ALC strives to provide a detailed response to all referrals affecting the ALR; however, you are advised that the lack of a specific response by the ALC to any draft provisions cannot in any way be construed as confirmation regarding the consistency of the submission with the ALCA, the Regulations, or any decisions of the Commission.

This response does not relieve the owner or occupier of the responsibility to comply with applicable Acts, regulations, bylaws of the local government, and decisions and orders of any person or body having jurisdiction over the land under an enactment.

If you have any questions about the above comments, please contact the undersigned at 236-468-2034 or by e-mail at <u>ALC.Referrals@gov.bc.ca</u>.

Yours truly,

PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

Claire Buchanan, Regional Planner

Enclosure: Referral of Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24

CC: Ministry of Agriculture – Attention: Alison Fox

46845m1



From:

Steven Collyer <Steven.Collyer@penticton.ca>

Sent:

July 12, 2023 10:56 AM

To:

Planning

Cc:

Audrey Tanguay

Subject:

Bylaw Referral - RDOS File No. E2023.008-ZONE - Zoning Amendment - Electoral Area

"E"

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

You don't often get email from steven.collyer@penticton.ca. <u>Learn why this is important</u> Good morning,

Please see the City's comments on this referral below:

The City of Penticton supports Phase I and Phase II of the proposed compost facility to be constructed at 1313 Greyback Road. Food waste composting is aligned with Penticton's Official Community Plan (S. 4.4.6.2) and Community Climate Action Plan (Waste 1.2). Utilizing local food and yard waste to create compost for beneficial reuse will lower greenhouse gas emissions and contribute to a circular waste economy.

Regards,

Steven Collyer, MPA, RPP, MCIP

Senior Planner

Pronouns: He/HIm [Learn more here]

City of Penticton | 171 Main Street | Penticton, BC | V2A 5A9

p: 250.490.2507 | f: 250.490.2502 | e: steven.collyer@penticton.ca



penticton.ca

I acknowledge that I live and work on the traditional lands of the Syilx People in the Okanagan Nation.



From:

Referrals < Referrals@fortisbc.com>

Sent:

July 10, 2023 8:53 AM

To:

Planning

Subject:

Bylaw Referral - RDOS File No. E2023.008-ZONE - Zoning Amendment - Electoral Area

"E" - Fortis Property Referral #2023-936

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

Fortis Property Referral #2023-936

Hello,

Please be advised FortisBC Energy Inc. has no concerns as we have no gas in this area.

Thank you,

Liz Dell

Lands Department, Property Services Assistant

16705 Fraser Highway | Surrey BC V4N 0E8 P: 778-576-8038 / liz.dell@fortisbc.com



From: Shannon Duong <sduong@rdos.bc.ca>

Sent: Friday, July 7, 2023 3:17 PM

To: ALC Referrals ALC:EX <ALC.Referrals@gov.bc.ca>; Gyug, Philip AF:EX <Philip.Gyug@gov.bc.ca>;

ReferralAppsREG8@gov.bc.ca; alan.Mccammon@gov.bc.ca; development@penticton.ca; clerk@keremeos.ca; Referrals

<Referrals@fortisbc.com>

Cc: Lauri Feindell < lfeindell@rdos.bc.ca>

Subject: [External Email] - Bylaw Referral - RDOS File No. E2023.008-ZONE - Zoning Amendment - Electoral Area "E"

CAUTION: This is an external email.

Do not respond, click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Re: Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24, 2023

Application Webpage: https://www.rdos.bc.ca/development-services/planning/current-applications-decisions/electoral-area-e/e2023-008-zone?stage=Live

V

The Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen (RDOS) is seeking input from agencies whose interests may be affected by a proposed rezoning.

Please find attached a referral for a Zoning Amendment Bylaw and a link above to the draft amendment bylaw and related documents. Please review this information and if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Once reviewed, if you have any comments/concerns, please forward them to planning@rdos.bc.ca by August 7, 2023.

Regards,



Shannon Duong, MRM • Planner II
Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen
101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC V2A 5J9
p. 250-490-4384 • tf. 1.877-610-3737 • t. 250-492-0063
www.rdos.bc.ca • sduong@rdos.bc.ca

OKANAGAN-SIMILKAMEEN

I acknowledge that I work within the traditional, unceded territory of the syilx people in the Okanagan Nation.

This Communication is intended for the use of the recipient to which it is addressed, and may contain confidential, personal and/or privileged information. Please contact the sender immediately if you are not the intended recipient of this communication and do not copy, distribute or take action relying on it. Any communication received in error, or subsequent reply, should be deleted or destroyed.

This email was sent to you by FortisBC*. The contact information to reach an authorized representative of FortisBC is 16705 Fraser Highway, Surrey, British Columbia, V4N 0E8, Attention: Communications Department. You can unsubscribe from receiving further emails from FortisBC by emailing unsubscribe@fortisbc.com.

*"FortisBC" refers to the FortisBC group of companies which includes FortisBC Holdings. Inc., FortisBC Energy Inc., FortisBC Inc., FortisBC Allemative Energy Services Inc. and Fortis Generation Inc.

This e-mail is the property of FortisBC and may contain confidential material for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any review, use, distribution or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. FortisBC does not accept liability for any errors or omissions which arise as a result of e-mail transmission. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message including removal from your hard drive. Thank you.



August 1, 2023

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen (RDOS)

101 Martin Street Penticton, BC V2A 5J9 publicworks@rdos.bc.ca info@rdos.bc.ca

Minister of Agriculture and Food

Honourable Pam Alexis PO Box 9043 Stn Prov Govt Victoria, BC V8W 9E2 AF.Minister@gov.bc.ca

Dan Ashton, MLA

#210, 300 Riverside Drive Penticton BC V2A 9C9 dan.ashton.MLA@leg.bc.ca

Julius Bloomfield, Mayor

171 Main Street Penticton, BC V2A 5A9 council@penticton.ca

Penticton Indian Band

James Pepper Dt., BSc., MSc., RPBio 841 Westhills Drive Penticton, BC V2A 0E8 jpepper@pib.ca

Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations

PO Box 9391, Stn Prov Govt Victoria, BC V8W 9M8 fishandwildlife@gov.bc.ca

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Re:

RDOS - Proposed Rezoning of

Plan KAP1190, Sublot 8, District Lot 2711, SDYD 1313 Greyback Mountain Road (Electoral Area "E") - "1313"

Provincial Agricultural Land Commission

201, 4940 Canada Way Burnaby, BC V5G 4K6 ALCBurnaby@victoria1.gov.bc.ca ALC.Okanagan@gov.bc.ca

Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

Honourable George Heyman PO Box 9047 Stn Prov Govt Victoria, BC V8W 9E2 ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca

Richard Cannings, MP

#202, 301 Main Street Penticton, BC V2A 5B7 Richard.cannings@parl.gc.ca

RDOS Area E Director

Adrienne Fedrigo Penticton, BC V2A 5J9 afedrigo@rdos.bc.ca

Okanagan Similkameen Stewardship Society

Suite 6, 477 Martin Street Penticton, BC V2A 5K4 info@osstewardship.ca

Further to my letters dated April 19, 2020 and Oct 2, 2020, which several of you are already in possession of, the Residents in and around 1313 Greyback Mountain Road have not changed their position in regards to the RDOS building a compost/biosolids facility on 1313. We are still 98% against such a facility being built.





- 1. 1313 must be protected and remain as agricultural land particularly when we are in an era where food security is a growing global issue and the ALR land must be preserved for its highest and best use agricultural production.
- 2. 1313 must be protected so British Columbians can access locally grown food, and communities and local economies can prosper through farming, ranching and agriculture business, such as B.C.'s growing food-processing sector.
- 1313 has a known base of sandy soil on fractured bedrock that can directly contaminate runoff in any direction and ultimately pollute well water. The existing water wells in the area are drilled to depths in excess of 500' which are below the level of 1313.
- 4. The City of Penticton recently built a parking lot and established hiking and biking trails on Campbell Mountain which is across the road from 1313 and the pristine beauty of this area needs to be preserved and protected.
- 5. There are herds of approximately 30+ California Big Horn Sheep, 100+ Elk and 40+ deer that are currently using 1313 as their wildlife corridor and birthing grounds. There are also numerous coyotes, rattlesnakes, bobcats, cougars, bald eagles and other native species that frequent 1313.
- 6. The City of Penticton Water Reservoir (Penticton Creek) is just to the southeast and below 1313. The watershed flows from the east side of 1313 down to this Reservoir (drinking water treatment plant) which has a huge potential for leachate.
- 7. 1362 Greyback Mountain Road's water well is below 1313 and will also be subject to leachate.
- 8. Watershed runs through the valley from the east through the north end of 1313 and flows west downhill towards Okanagan Lake.
- Several properties south and west of the Campbell Mountain Landfill have already been contaminated and the RDOS had to purchase 1655 Reservoir Road due to water well contamination from the Landfill.
- 10. 1313 is in a valley similar to a boxed canyon which restricts air movement and airflow.
- 11. Nine (9) residential properties from the north and east as well as numerous view residences to the southeast (Upper Carmi) are in direct sight of 1313.
- 12. We consistently encounter long lineups when scale traffic is backed up hindering our access to our residence. This will only get worse when the RDOS wants to share the weigh scale which is on the west side of Spiller Road with the compost/biosolids facility which will be on the east side of Spiller Road. The large trucks will be crossing Spiller Road going to and from the weigh scales to the facility on a daily basis. If it is already affecting residential traffic just imagine what it will be like with the biosolids/ composting facility being implemented. On March 26, 2020 the RDOS posted an Update: Landfill Traffic Creating Safety Concerns which stated "Lineups on busy days are stretching outside the landfill and blocking traffic. This affects landfill users, and residents who live near the landfill."



- 13. As this compost/biosolids facility is to cover a greater area than just the City of Penticton there will be an excessive amount of large heavy trucks bringing sludge up a road that is already too narrow, contains a switchback, has heavy traffic, a very steep incline, a blind corner and winding. There are already too many close call accidents waiting to happen with the existing road and it is in terrible disrepair all year long.
- 14. Should the compost/biosolids facility be placed on the east side of Spiller Road with the existing Campbell Mountain Landfill on the west, residents who live on Spiller Road, Pinetree Way, Falconridge Drive and Vista Place will have no alternative but to **DRIVE THRU THE DUMP** to get to their properties. This will have a huge impact on the Residents mental and physical well-being, the aesthetics of their drive home and a huge decrease in property values. Will the RDOS be compensating home owners for these losses?
- 15. We are concerned about the additional noise from the trucking, mixing the biosolids, exhaust fans, product curing, methane gas, optional screening and/or bagging and storage of pre/post finished product. The RDOS will not disclose what type of facility they are planning to build or how they will be dealing with the release of the methane gas.
- 16. The Campbell Mountain Landfill has been in operation for 48 years (established in 1972) and when we purchased our property in 2010 we were told that it would be closing in 7 years 2017. Other neighbours were told when it was built in 1972 it would only be there for 10 years 1982. Other neighbours were told when they purchased in 2002 that it was closing in 10 years 2012. It is now 2023 and the RDOS has stated in the April 4th, 2017 invitation letter that "The innovative design will allow the Campbell Mountain Landfill to stay open until 2104." Another 81 years! Why extend the life of something that does not meet provincial guidelines, does not pass current inspections, is unethical for the next generations and will only get worse as time progresses?
- 17. In an article dated March 13, 2023 the Campbell Mountain Landfill failed another inspection in that "Inspectors found a number of instances where the operation was out of compliance with regulations, including higher than allowable levels of fecal coliform bacteria." See the following link: https://globalnews.ca/news/9549406/penticton-bc-compost-safe-inspection-report/
- 18. In a July 4, 2023 Castanet Article https://www.castanet.net/news/Penticton/435161/New-plan-for-another-shot-at-long-delayed-compost-facility-in-Regional-District-of-Okanagan-Similkameen
 Paragraph 5 states "...after an online survey, 67 per cent of resident respondents were in favour of the project moving forward."

This online survey was done in September 2020 and there was only 21 Total Votes (no indication as to where these 21 votes reside) of which 67% said Yes, 14% said No and 19% Needed more information.

This was done after the RDOS had received my two Petitions tin April 2020 that contained over 241 names. Interesting how the RDOS failed to mention these two Petitions to the Castanet Reporter.

This shows that the RDOS is not forthcoming to the public about the opposition that it is receiving.



19. In that same July 4, 2023 Castanet Article it states "RDOS staff are recommending an organic waste-only aerated bunker system, a step back from original plans that would have included biosolids...".

However, in the RDOS Administrative Report from B. Newell dated July 6, 2023 on Page 3 at the bottom it states: "The proposed Option 3 facility design will accommodate a biosolids composting facility as a second phase to the project. Opportunity to apply for a "non-farm use" on the remainder of the 1313 Greyback Mountain Road property has been confirmed. This will not compromise grant project funding and, ALC permitting, will allow the construction of a biosolids facility in the future. An option to ship biosolids to an existing facility does exist as an interim measure should the closure of the biosolids composting facility at Campbell Mountain facility occur prior to an ALC decision.

The future expansion planning for a biosolids composting facility (bunker) could occur during the predesign process and the RODS could ensure the final design considers this future expansion as Phase 2."

This shows us that the RDOS is not forthcoming to the public about the future biosolids project.

THEREFORE, I MUST ASK THAT ALL OF YOU PLEASE TAKE INTO ACCOUNT ALL OF THIS DOCUMENTATION AND ENSURE THAT **1313 (all 80 acres)** STAYS AS AGRICULTURAL LAND AND USED FOR FARMING ONLY SO THAT WE CAN PROTECT ALL OF THE SPECIES THAT HABITAT AND CALVE ON THAT LAND AS WELL AS ALL WATER SOURCES.

Again please note, all of the residents that are beside or above Campbell Mountain Landfill and that were able to be contacted are still 98% opposed to this application.

Again, due to the lack of transparency from the RDOS, it is very easy to see that they are trying their hardest to keep the public in the dark about what they are doing. THE BEST PREDICTOR FOR FUTURE BEHAVIOUR IS PAST BEHAVIOUR.

THEREFORE, WE ASK THAT YOU PLEASE LEAVE 1313 AS PRIME AGRICULTURAL LAND AND PROTECT THIS FRAGILE ENVIRONMENT.

Sincerely,

Jim and Jacquie Jackson



From:

vicky kryzanowski

Sent:

August 3, 2023 2:20 AM

To:

Shannon Duong

Subject:

1313 Greyback Mountain Road meeting #27716672746

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

[You don't often get email from .

y this is important at

https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

Sent from my iPad

Hello my name is Victoria Kryzanowski I am sending you the letter in regards to the meeting being held on August 9/2023 about 1313 Greyback mountain road.

I have received this notice on Monday august 1 2023 which was sent on July 26 which does not give me time to book this meeting off as I work night shift. I feel the RDOS does not want to involve the neighbours as this will affect me the most. I am highly opposed to this facility. This is not ALR it is industrial and offensive.

You are not taking the wild life or farming in the area. RDOS has the property at 1655 reservoir road that is already contaminated why not use that area? That property and my property are highly used by Elk and Deer migration I will be contacting B.C. parks which just bought 151 acres in Okanagan park area maybe the should get involved too.

There is also a water source that could be affected just like 1655 reservoir road because 1313 Greyback is higher than my land leaching runs down hill there were 5 properties affected from the dump all ready.

I am very disappointed that there is no real communication with the public you always don't consider that this is a long weekend . We don't want this .Totally unacceptable.

Victoria Kryzanowski LotA PlanKAP37672 DistrictLot2553

Also attention Andrew Reider



From:

Fox, Alison AF:EX <Alison.Fox@gov.bc.ca>

Sent:

August 9, 2023 2:09 PM

To:

Shannon Duong Gyug, Philip AF:EX

Cc: Subject:

RE: Bylaw Referral - RDOS File No. E2023.008-ZONE - Zoning Amendment - Electoral

Area "E"

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

You don't often get email from alison.fox@gov.bc.ca. Learn why this is important

Hi Shannon.

We've reviewed the referral, and our only comment is that we support the ALC staff position that a non-farm use for Phase 2 of the composting facility should be approved by ALC before proceeding with the zoning amendments for the ALR portion of the property. Ministry staff have no concerns with the zoning amendments for the non-ALR portion of the property.

Thanks, Alison

Alison Fox, P.Ag.
Land Use Agrologist
Strengthening Farming Program
BC Ministry of Agriculture and Food
778 666-0566
Alison.Fox@gov.bc.ca

Ministry Mission: World leading stewardship of land, farm and food systems for the health and prosperity of British Columbians

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this e-mail and any attachments is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact me immediately by return e-mail and delete this copy from your system. Thank you.

P Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Shannon Duong <<u>sduong@rdos.bc.ca</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, August 9, 2023 12:15 PM
To: Gyug, Philip AF:EX <<u>Philip.Gyug@gov.bc.ca</u>>

Subject: RE: Bylaw Referral - RDOS File No. E2023.008-ZONE - Zoning Amendment - Electoral Area "E"

[EXTERNAL] This email came from an external source. Only open attachments or links that you are expecting from a known sender.

Hi Philip,

I'm just checking in to see if you've had a chance to review this referral.

Thanks,





SIMILKAMEEN

Shannon Duong, MRM • Planner II Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen 101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC V2A 5J9 p. 250-490-4384 • tf. 1.877-610-3737 • f. 250-492-0063

www.rdos.bc.ca • sduong@rdos.bc.ca

I acknowledge that I work within the traditional, unceded territory of the syilx people in the Okanagan Nation.

This Communication is intended for the use of the recipient to which it is addressed, and may contain confidential, personal and/or privileged information. Please contact the sender immediately if you are not the intended recipient of this communication and do not copy, distribute or take action relying on it. Any communication received in error, or subsequent reply, should be deleted or destroyed.

From: Shannon Duong

Sent: Friday, July 7, 2023 3:17 PM

To: ALC Referrals ALC:EX < ALC.Reterrals@gov.bc.ca >; Gyug, Philip AF:EX < Philip.Gyug@gov.bc.ca >;

ReferralAppsREG8@gov.bc.ca; alan.Mccammon@gov.bc.ca; development@penticton.ca; clerk@keremeos.ca; Referrals

<Referrals@fortisbc.com>

Cc: Lauri Feindell < lfeindell@rdos.bc.ca>

Subject: Bylaw Referral - RDOS File No. E2023.008-ZONE - Zoning Amendment - Electoral Area "E"

Re: Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24, 2023

Application Webpage: https://www.rdos.bc.ca/development-services/planning/current-applications-decisions/electoral-area-e/e2023-008-zone?stage=Live

The Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen (RDOS) is seeking input from agencies whose interests may be affected by a proposed rezoning.

Please find attached a referral for a Zoning Amendment Bylaw and a link above to the draft amendment bylaw and related documents. Please review this information and if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Once reviewed, if you have any comments/concerns, please forward them to planning@rdos.bc.ca by August 7, 2023.

Regards,



Shannon Duong, MRM • Planner II
Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen
101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC V2A 5J9
p. 250-490-4384 • tf. 1.877-610-3737 • f. 250-492-0063
www.rdos.bc.ca • sduong@rdos.bc.ca

OKANAGAN-SIMILKAHEEN

I acknowledge that I work within the traditional, unceded territory of the syilx people in the Okanagan Nation.

This Communication is intended for the use of the recipient to which it is addressed, and may contain confidential, personal and/or privileged information. Please contact the sender immediately if you are not the intended recipient of this communication and do not copy, distribute or take action relying on it. Any communication received in error, or subsequent reply, should be deleted or destroyed.

From:

Maslowski, Magdalena ENV:EX < Magdalena.Maslowski@gov.bc.ca>

Sent:

August 23, 2023 4:08 PM

To:

Shannon Duong

Subject:

Bylaw Referral - RDOS File No. E2023.008-ZONE - Zoning Amendment - Electoral Area

"F"

You don't often get email from

why this is important

Dear Shannon Duong:

Thank you for your email of July 7, 2023, regarding Bylaw Referral – RDOS File No. E2023.008-ZONE – Zoning Amendment – Electoral Area "E," for rezoning from Resource Area (RA) to Resource Area Site Specific (RAs) to zone for a composting operation.

Composting Operations are a prescribed activity under Schedule 2 of the <u>Waste Discharge Regulation</u> and require authorization under the <u>Environmental Management Act</u>.

All composting facilities in British Columbia must be operated in accordance with the <u>Organic Matter Recycling Regulation</u> (OMRR) and all compost must be produced and distributed as specified in OMRR. There are two authorization processes for compost facilities depending on size and waste stream. A permit or operational certificate (OC) is also required for a composting facility with a design production capacity of 5000 tonnes or more dry weight of finished compost annually from food waste or biosolids, as specified in Section 3.1 of OMRR. A notification is required if producing less than 5000 tonnes dry finished product from food waste or biosolids or if using any other feedstock as specified in Schedule 12 with any design capacity. Please note that only the organic matter listed in Schedule 12 of OMRR can be used as feedstock to produce Class A and Class B Compost. For more information on applying for a notification, permit or OC, please visit our <u>Waste Discharge Authorization</u> website.

For notifications, permits, and OCs, the discharger must, at least 90 days before beginning the operation of a compost facility, give notice to the director, Environmental Management Act, and the Land Reserve Commission if the composting facility is in an agricultural land reserve as required in Section 25 of OMRR.

Should you have any further questions, please contact me-

Thank you for referring the zoning amendment to the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy.

Sincerely,

Magdalena Maslowski

Environmental Protection Officer, Communities, IPM & AgriFood Regional Operations Branch | Environmental Protection Division



Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy 1259 Dalhousie Dr, Kamloops, BC V2C 5Z5 Phone: 778.362.6116 | Email: Magdalena.Maslowski@gov.bc.ca

Waste Discharge Authorizations Website



24-hour Spill/Environmental Emergency Reporting: 1-800-663-3456
For environmental complaints call 1-877-952-7277 (RAPP) or #7277 on the TELUS Mobility Network. If the situation is not an emergency, report the incident online or contact the nearest Conservation Officer Service district office





RESPONSE SUMMARY AMENDMENT BYLAW NO. 2800.24 Approval Recommended for Reasons Interests Unaffected by Bylaw **Outlined Below** Approval Recommended Subject to Approval Not Recommended Due **Conditions Below** to Reasons Outlined Below The Village of Keremeos supports the rezoning and the use of the property for a composting operation. Signature: Margaret Coulson WTANM5 Signed By: Marg Coulson Agency: Village of Keremeos Title: Chief Administrative Officer Date: July 25, 2023

Subject:

FW: IH Response: Bylaw Referral - RDOS File No. E2023.008-ZONE - Zoning Amendment - Electoral Area "E"

From: HBE [IH] < HBE@interiorhealth.ca>

Sent: August 28, 2023 5:03 PM

To: Shannon Duong <sduong@rdos.bc.ca>
Cc: Planning <planning@rdos.bc.ca>

Subject: IH Response: Bylaw Referral - RDOS File No. E2023.008-ZONE - Zoning Amendment - Electoral Area "E"

Hello Shannon,

Thank you for the opportunity to review this development proposal to rezone the subject parcel to allow a community compost facility. We have reviewed this information from a health community development perspective. We have no objections to this proposal.

We think compost facilities are important community infrastructure for community and environmental sustainability. For this reason we support them in locations, with designs, good governance and operational management where they do not pose health hazards.

Anita Ely (she, her, hers)

Specialist Environmental Health Officer Healthy Community Development

Salmon Arm Health Centre 851 16th St NE, Box 627, Salmon Arm, BC V1E 4N7

c: 250-253-3679







Interior Health would like to recognize and acknowledge the traditional, ancestral, and unceded territories of the Dākelh Dené, Ktunaxa, Niaka'pamux, Secwépemc, St'át'imc, Syilx, and Tŝilhqot'in Nations where we live, learn, collaborate and work together.

The contents of this e-mail, including its attachments, are intended for the exclusive use of the recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient and received this in error, you are notified that taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by reply e-mail immediately and permanently delete this e-mail and its attachments, along with any copies thereof. Be advised that copies of your response to this email may be stored outside of Canada, not in the custody or control of Interior Health and subject to the laws of other countries.



Subject:

FW: What is compost?

BOARD: SOPT 7,2023 AGENDA HEM; B.7. LOTTE HEMPECEIVED

From: Ryan McNichol ·

Sent: September 3, 2023 9:42 PM **To:** Planning rlanning@rdos.bc.ca>

Subject: What is compost?

Some people who received this message don't often get email from

To whom it may concern,

By definition it is; the aerobic decomposition of organic material.

After a recent open council/Q&A meeting from the RDOS on the proposed new compost/greens-waste/potential bio-waste facility I was informed on a few of the details on the approach and design being taken by the RDOS for this facility, and I have concerns.

- 1. QUALITY: Your staff could not give quantifying metrics that would be used to determine whether the product (compost) was of quality besides texture and size. The only way to determine the quality of compost (or soil) is to look at the biology under a microscope and assess if it contains sufficient numbers of beneficial organisms including fungi, bacteria, protozoa, nematodes, and microarthropods. Without these assessments we could be spreading harmful bacteria, pathogens, fungi, etc causing more harm than good. However, if we use proper practices in a controlled measured environment we can produce a quality product that literally changes the landscape of our valley. On a side note it would do wonders for the current roadside erosion issues we're facing. Wherever this facility is located we need to aim to produce the best quality of biocomplete compost possible, and avoid another compost operation that's a putrid anaerobic fire hazard like the one currently on Campbell mountain.
- 2. RECIPE/RATIOS: BioComplete compost has specific ratios that must be followed in order to produce beneficial organisms. Though there can be small variations on this it looks like:
- -60% woody material (wood chips) is a fungal food and creates space/air to help the compost remain aerobic. Wood chips need to offgas before use, when fresh they contain antibacterial qualities;
- -30% greens (food waste, lawn and yard clippings, coffee grounds, etc) this is bacteria food and helps to maintain high enough temperatures through them multiplying. Too much bacteria will use oxygen faster than it can diffuse and turn your pile anaerobic and lead to the production of alcohol vapour and fires;
- -10% nitrogen (manure, spent brewers grain, alfalfa, etc) this is the initial food to increase temperatures within beneficial ranges (60c 76c)

The more diversity in the materials the better, i.e. several different types of trees for woodchips is better than one or two varieties. Local ingredients will adapt better to local soils and conditions. 50%-60% moisture must be maintained throughout, water must come from an uncontaminated source and contain no chlorine or chloramine.

3. TEMPERATURE/TIMING: Without getting into too many details, once the compost is properly mixed all parts of the pile must reach and remain at certain temps for a specified amount of time, which varies based on

the temperature it reached. Happy to detail this out in future discussions. The obstacle here is ensuring proper turning techniques and equipment are used. A front-end loader is possibly the worst tool for the job, there are attachments and stand alone machines designed to properly turn compost and temperatures must be properly monitored and logged.

The above is a very brief description of the compost process but one that has been repeatedly tested for years and continues to have success across the globe. This method of creating biocomplete compost was first discovered by my teacher and colleague Dr. Elaine Ingham. I implore everyone involved in this project to visit her site here https://www.soilfoodweb.com/ and consume as much material as possible. The soil health of our community depends on it.

I would be more than happy to advise and discuss the approach on a new compost facility. Most of the questions at the meeting were met with non-answers and your staff was unable to point to a similar facility that is currently producing your intended product that we could test. The reason for this is that you cannot produce quality compost with the majority of your ingredients being green waste. It is not more difficult or expensive to create high quality and biocomplete compost than it is to produce putrid anaerobic waste. So let's do the right thing.

Since you have already employed experts my first round of questions for them are:

What are the ingredients and ratios being used at this facility and what SOP's are in place to ensure these are maintained?

How are you monitoring temperature and what guidelines are you following?

What is being used to turn the compost and how are you ensuring all parts of the pile receive the same treatment?

Where is the water being sourced and has it been tested or tampered with?

Who, where, and how will the compost be tested to ensure it's safe and contains the beneficial organisms? Why do they need odour mitigation systems in place, when compost should never have foul odour (foul odour is a sign of anaerobic conditions)?

Looking forward to discussing this in the coming days.

Ryan McNichol





Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO:	Regional District	of Okanagan Similkameen	FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONE
FROM:	Name:	Lori Guertin		
		(please	print)	
	Street Address:	Falconridge drive		
	Date:	Oct 9/23		
RE:		Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 28 Iountain Road — Sublot 8, District		lan 1190
My comment	s / concerns are:			
l <u>d</u>	o support the prop	osed rezoning of the subject parce	l.	
✓ I <u>da</u>	o not support the p	proposed rezoning of the subject pa	arcel.	
	Written subr	ovide any comments you wish the nissions will be considered by the I to 1st reading of Amendment Byla	Regional District Bo	
We have be	en through this b	efore, the bottom line is we are	not in support of a	t bio solids
facility On Greybac	k mountain. Look	for another location.		
		10.00000000		
2 				
·				
-				

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.



ORGANIC WASTE TREATMENT AND PROCESSING FACILITY AT 1313 GREYBACK MOUNTAIN ROAD Proposed:

- Phase 1 composting facility
- Phase 2 biosolids (sewage sludge) facility

The Regional District of the Okanagan Similkameen (RDOS) is proceeding with a Rezoning Amendment for 25 acres, of 80 acres total, at 1313 Greyback Mountain Road (1313), without consents from the Agricultural Land Commission (ALC) and the Ministry of Agriculture and Foods. As of Oct. 4th, 2023, the ALC had not received an application from the RDOS for the non-farm use of Phase 2.

The apparent rush is perceived to be due to grant money being available if the tender is awarded by March 2024 and the project is complete by March 31, 2025. The very abbreviated notice for the public information session and the public hearing, and lack of detail distribution for same, seems to be a ploy to garner little to no public awareness leading to the RDOS, on its third try, to successfully get this project underway.

The public information session, <u>held the day before the hearing</u>, brought forth information but also added much confusion about the project since the staff manning it included an engineer who did not have answers to all the questions, the Area E Director who had never been to the site nor knew where it is, and a fellow two weeks into his job at the RDOS.

Might a conflict of interest be happening? The RDOS owns the land, the RDOS wants to re-zone the land and the RDOS is the governing body who approves the rezoning.

It is interesting that four Penticton City Councillors as well as the Mayor sit on both the City of Penticton and the RDOS Boards. Again, a conflict of interest?

Some reasons for concern:

Listed below, not in order of importance, and are not necessarily all concerns

Location

Does it have to be THERE, at 1313 Greyback Mountain Road? What about the RDOS owned property at 1655 Reservoir Road? That will NEVER again be a residential property as the RDOS had to buy it due to contamination from the landfill. At 6.093 acres, it could be big enough. Traffic to it could veer off Reservoir Road there, rather than having to go past the landfill entrance and the public parking lot. Trucks would not have to navigate the S curve to get to it. Just one idea for consideration.

- a) Trucks overheat in the summer and get stuck in the winter on Reservoir Road particularly at the S curve.
- a) Frequent long lineups are now very common at the entrance to the landfill. Traffic headed to the public parking lot and to the proposed compost location past the entrance will be stuck. A spot lower down Reservoir Road, such as 1655 (or elsewhere), would alleviate this.
- b) The RDOS is stating that the long lineups will be eliminated when the new facilities go in at 1313. This is extremely doubtful as the line ups consist of other commercial vehicles, cars, SUV's, pick-up trucks, etc. not just garbage/compost/sludge trucks.

• Campbell Mountain Recreation area

- a) Campbell Mountain's popular trail network is extremely well used for recreation. Walkers, hikers, bike riders and dog walkers enjoy it daily. This is in the immediate vicinity of the existing landfill and 1313 Greyback Mountain Road.
- b) The City built a public parking lot at the corner of Reservoir, Spiller, and Greyback Mountain Roads to service those utilizing all that is offered on Campbell Mountain. This lot is extremely



well used, often filled to capacity with overflow lining the adjacent roads. People accessing this parking lot do and will compete with compost and sludge trucks to get to it.

Environment

- a) This is home to the Greyback Elk Herd (250 elk) and the exact spot they calve each spring.
- b) This is home to approximately 40 California Big Horn Sheep who also claim it as their calving grounds each spring.
- c) The Northern Pacific Rattlesnake, which is only found in BC and is one of only three remaining rattlesnake species in Canada, will be displaced.
- d) The ALR comprises just 5% of BC's total land base and is the area with the greatest agricultural capacity. As a finite and valuable resource, we must protect this land.
- e) Sewage sludge trucks leak. Anyone who has had the misfortune of following one will have been lucky to only have witnessed leakage flowing onto the road. The nasty contents can and do get splashed onto vehicles which is disgusting and very difficult to remove.

Recent Background:

- In 2020, the RDOS purchased 1313 Greyback Mountain Road, an 80 acre property east of the Campbell Mountain Landfill
 - o 55 acres (22.4 ha) is the south portion of 1313 and is in the ALR.
 - o 25 acres (10 ha) is the north portion of 1313, is not in the ALR, and is heavily treed.
- Attempt #1 in 2020, the RDOS applied to the Agricultural Land Commission (ALC) to remove the 55 acre portion from the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR).
- The ALC denied the application on Nov 4, 2021, stating "...the Panel finds that it would not be appropriate to exclude the Property or permit the Proposed Composting Facility as a non-farm use on the ALR portion of the Property. The Panel finds that the Property is both suitable and capable of agriculture in its current state and therefore the ALR portion of the Property is an inappropriate place to build the Composting Facility." Their Decision further stated "For the reasons given above, the Panel refuses the Proposal to exclude the 22.4 ha ALR portion of the Property or to construct a composting facility as a non-farm use on the Property. These are the unanimous reasons of the Panel."
- Attempt #2 in 2022, the RDOS appealed the ALC's decision. The ALC denied the appeal.
- Attempt #3 at present Since the 55 acres in the ALR cannot be used, the City of Penticton (COP) and the RDOS revised their tactic, deciding to rezone the remaining 25 acres (non-ALR). Hence the public hearing.

History:

Not in chronological order

- The Campbell Mountain Landfill has been in operation for 51 years (established in 1972). When it
 was built, existing landowners were told it was temporary, that in 10 years it would be covered
 over, and a golf course would be installed. That would have been 1982, so the initial promise has
 been broken for 41 years!
- In April 2017 an invitation letter stated that, "The innovative design will allow the Campbell Mountain Landfill to stay open until 2104." Another 81 years, from now?! Why extend the life of something that does not meet provincial guidelines, is unethical for the next generations, is not passing current inspections and will only get worse as time progresses?
- The current Landfill is located on 153 Acres and is running out of room.
- On June 23, 2023, the Penticton Herald wrote, "If current population trends continue, the City of Penticton's population is projected to grow to almost 56,000 residents by 2046."

- If Penticton is projecting such population growth in 23 years, why is a composting facility on 25 acres, adjacent to a Landfill that is 6+ times that size and already out of room, even being considered?
- In a Feb 7, 2017 Global News Article, Bill Newell, CAO at the RDOS, was quoted as saying, "The Campbell Mountain Landfill was built long before there were requirements for a special liner or membrane beneath the soil. That's why contaminants from the garbage are now leaching beyond the dump's property line. It is a landfill and it was constructed in 1972 so there is going to be leachate. We've been testing around the landfill for years waiting to see if it was going to start moving down towards the lake and in early 2016 we got our first indicators that it was moving off-site."
- On Apr 4, 2017, the RDOS sent a letter to all area residents inviting them to an Open House involving the 'Moving the Penticton Wastewater Treatment Sludge Compost Facility' to across Spiller Road and 'Siting of a Regional Food Waste Compost Facility' to either Marron Valley or Summerland Landfill. This letter stated, "Water coming down the mountain can flow through the soil into the Landfill property. If allowed to come in contact with garbage or compostable materials it can pick up contaminates. This ground water, mixed with any surface runoff, can then leave the site contaminating properties down slope. The RDOS has confirmed that traces of contaminated ground water have left the Campbell Mountain property. The main contaminates found are salts, that are indicators that other, more serious, materials may be moving downhill. The RDOS has informed all affected property owners."
- On April 24, 2017, there was an Open House regarding moving the Penticton Wastewater
 Treatment Sludge Compost Facility to across Spiller Road. All in attendance were 100% opposed.
- Open Houses for Marron Valley and Summerland revealed the same results 100% opposition.
- On August 30, 2019, a Penticton Western News article stated, "it will be difficult to find facilities that will take the compost produced by the facility."
- A Sept 27, 2019 Penticton Western News article stated "In 2017, the regional district considered a
 facility to be located at either the Summerland Landfill or the Marron Valley Landfill. Both sites
 were rejected and both were the subject of considerable public opposition." Andrew Reeder
 stated, "...the existing facility at Campbell Mountain does not meet the province's regulations for
 leachate management."
- A non-compliance advisory letter dated Nov 16, 2022 from the Ministry of Environment stated, "Inspectors found a number of instances where the operation was out of compliance with regulations, including higher than allowable levels of fecal coliform bacteria. And another, but not listed as a compliance matter, was a computer glitch that led to no temperature records for 2021."
- In 2017, 100% of area residents were opposed to moving the biosolids (sewage sludge) facilities across Spiller Road.
- In 2020, a 'Protect Agricultural Land Petition' to stop the removal of the 55 acres from the ALR had 96 signatures with 98% of the area residents opposed to removing the land from the ALR.
- In 2020, a 'GoPetition' had 145 signatures opposed to removing the land from the ALR.
- Now, in 2023, as of this writing, a 'GoPetition' has over 125 signatures opposed to the rezoning of the non-ALR land.

J. Jackson
Concerned Penticton Resident





Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9
Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO: Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen FILE NO.: E2023.008-ZONE FROM: Jacquie Jackson Name: (please print)) Falcon Ridge Drive Penticton BC Street Address: October 10, 2023 Date: RE: Okanagan Valley Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24 1313 Greyback Mountain Road — Sublot 8, District Lot 2711, SDYD, Plan 1190 My comments / concerns are: I do support the proposed rezoning of the subject parcel. I do not support the proposed rezoning of the subject parcel.

Please provide any comments you wish the Board to consider. Written submissions will be considered by the Regional District Board prior to 1st reading of Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24.

The RDOS is not listening: On Aug 8/23 the ALC advised the RDOS not to proceed with the rezoning until the non-farm use for Phase 2 within the ALR has been approved. No application has been submitted. On Aug 9/23 the Min. of Agr & Food advised they support the ALC and the composting facility should be approved by ALC before proceeding with zoning amendments. On Oct 5/23 a Public Hearing received 100% opposition to the rezoning from the attendees. On Oct 4/23 at a Information Meeting Andrew Reeder advised everyone there were no alternative locations, however, on Thursday (Oct 5th) regional directors were touring the Westbank First Nations (WFN) partnership with Brenda Renewables to use the old Brenda Mines site as a new composting/biosolids facility. Why did Andrew Reeder not tell attendees about this. The WFN has invited all communites, south to the US Border and north to Vernon, to partake. WE MUST PARTNER WITH WFN. Campbell Mountain Landfill and area has been leaching into Okanagan Lake since 2016. This rezoning will be another environmental disaster!

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.



From:

Jeff K

Sent:

October 5, 2023 7:57 PM

To:

Planning

Subject:

Compost Site rezoning feedback

Follow Up Flag:

Flag Status:

Follow up

Flagged

Some people who received this message don't often get email from

arn why this is important

My name is Jeff Kler and I am a resident of Spiller road.

I also echo the sentiment to extend the time for feedback.

Based on three key points.

1 that that the Makonis environmental study was provide in the incredibly late and short notice and without sufficient time to review and verify claims or assertions. Although it sounds like the report call for further investigation. In a half page what scope could possibly be said other than, dunno didn't see much but someone should go look harder.

Can we at least push this hearing until that addition investigation is completed and time for that report to be reviewed and vetted. We asked to support something on half of the information.

- 2. Earlier today Westbank frost nations announced their own competing project at the Brenda mome site and says they are absolutely willing to take on other regions. Andrew repeated there were no other locations for this, clearly this statement is miss informed.
- 3. Finally, at the information session the rdos did not provide sufficient subject matter experts pertaining to questions regarding wetlands or exact zoning bylaws.

I do not believe there was sufficient time or communication to stakeholders.

I request:

A mail-out to resident within a 10km radius and along all major routes 1 month in advance.

In that mail-out to include:

Layout the regulatory process before the information session.

We'd like information on the requirements of the grant that is driving this timeline.

At the next question and information session:



We'd like access to proper subject matter experts that can answer questions. As well as the project sponsors to answer the economic analysis and initiating rationale.

Would the next time we do an economic assessment include a long term impact and the size of the plume growth over time. The longer this goes on the larger this plume gets and becomes exponentially more difficult to deal with.

If this project does go ahead:

When we asked why the phases couldn't be flipped - your own engineer acknowledged that was a really good idea. These residents are clearly concerned about pollution first and smell also high on that list. Phase 1 does not address this in any way.

I do believe this group here on the room and do believe that this group is doing the best they could.

Finally, I request these notes to post publicly to ensure they are captured loyally.

Regards, Jeff Kler





Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

то:	Regional District	of Okanagan Similkameen	FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONE
FROM:	Name:	Joanne Kler		
		(plea:	se print)	
	Street Address:	Spiller Rd.		
	Date:	October 5, 2023		
RE:		Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. Iountain Road — Sublot 8, Distri		Plan 1190
My com	ments / concerns are:			
	I <u>do</u> support the prop	osed rezoning of the subject parc	cel.	
\checkmark	I do not support the p	roposed rezoning of the subject	parcel.	

Please provide any comments you wish the Board to consider.

Written submissions will be considered by the Regional District Board prior to 1st reading of Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24.

I support the concept of the proposed composting facility and the aims it is hoping to achieve, but I have concerns with the project: (1) I know there is a spring and surrounding wetland located on the City of Penticton parcel, directly adjacent to (west of) the proposed Phase 1 boundary. believe based on the Okanagan Zoning Bylaw 2800 (2022) that a 100 m setback must be maintained between any composting facility and this wetland. (2) Based on information provided at the public information session on Oct. 4, the human solid waste composting at the dump is the source of 50% of the dump's leachate and the main source of the dump's odour. I therefore believe it is more important to build the solid waste management facility in Phase 1 of the project, instead of Phase 2, particularly given that the ALC may again reject the non-farm use application for Phase 2. (3) I would like to the RDOS to go back to the drawing board and explore with the City of Penticton more possible solutions/mitigations for the entire waste management site - including the dump - as my objection to the compost facility is that it will allow more waste into and prolong the life of a dump, which is an environmental disaster. I learned at the public information session that it is very difficult to find and approve of new landfill locations - so I think the City/RDOS should use the next 85 years wisely. (4) I appreciate the aims of Phase 1 of the compost facility are to divert yard and kitchen waste from the dump, but I am concerned that the program may encourage had disposal behaviour from large/non commercial landowners. From the public information session (Oct. 4) it is my understanding that the Phase 1 compost facility will have an unstaffed scale with an access pass system for large compost trucks (who will collect from residents via a green bin program) and for commercia operators. But what about private residents with large properties? I know residents who, largely for wildfire mitigation or agricultural reasons, may transport upwards of 30 trips of prunings to the dump each year - I wonder how they will be able to access the Phase 1 facility? If they will be required to use the green bins, then the RDOS and City of Penticton should expect an increase in illegal dumping in the area around Spiller and increased open burning. (5) I understand that traffic to the dump won't increase in the immediate term, but as the population increases in and around Penticton, do the City and RDOS really think it's a good idea for more trucks to be driving through either school zones or tourist areas? I may have misheard at the info session, but if the Marron Valley property was rejected due to traffic (which I thought was the explanation provided), then it should be revisited immediately.

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.



From:

Sent:

October 5, 2023 7:58 PM

To:

Mark Pendergraft; Planning; Christopher Garrish; Andrew Reeder

Subject:

Compost facility

Follow Up Flag:

Flag Status:

Follow up Completed

Some people who received this message don't often get email from

y this is important

Attention: Chair Pendergraft & Board of Directors

I am writing to you this evening in full support of the proposed organic compost facility at 1313 Greyback Road. It is time that we take action to protect our environment by reducing the leaching that is currently taking place at the Campbell Mountain Landfill. The process to get to this proposal has taken longer than a decade and proceeding with a compost facility that will process agricultural waste, residential waste and yard waste is a must. The end product is organic compost that will go back out onto agricultural and residential properties.

The location is perfect - right beside the current landfill where staff can work both locations. This landfill serves the south Okanagan region. People have said this will increase odour, when in fact it will reduce odour for residents of the area. Please do what is right and move this project forward. Composting is good and opposing it simply does not make sense.

Karla Kozakevich Area E & G



From: Victoria Kryzanowski

Sent: October 5, 2023 7:42 PM

To: Shannon Duong

Subject: Public hearing 1313 Greyback Road

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

This is the view of the valley for the underground water system that runs from 1313 Greyback mountain Road! This is on my property running thought to 1362 Greyback mountain road and the riapan area.

We Kryzanowski family do not accept this project and we feel the rdos is just railroading us with no time to fight this and no communications at all every meeting is short notice and is not being recorded as told at meeting last night (open house) No one has this information recorded just what's typed.

From Victoria/ Valerie /Billie Jo /Peter Kryzanowski

The owners of the property lotA, planKAP37672, District Lot2553,

And the owners of 1655 reservoir road that was

already contaminated and useless



Sent from my iPhone





SIMILKAMEEN

Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO:	Regional District	of Okanagan Similkameen	FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONE
FROM:	Name:	Angela laberge		
		(please	e print)	
	Street Address:	Pinetree way		
	Date:	Oct. 9, 2023		
RE:		Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2 Iountain Road — Sublot 8, Distric		Plan 1190
My com	ments / concerns are:			
*<	I <u>do</u> support the prop	osed rezoning of the subject parce	<u>.</u> .	
'	I do not support the p	proposed rezoning of the subject p	arcel	
	Written subn	ovide any comments you wish the nissions will be considered by the to 1 st reading of Amendment Byla	Regional District Bo	pard
mountai	n biking to complimer ousing developments	ved for wildlife and maybe recre nt to Campbell Mountain trail sy however larger parcel sized pro rial buildings with potential neg	stems. I am not in perties and home	n favour of large
		nar paramga mar patamar nagi	auve environment	ar impaots.
4				
/ <u>*</u>				
-				

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.

7,3



Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

10;	Regional District	of Okanagan Similkameen	FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONE
FROM:	Name:	Karen and Darrell McClarty		
		(please	print)	
	Street Address:	ista Place Penticton		
	Date:	October 10, 2023		
RE:		Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 28 Iountain Road — Sublot 8, District		an 1190
My comment	s / concerns are:			
l do	o support the prop	osed rezoning of the subject parcel.		
I <u>dd</u>	o <u>not</u> support the p	roposed rezoning of the subject pa	rcel.	
	Written subn	ovide any comments you wish the Enissions will be considered by the Roto 1st reading of Amendment Bylaw	egional District Boa	ard
We do not so	upport the biosoli	ds facility being built on Greybac	k Mountain Road	
Peachland.	inecessary facult	y whereas biosolids could be dire	ected to the facilit	y west of
Please lister	to the residents	of this community.		

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.



Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO:	Regional District	of Okanagan Similkameen	FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONE
FROM:	Name:	Peter and Colleen Rutherford		
		(pleas	se print)	
	Street Address:	Reservoir Road		
	Date:	October 11, 2023		
RE:		Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2 Iountain Road — Sublot 8, Distri		lan 1190
My comment	s / concerns are:			
I de	o support the propo	osed rezoning of the subject parc	el.	
		roposed rezoning of the subject p		
	Written subn	ovide any comments you wish the nissions will be considered by the to 1 st reading of Amendment Byla	Regional District Bo	ard
west of Peac	hland and invited a	have partnered a deal to compos Il communities south to the US b st Nations and using this site?	t at the former Brene order and north to V	da Mines site Vernon. Why is
with childre "Reservoir Ro	in industrial traffic n. In addition Pent oad which is only ac	through a recreation area (Camplicton City Council has recently a cessed off Reservoir Road. Reservoir Road. What will be done to	bell Mountain) frequ pproved a subdividsi rvoir road has steep	ented by families on at 1530 grades, blind
3) There is a	n abundance of wil	dlife in the area. Has an environ t on wildlife in the immediate are	mental impact study	

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.

STOP a beautiful 80 acre agricultural property on the Naramata Bench from becoming a Compost/Biosolids Waste Facility, PLEASE!

Published by Jacquie Jackson on 13th Sep 2023

A.

- The RDOS (Regional District of South Okanagan) purchased 80 acres of farmland at 1313 Greyback Mountain Road, Penticton BC in 2020.
- The RDOS applied to the Agricultural Land Commission (ALC) to have 55 acres removed from the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR).
- The ALC denied the RDOS's application in 2021.
- The RDOS appealed the ALC's decision in 2022.
- The ALC denied the appeal in 2023.

B.

- Now the RDOS wants to rezone the non-ALR portion of 1313 Greyback Mountain Road (the remaining 25 acres) from 'Resource Area (RA)' to 'Resource Area Site Specific (RAs)'. The change, if adopted, would permit:
- 1. A composting operation as a principal use and
- 2. Public maintenance and works yard as an accessory use.
- If this First Phase goes through then:
- 3. The RDOS wants to build a Biosolids (sewage sludge) facility on the property.
- These potential facilities would service a HUGE area requiring trucking from these destinations to the site forcing the large trucks to go through school zones, residential neighborhoods, and playgrounds emitting exhaust, emissions, and sewer odors all the way, both ways.
- The list of areas potentially served Penticton, Keremeos, Cawston, Lower Similkameen, Rural Oliver, Skaha East, Okanagan Falls, Naramata, Greater West Bench, Rural Summerland, Okanagan Lake West, Rural Keremeos, Hedley, Olalla, Skaha West, Kaleden & Apex.
- In an Article dated August 16, 2016 from the Centre for Sustaining Agriculture and Natural Resources (CSANR) (https://csanr.wsu.edu/biosolids-benefits-risks/): Paragraph #6. Caroline Snyder says: "August 18, 2016 at 7:19 pm. There is nothing "sustainable" about spreading biosolids on the land where we grow our food. This contaminated pollutant-rich mixture contains more than nutrients. As all the previous commentators have pointed out, it contains hundreds of persistent pollutants that accumulate in soil until the land is so polluted it can no longer grow most crops. This is not a potential scenario. It has already happened, e.g. in Augusta GA. For facts, rather than myths about the many risks linked to biosolids use, see http://www.biosolidsfacts.org".
- In that same Article dated August 16, 2016: Paragraph #10. Don Vincent says: "July 9, 2018 at 9:18 am. It is so important to understand that sewer sludge aka biosolids is NOT just human excrement it is a concentration of all domestic and industrial pollutants that go down drains and sewers. It has some good stuff in it, which plants can use, but a huge load of thousands of other contaminants. ... It is true that biosolids contain beneficial elements like phosphorus, nitrogen, organic matter and trace nutrients. But the benefits derived from introducing those components to the soil via biosolids are by far overshadowed by the detrimental effects of toxins and pollutants that comprise the vast majority of the biosolids content."



C.

- These 25 acres are home to diverse and fragile wildlife, including plants, reptiles, and mammals, many of which are endangered/threatened. "The South Okanagan Valley is one of the 4 most endangered ecosystems in Canada" and, in general, we are "the region with the most endangered, threatened and rare species in the province. The South Okanagan is home to 30 percent of BC's Redlisted wildlife species, and 46 percent of the province's Blue-listed species".
- Among MANY others, the land in question is home to:
- 1. The Greyback Elk Herd, numbering approximately 250, calves on this very spot every spring.
- 2. The California Bighorn Sheep no longer occurs in many areas of the Okanagan because of degradation of habitat, changes in predation mortality, and historical over-harvest by legal or illegal hunting. Land development is just one of many factors that have limited access for the sheep to forage for plants. Sheep survival depends on familiarity with their habitat and they are slow to reoccupy vacant habitats.
- 3. The Northern Pacific Rattlesnake is only found in BC and is one of only three remaining rattlesnake species in Canada.

D.

- These 25 acres have their downstream watershed/riparian area to the east which serves as a crucial source of water for sustaining agriculture, wildlife, and human consumption for the surrounding properties.
- With 3 drinking water wells located directly downstream and within the same aquifer, the facility's potential discharge and runoff have a high likelihood of introducing harmful pollutants and toxins into the immediate area, posing a severe risk to the overall water quality.
- This could result in detrimental consequences for both human health and other diverse lifeforms that depend on this riparian area.

Many, MANY people are opposed to this application by the RDOS. To "join the fight", PLEASE sign this petition and SHARE it with everyone in your network. ACT NOW! Together, we can make a difference.

We, the undersigned, oppose the application by the RDOS (Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen) to re-zone 1313 Greyback Mountain Road, Penticton BC from 'Resource Area (RA)' to 'Resource Area Site Specific (RAs)' to put a stop to the building of a compost facility, public maintenance and works yard and a biosolids (sewage sludge) facility.

Canada Canada Canada	V2A4Y6					Tamberoulos	Million	
Cana Cana	V2A4Y6				More studge waste on clean soil is the last thing that Penticton needs!	n soil is the last thing	idge-waste on clea	More si
Cana	V ZABI 3		Penticton	Braid Street	CHANGING BUTTER BUTTER OF THE PARTY OF THE P	Drouin	Andrew	Mr
Cana	(P) A OPPO	British Columbia	Penticton	Spiller Road	Controlle a sutterliberal	Nagy	Lorraine	SIM
Cana	V2A8T3	BC	Penticton	piller Rd	Maring and the Wink of Developing	Coates-Work	Dana	Ms
Canac				Penticton, BC V2A 8T4	Antique break (Spring)	Boull	Margo	MIS
Canac	V2A4K4	Bc	Penticton	VanHornestreet	Smuthmigrach and crack	Kryzanowski	Victoria	Ms
ţ		British Columbia V2A3t8	Penticton	Waterford Ave	many to 1.577 (asham, sa	Weeks	Christine	Miss
s ears	uncil meeting wa	specify. The last co	est that they will not	& Mayor seem to have some inter	already developing triple density subdivision at bottom of road. Greedy council members & Mayor seem to have some interest that they will not specify. The last council meeting was egregiously dismissive of the community local and general.	density subdivision at eneral.	already developing triple density the community local and general	the con
Canada	v2a8t3	bc	penticton	spiller rd	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	work	Jesper	- Pi
Canada	V2A8T3	BC	Penticton	Spiller Road	Amen's International	Gronowski	Val	Mrs
		Вс	Penticton		Carolina in Francis	Conway	Casey	
ng all	ımata is developi	ill in Penticton. Nara	ata Bench, we are st	out behind us. We are NOT Naram	l am not in tavor of the Biosonds. I AM in layor of the development that was going to be put behind us. We are NOT Naramata Bench, we are still in Penticton. Naramata is developing all over the place, they should have nothing to do with our area.	with our area.	should have nothing to do with our area.	should I
Canada	V2A 8T3	BC	Penticton	Spiller Rd				1
Canada	V2A 8T3	BC	PENTICION	SPILLER ROAD	Bras Gold and seasons	McLean	George	
Canada	V2A 8T3	BC	Penticton	Spiller Rd	Samuel Complication	Fader	Truy	Mr
Canada	V2A8T3	BC	Penticton	Spiller Road	C mice and capacitation	Ydse	Jordy	Mr
Canada	V2A 8T3	Bc	Penticton	Spiller Rd		Petersen	Cassi	
Canada	V2A 0E2	BC	Penticton	Whitetale rd	Control of the Contro			
hoods, m wat ose to	dential neighbor their downstrea ility should be cl	jh school zones, resi These 25 acres have . The "Biosolids" fac	the way, both ways. rrounding properties	nations to the site forcing the larg- d), emissions, and sewer odors all and human consumption for the su	These potential facilities would service a HUGE area requiring trucking from these destinations to the site forcing the large trucks to go through school zones, residential neighborhoods, agri-tourism area, vineyards, and playgrounds emitting exhaust (there is a steep road uphill on Reservoir Rd), emissions, and sewer odors all the way, both ways. These 25 acres have their downstream watershed/riparian area to the east which serves as a crucial source of water for sustaining agriculture, wildlife, and human consumption for the surrounding properties. The "Biosolids" facility should be close to a highway.	would service a HUGE is emitting exhaust (the sacrucial source of w	otential facilities v fs, and playground ast which serves a	These princyar to the e
	V2A 8V1	ВС	Penticton	Naramata Road		THE STATE OF	STATE OF THE PARTY	
	V2a8v2		Penticton	Upper Bench N	St. On the St. Line of St. Line of St.	Davidson	Barely	
	VZA 3Y9	BC	Penticton		THE REAL PROPERTY.		1	
				way. Shameful.	This sounds awful. Smelly, awful, horrible traffic PLUS taking the elks' calving grounds away. Shameful.	, awful, horrible traffic	ınds awful. Smelly	This so
Canada	V2A 8T3	BC	Penticton	Spiller Road	THE PARTY OF THE P		The state of	
Send	aces that want b	else - maybe as far away as all the places that want to send their sludge here.	ere else - maybe as i	e moved already) and this somewh	This sounds awful. Keep this away from here. Actually, take the dump (which should have moved already) and this somewhere	his away from here. A	ınds awful. Keep t	This so
Canada	V2A 8T3	BC - British Columbia	Penticton	Spiller Road				
Canada		Вс	Summerland				Section 1	Ms
Canada	VIY 8T3	BC	Penticton	Spiller Rd	Constitution	Dagneau	Spence	Ms.
Canada		ВС	Penticton	Falconridge Drive	Contraction of the Contraction o	Saenger	Ellen	B
Canada		BC	Penticton	Pinetree Way	Control or annual control	Spain	Kisha	Mrs.
the cit	ive eye sore with as to the current	solids facility. this is not only a massive eye sore with the city promoting active wraps. This also needs to come out as to the current contamination of the down	biosolids facility, the der wraps. This also	to find another site for our landfill properties that have been kept un ter.	this is incredibly irrisponsible. It is time the RDOS and the City of Penticton make plans to find another site for our landfill/biosolids facility, this is not only a massive eye sore with the city promoting active development around the whole sitethis has now contaminated a number of down slope properties that have been kept under wraps. This also needs to come out as to the current contamination of the down slope properties. We need a new and better solution to this growing environmental disaster.	whole sitethis has no la new and better solu	ncredubly urrspons ment around the operties. We need	develop slope p
Canada	V2A 8T3	BC	Penticton	Waramata Road	A THE PARTY OF THE	Da Silva	Richard	Mr.
Canada		British Columbia	Penticton		Montan pl. in the second	Papp	Eleanor	
		BC	Penticton	Vista Place	The state of the s	Brown	Deleenia	Ms
Canada	126383	Alberta	Calgary	16st se	Salvator a statem with the	Yeoman	Emily	
Region	Postcode	State	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAM	Seation	THIS!	- Smithteder	SAN THE PROPERTY OF STREET	SECTION AND DESCRIPTION

Ifm Jackson Ja
Ilim Jackson Hoad Fellcon Ridge Drive Penticton BC V2A8T4 Canada Sep 15, 202. Mr Phil Guertin Guertin Fellcon your process does not meet the basic requirements of a healthy organic compost process. Your sewage waste addition is loaded with pharmaceuticals, heavy metals and many other poisonous compounds. Get real. Mrs Lori Guertin British Columbia V2A 8T4 Canada Sep 15, 202: Penticton BC V2A 8T4 Canada Sep 15,
Jim Jackson angela LaBerge Phil Guertin opposed to this project as it's maceuticals, heavy metals and remarkable for the control of the con
m Jackson ngela LaBerge hil Guertin sposed to this project as it's be ceuticals, heavy metals and m ori Guertin Laberge Laberge
Jackson LaBerge Guertin sed to this project as it's becticals, heavy metals and ma
Jackson LaBerge Guertin to this project as it's been als, heavy metals and many
Jackson LaBerge Guertin this project as it's been proposed. The end product from your heavy metals and many other poisonous compounds. Get real
inge tin t as it's been proposed als and many other po
een proposed. The er any other poisonous
d. The end product lossenous compounds
roduct from your pr pounds. Get real.
Fisher Rider Falcon Rider Process does not meet the base al.
Falcon Ridge Drive pinetree way Falconridge Drive not meet the basic require
Orive Penticton Penticton rive Penticton
n BC
V2ARF3 Canada

00	100	RUI	10/		106	105	2	104	103		102	101	100	99	98	97		96		95	94	93	92		91	90	89	00	80	/8	3
	decided It	The Agrica	Mr.	SURELY		200	At a threa	SIN		lead, cadr	Mr.	Mrs.		Mr		Miss	I support existing a landfill's I the landfil restore th	Mr.	individua steep gra	MI	Mr	Mr		The toxic	Ms		Ms.	NO CTAN	Please do	MS	T
SIOTINI	s experts are be	illural I and Co	Duncan	AN FIND A BE	HERB	Brenda	t to the environ		The state of the s	lead, cadmiun, pharmasuticals etc.	Albert (Bert)	Colleen	Lori	Steve	Jan	Meghan	the creation of griculture and grojected closin Il and biosolids le landfill to rol	Cameron	ls with their chi des associated	Section 2			Louise	ity of these site		Chad	TOO! All cad	Jo	n't destroy this	Colleen	
Dackworth	etter than the one	mmission (ALC) h	McCowan	SURELY CAN FIND A BETTER LOCATION	CATTEE	Blatz	ment/ecology of t			iticals etc.) O'Brien	Rutherford	Goldman	Boultbee	Morris	McLaren	a bio solids facilit the lakes. By choo g date. Expanding facility as one pro ling parkland for v	МогтеШ	lidren accessing the with Reservoir Ro				Blais	The toxicity of these sites alone, should be a hard no.	STATE OF THE PARTY	Gentes	A difficult to stori	NICHOIS	Please don't destroy this land building these facilities.	Van Loon	
Michie Indexworth The Control of the	decided its experts are better than the ones with the ALC. This will do harm to the Greyback Elk Herd and harm the surrounding area. Find another location.		Chime and Mill Haware No.		And my and man and a second		At a threat to the environment/ecology of the area & increased dangerous traffic, I say absolutely not.		The state of the s	Bio-soilds are an immensiey overlooked component of bio hazard waste in many forms such as fertilizer production. I have never lead, cadmiun, pharmasuticals etc.	On the state of th	Copyrighter for the Committee of the Com	Minicipal Control Control	American party	- sansagement gentlement	And municipality of the state o	I support the creation of a bio solids facility, but NOT at this location. A new location should be found for both the landfill and a bio solids/composting facility. This new location should be inland, away for existing agriculture and the lakes. By choosing Greyback Mountain as a location for bio-solids, you will institutionalize garbage processing at this mountain location for generations, instead of adhering to the landfill's projected closing date. Expanding Greyback's role as a trash centre would be bad policy and will lead to increased degradation of the Naramata Bench, our farms, and our waterways. Please consider the landfill and biosolids facility as one problem that needs solving. That means removing all garbage facilities from Greyback and moving them to a more remote location inland. If that were done, you could restore the landfill to rolling parkland for walkers, cyclists, and city dwellers: a win-win for all.	Standing of the Control of the Contr	in adminute one comments usted there is a major safety issue with the increase in large industrial trucks on Reservoir Road. These trucks are travelling at high speed in an area where there are many individuals with their children accessing the Cambel Mountain trail system. These trucks have reduced braking and cornering compared to a motor vehicle. With the blind corners, weather conditions and the steep grades associated with Reservoir Road there is high potential for a serious accident with increased industrial use in a recreation area.	The state of the s	To be a second and the second	Maria Santa Article		a hard no.			Ms.		se facilities.	Hamilton of disposition #2	
Smethurst Road	ck Elk Herd and harm the surrou	Kilwinning Street	Naramata Rd		Falcon ridge Drive	SECERST AVE	solutely not.	Place		ch as fertilizer production. I have	Sundance Drive	Reservoir Road	Dauphin Ave	Spill .	derenzy pl		ild be found for both the landfill i blids, you will institutionalize gard d policy and will lead to increase all garbage facilities from Greyb or all.	Falconridge Drive	industrial trucks on Reservoir Ro have reduced braking and corner with increased industrial use in	heservoir Road	Winnipeg Street	SPILLER ROAD	Sinclair Rd			Cambie st	Attiment Ct 306	penticton			PROOF DATEMENT OF A PROPERTY.
Naramata	denied the RDOS re nding area. Find an	Penticton	Penticton, BC		Penticton	PENTICTON		Oliver	Lake Country	never heard of an a	WEST KELOWNA	Penticton	Penticton	Penticton	Penticton	Penticton	and a bio solids/con bage processing at d degradation of the ack and moving the	Penticton	ad. These trucks au ring compared to a a recreation area.	Penticton	Penticton	Penticton	Summerland		Penticton	Penticton	Dontiston	penticton		Penticton	T CHILCHOTT
BC	quest and then deni other location.	British Columbia V2A4P3	BC.		British Columbia	BRITISH		British Columbia	BC	heard of an applicant show how they will eliminate the heavy metals such as		BC	British Columbia	British Columbia V2A 8T3	BC .	BC. Canada	bio solids/composting facility. This new location should be inland, away from processing at this mountain location for generations, instead of adhering to the radation of the Naramata Bench, our farms, and our waterways. Please considered moving them to a more remote location inland. If that were done, you could	BC	e travelling at high motor vehicle. With	British Columbia V2A8T3	British Columbia V2A 5N3	ВС	British Columbia V0H 1Z8		BC	BC VZA /MO	Base Calabi	bc		BC	000
WALL THO	ed the appeal o	V2A4P3	V2A 8T6		VZASTA	V2A 3R1		V0H1T2		they will elimina	V4T 1S4		W2A 3S3	V2A 8T3	V7A RW7		s new location s on for generatic our farms, and c location inland		speed in an are the blind corne	a V2A8T3	a V2A 5N3	V2A 8T3	a V0H 1Z8		OSED7A	WAY MO	Vince and	v2a		VZA7K3	OTO WZA
	f RDOS, the RI	Canada	Canada	Canada	Canada	Canada		Canada	Canada	ate the heavy n	Canada	Canada	Canada	Canada	Cumuuu	Canada	should be inlar ons, instead of our waterways. . If that were d	Canada	sa where there irs, weather co	Canada		Canada	Canada		Calland	Canada	5	Canada		Canada	Canada
2000	DOS has	Oct 04, 2023	Oct 04, 2023	Oct 03, 2023	0+ 00 000	Oct 03, 2023		Oct 03, 2023	Oct 03, 2023	netals such as	Oct 03, 2023	Oct 03 2023	Oct 03, 2023	Oct 03, 2023	Oct 03, 2023	Oct 02 202	id, away from adhering to th . Please consid lone, you could	Oct 03 2023	are many enditions and th	Oct 02, 2023	Oct 02, 2023	Oct 02, 2023	Oct 02, 2023	Oct 01, 2025	001 01, 2023	Oct 01, 2023		Oct 01, 2023		Oct 01, 2023	Oct 01, 2023

128	127	126		125	124		123	122		121		120	119	118	117	116	115	114		113	112		111	110	L.
			We nee	miss	Mrs.	elk rang the prov Committ Milorga irrespon reading.		Ms	The lan	Mrs.	Very wo	Mr		Mr	Ms		Mr	Mrs.	Please truck to 100% a thing a	Ms		Carele		Mrs	wife
	Shana	Dan	d agriculture la	Leah	Rebecca	ge, nuisance an viso that only a ttee in the City anite. It didn't g nsible at best ar	doug	Lauren J	d proposed for etwork fir large	Deborah	orried about thi	Gavin		Tyson	D'Arcie	Gail	Les	Julia	do not destroy traffic up Reservagainst poisonin and protect this l	Kirsten	Ţ	ss use of land th		THE PARTY OF	- Mannagara
	Miller	North	nd to remain to its J	North	Kelly	d hazardous heavy-t small amount be us of Penticton (discon o away because the nd verging on a brea	Mathias	Cornish	composting use is c haviling trucks to b	Webb	s and the effect on I	Miller		Archer	Knoll	Bazen	Dewar	Valenti	Please do not destroy this incredible natural space we are surruck traffic up Reservoir Road. I lived on McMillan Ave for 100% against poisoning this land and the surrounding area thing and protect this Penticton gem for future generations!	Robertson		at holds a great dea			e Tast traine
	Character particity of	(William th 1313 (Fry mathery of	We need agriculture land to remain to its purpose!!! Grow food , keep livestock do both but no compost site needs to use this land !!!!! Nor do we need another vineyard!!!		Comments to the second second	elk range, nuisance and hazardous heavy-truck traffic, soil-poisoning biosolids. What more do you need? I've used the compost from the existing facility from time to plant commercial fruit trees with the proviso that only a small amount be used due to high salts concentrations and traces of heavy metals being possible. Not sustainable to apply long-term. Perhaps if there was still an Agricultural Advisory Committee in the City of Penticton (discontinued during covid), the City at least could be cognizant of this. In the 60s, the City of Milwaukee sold a biosoloid fertilizer throughout N. America called Milorganite. It didn't go away because they ran out of "biosolids". For the RDOS to even consider trucking biosolids (read "everybody's shit-and-who-knows-what-else") through the centre of town is grossly irresponsible at best and verging on a breach of fiduciary duty at worst. I would invite the RDOS to publish the carbon-footprint analysis portion of their cost-benefit study. I'm sure it would be interesting reading.	"Interior Monte of the Printer	committee on the first control of the control of th	The land proposed for composting use is calving grounds for Greyback Elk Herd plus home to California Bighorn sheep. Leave the animals' habitat alone. Also, too close to human usage of Campbell zmountsi. Irail Network fir large hauling trucks to be using the road to this piece of land to haul organic compost from all the Electoral Areas B DE F G H I plus Penticton and Keremeos. Find another location!	Continue of the state of the st	Very worried about this and the effect on traffic and our crops	CHANNE WHITE STATE OF THE STATE		(by soudant hungament sounce)	dilitim Instituti (Interior Illegion	pharm qualitant	devante - rehondant	(пилуния ппершийлов)	Please do not destroy this incredible natural space we are so fortunate to be able to access so close to town. I am 100% against destroying this important wildlife habitat. I am 100% against increasing large truck traffic up Reservoir Road. I lived on McMillan Ave for 14 years and the amount of truck traffic has increased significantly and has become very loud and dangerous. Part of the reason we moved. I am 100% against poisoning this land and the surrounding area with toxic bio-solids and stinky compost. This type of facility belongs in an Industrial zoned area, not in a fragile wildlife habitat. Please, do the righting and protect this Penticton gem for future generations!	Шилине		Careless use of land that holds a great deal of animal welfare within it. Interesting how nothing has been in the media about it until now			S Campillary St. Company
Wyles Crescent	South South		but no compost site needs to use t	Okanagan Falls BC Canada	Warren Avenue W Penticton	re do you need? I've used the com of heavy metals being possible. No cognizant of this. In the 60s, the consider trucking biosolids (read or RDOS to publish the carbon-foo	Smethurst Rd	Lakeside Court	me to California Bighorn sheep. La rganic compost from all the Elect			Upper Bnech Road S		Naramata Rd		26	62nd Ave	Veteran Dr.	ess so close to town. I am 100% aguruck traffic has increased signific ly compost. This type of facility be	North Naramata Rd Naramata		nothing has been in the media abo		Sutherland Road	Address
Penticton	Penticton	Whitehorse	his land !!!!! Nor di	Okanagan Falls	W Penticton	post from the exist ot sustainable to a City of Milwaukee "everybody's shit-a tprint analysis port	Naramata	Penticton	eave the animals' horal Areas B DE F (Penticton		S Penticton	Penticton	Penticton	Penticton	Penticton	Osoyoos	Penticton	jainst destroying th antly and has beco elongs in an Indust	Naramata	Naramata	ut it until now.	Penticton	Penticton	CHAN THE
BC.	British Columbia V2A8T1	Yukon	o we need another	BC	вс	om the existing facility from time to time to plant commercial fruit ainable to apply long-term. Perhaps if there was still an Agricultura Milwaukee sold a biosoloid fertilizer throughout N. America called body's shit-and-who-knows-what-else') through the centre of town i analysis portion of their cost-benefit study. I'm sure it would be interested to the control of their cost-benefit study. I'm sure it would be interested to the cost-benefit study. I'm sure it would be interested to the cost-benefit study.	BC	ВС	abitat alone. Also, t 3 H I plus Penticton	BC		BC	Bc	BC	BC	BC	ВС	B.C.	lestroying this important wildlife habitat. I am 100% against increasing large und has become very loud and dangerous. Part of the reason we moved. I am in an Industrial zoned area, not in a fragile wildlife habitat. Please, do the right	BC	BC		ВС	Canada	State
EMS VCA	via V2A8T1		vineyard!!!	VOHIRI	V2A3N2	ne to time to plan haps if there was silizer throughou t-else") through t	V0H 1N1	V2A 8W7	oo close to huma and Keremeos.	V2A8X9		V2A 8T1		V2A 8T3	V2A 7C5	V2A 8K8	V0H 1V1	V2A 8Y2	fe habitat. I am 1 angerous. Part o in a fragile wild	V0H1N1				V2A 8T8	Postcode
Connda	Canada	Canada		Canada	Canada	at commercial still an Agrica still an Agrica c t N. America c the centre of two ure it would be		Canada	an usage of Ca. Find another l	Canada		Canada			Canada		Canada	Canada	.00% against in If the reason w life habitat. Pl	Canada	Canada		Canada		Region
04 05 2022	Oct 05, 2023	Oct 05, 2023		Oct 05, 2023	Oct 05, 2023	fruit trees with ultural Advisory alled own is grossly interesting	Oct 05, 2023	Oct 05, 2023	mpbell zmountsi.	Oct 05, 2023		Oct 04, 2023	Oct 04, 2023	Oct 04, 2023	Oct 04, 2023	Oct 04, 2023	Oct 04, 2023	Oct 04, 2023	ncreasing large he moved. I am ease, do the right	Oct 04, 2023	Oct 04, 2023		Oct 04, 2023	Oct 04, 2023	Date



Jesper Work

Impact Statement Regarding the New Recycling & Bio-Waste Facility:

1 message

Jesper Work <

Thu, Oct 5, 2023 at 6:40 PM

- 1. Fire Hazard: The establishment of this facility, with its increased industrial activity undeniably heightens the risk of devastating fires.
- 2. Road Deterioration: The dire state of Spiller Road around the landfill is an issue that cannot be ignored and rural roads cannot handle the traffic.
- 3. Toxic Spills: Disturbing reports of toxic spills on local roads have exposed irresponsible waste management practices by the Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen (RDOS). Their refusal to take responsibility for incoming transport trucks is a red flag.
- 4. New Residential Subdivision: The recent approval of a new residential subdivision near Greyback Mountain warrants a thorough and impartial environmental and traffic impact assessment. This assessment must carefully consider both current and potentially tripled population estimates, as well as geological instability, such as fault lines and recent landslides. The lead engineer's dismissal of the environmental impact of concurrent development raises concerns about the RDOS's commitment to evaluating the implications of these simultaneous projects.
- 5. Community Resistance: A significant portion of our community vehemently opposes the facility due to concerns that it will compromise our rural lifestyle and the natural beauty of our surroundings. This opposition underscores a clear disconnect between the project's proponents and the residents who will be most affected.
- 6. Aesthetic Concerns: The proposed industrial cement building design is not in harmony with the natural beauty of our surroundings. We consider detrimental to our environment.

In summary, these concerns demand thorough reconsideration of the current plans for the Recycling & Bio-Waste Facility. We implore you to take immediate action to address these pressing issues to ensure the safety, well-being, and environmental integrity of our local area.

1185 Spiller Rd Penticton (Above Waste Facility)

Jesper Work (6)
Dana Coates-Work (6)

X

Adrienne Fedrigo RDOS Electoral Area E Director Regional District Okanagan Similkameen (RDOS) 101 Martin Street Penticton, BC, V2A 5J9

Cc'd Andrew Reeder RDOS Manager of Solid Waste

August 4th, 2023

Subject: Formal Complaint Regarding Proposed Organics Waste Processing Facility

Dear Director Fedrigo,

I hope this letter finds you well. I am writing to express my sincere concerns about the proposed organics waste processing facility and its potential impacts on both wildlife, particularly the local elk herd, and the water quality of our precious watershed.

First and foremost, I would like to emphasize my appreciation for the efforts undertaken by the Regional District Okanagan Similkameen (RDOS) in trying to address waste management issues responsibly. I believe that sustainable waste management is critical for the well-being of our community and environment and diverting up to 40% of the waste stream is a substantial volume, albeit perhaps optimistic. However, I firmly believe that the location and operation of the proposed organics waste processing facility must be carefully reevaluated to ensure the preservation of our natural assets.

As we are all aware, this area is home to diverse and fragile wildlife, including a significant local elk herd. The elk herd is an integral part of our ecosystem, and any disturbances to their habitat could have far-reaching consequences. The proposed facility's proximity to their habitat raises serious concerns about potential disturbances, noise pollution, and disruption of essential migration routes. These disturbances may adversely affect the elk's breeding patterns, foraging behavior, and overall well-being. As responsible stewards of the land, it is imperative for us to safeguard the natural habitats of these magnificent

Furthermore, the impact of the proposed organics waste processing facility on our watershed is a matter of utmost concern. The downstream watershed/riparian area to the east of the proposed facility serves as a crucial source of water for sustaining agriculture, wildlife, and human consumption for the surrounding properties. With 3 drinking water wells located directly downstream and within that very same aquifer, the facility's potential discharge and runoff have a high likelihood of introducing harmful pollutants and toxins into the immediate area, posing a severe risk to the overall water quality. This could result in detrimental consequences for both human health and the diverse aquatic and terrestrial life that depends on this riparian area. The attached environmental impact assessment (EIA) was completed in 2018 (site visit in Fall 2017, report finalized in 2019) for the purpose of rezoning the property located at 1362 Greyback Mountain Rd with the RDOS. The RDOS does have a copy of this EIA on file from that successful rezoning application. There are 3 key items to highlight within this EIA:

1) "...While a Riparian Area Regulation (RAR) assessment is likely unnecessary [for 1362 Greyback Mt. Rd.], this does not mean that water or groundwater resources are not present. Full consideration of these potential resources has not occurred (i.e., we have assessed and determined that the RAR does not apply [to 1362 Greyback Mt. Rd.], but have included a 15 m setback regardless to accommodate a lack of full investigation into the ephemeral watercourse" 2) "...The release of silt, sediment, sediment-laden water, raw concrete, concrete leachate, or any other deleterious substances into any drainage, gully, or storm water system must be prevented at all times. Given the proximity to the City of Penticton's drinking water reservoir, this is critically important."

3) The area in question is shown in the Environmental Sensitivity Analysis map as a 'No Disturb Zone' and identified as a ESA Level 2 area.

It is my understanding that historically the Campbell Mountain Landfill has already impacted surrounding properties with irreversible damage to the groundwater table. For example, the property located at 1655 Reservoir Rd. was previously owned by the Kryzanowski family, and was purchased primarily due to the impacts of the landfill having detrimental impacts to the groundwater table, and thus the property's drinking water.

Considering these concerns, I humbly request that the RDOS conducts a thorough and comprehensive EIA/RAR before proceeding any further with the development of the proposed organics waste facility. The environmental investigation should address potential risks to wildlife, watershed water quality, and explore alternative sites in order to minimize adverse and potentially irreversible impacts. Additionally, I kindly urge RDOS to engage with local first nations, community members, environmental experts, and wildlife biologists to gather a broader range of data, perspectives, and insights for this proposed project.

Regarding community engagement, I believe it's worth noting that the older generations often face unique challenges when it comes to accessing digital information and engaging with local government. If the RDOS is able to genuinely address these issues to gain real input from the surrounding property owners who are directly impacted, they can bridge the digital divide and ensure that all community members, including older generations, can participate actively in voicing their concerns with projects that directly impact their lives.

In conclusion, I strongly believe that the issues raised above must be proactively and fully addressed in order for the RDOS to genuinely demonstrate its commitment to the responsible and sustainable development of waste management facilities. Preserving our environment, safeguarding our wildlife, and maintaining the quality of our drinking water sources are vital responsibilities that we must uphold for the well-being of current and future generations.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to receiving updates on the progress made towards addressing these concerns-

Yours sincerely,

George Niddery
2 Greyback Mt. Rd
Penticton, BC V2A 8T3





Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

10:	Regional District	or Okanagan Similkameen	FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONI
FROM:	Name:	Phil Guertin		
		(pleas	se print)	
	Street Address:	Falconridge Drive		
	Date	October 11th		
RE:		y Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2 Mountain Road — Sublot 8, Distric		lan 1190
My commer	nts / concerns are:			
	do support the prop	osed rezoning of the subject parc	el.	
✓ 1 <u>0</u>	do not support the p	proposed rezoning of the subject p	parcel.	
	Written sub	rovide any comments you wish the missions will be considered by the to 1 st reading of Amendment Byla	Regional District Bo	ard
1) I'm agair	nst rezoning farm	lands		
		npact to our wildlife species in t		
		ffic, noise and environmental po	ollution that will res	ul t
		values in the affected areas	WT: INDUSED SERVICES SERVICES	
		act study and assessment been		
		presented with operating costs olids expert been hired	i, revenues, intrastr	ucture costs
		tes that have been evaluated, i	f so where what w	as the process
9) Are there	e other competing	technologies that could or shou	uld be pursued inst	ead
		cy plans if this project is approv		
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.



options.

Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO:	Regional District	of Okanagan Similkameen	FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONE					
FROM:	Name:	Anette Engel							
		(please	e print)						
	Street Address:	948 Naramata Road, Penticto	on, V2A 8V1						
	Date:	October 11, 2023							
RE: Okanagan Valley Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24 1313 Greyback Mountain Road — Sublot 8, District Lot 2711, SDYD, Plan 1190									
My comme	ents / concerns are:								
i	do support the prop	osed rezoning of the subject parce	ો.						
	do not support the p	proposed rezoning of the subject p	parcel.						
	Please pi	ovide any comments you wish the	Board to consider.						
		missions will be considered by the							
		to 1st reading of Amendment Byla							
in the evenings.	gineering knowledge that Andrew F	teeder shared with us regarding phase 1 and phase 2 for a setter composting. As a neighbour to the west of the CML, I	composting operation on 1313 Gr suffer from the evening oder from	eyback Mtn Rd. I agree that we					
There is a lack of or has not taken the a website of the RDC Bench Rd with City Household food we organic) Phase I sounds like preserved for wildli	communication between the City of laccess to the property on 1313 Grev DS sees an increase in material. It is to Hall and Vancouver Hill. The fact the laste should not include meat or other than the lacket are assentiated with its life forms. It is the cate of the lacket are assentiated with its life forms. It is the cate of the lacket are assentiated with its life forms. It is the cate of the lacket are assentiated with its life forms.	Penticton and the RDOS. While the City approved a resident back Mtn Rd. Into consideration. While the statement is that is not clear if the traffic will go through Upper Bench Rd with that the waste has been going up that road for years, doesn't animal by-products. I have seen that animal protein influentiped plan, but the proposed site on the 1313 Greyback Resolving grounds for our Greyback Fik herd (250 animals), hereallying grounds for our Greyback Fik herd (250 animals), hereallying grounds for our Greyback Fik herd (250 animals), hereallying grounds for our Greyback Fik herd (250 animals), hereallying grounds for our Greyback Fik herd (250 animals).	It there will be not more traffic to the its wineries, or Middle Bench Rd of it mean it is an acceptable solution noes the compost negatively (sme and, should not be on relatively prise to the California Bighorn Shei	ne CML/Compost facility, the with Uplands School, or Lower n for the future.					
The Campbell Mou	Intain Trail Network is immensely po	etly east of the landfill which is in view of anyone looking up	Past Munson Mountain.	and contributes to our touriem					

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.

The proposed facilities across from the often well-used parking lot, pose a very real potential for large trucks to encounter pedestrians on that rural road which is a frightful thought This eco-system should not be ruined. It is within ESA2 zoned land and is worth to be protected. There needs to be more communication between ALC and RDOS about other

Phase 2 is unacceptable as it means to transport sludge from several Wastewater treatment plants up on Landfill-Reservoir Road on a steep windy road, passing through a busy agri-tourism area, or Vancouver Hill, or Uplands Elementary School, then go by a new housing development.

There are other options to produce compost, I read in the news that it was revealed that the Westbank First Nations (WFN) has partnered in a deal to compost at the former Brenda Mines site west of Peachland. Starting next month, materials will be initially collected from Kelowna's Glenore landfill. WFN invites all communities, south to the US Burder and north to Vernon, including Penticton, to partake. There needs to be communication between Westbank FN, City of Penticton, and RDOS to find the most efficient way to handle compostable refuses in the Okanagan.

I appreciate the engineering knowledge that Andrew Reeder shared with us regarding phase 1 and phase 2 for a composting operation on 1313 Greyback Mtn Rd. I agree that we need to process organic waste properly and invest in better composting. As a neighbour to the west of the CML, I suffer from the evening odor from CML, esp. with the downdraft in the evenings.

However, I am opposed to the development as presented.

There is a lack of communication between the City of Penticton and the RDOS. While the City approved a residential strata development on Landfill (—Reservoir) Road, the RDOS has not taken the access to the property on 1313 Greyback Mtn Rd. into consideration. While the statement is that there will be not more traffic to the CML/Compost facility, the website of the RDOS sees an increase in material. It is not clear if the traffic will go through Upper Bench Rd with its wineries, or Middle Bench Rd with Uplands School, or Lower Bench Rd with City Hall and Vancouver Hill. The fact that the waste has been going up that road for years, doesn't mean it is an acceptable solution for the future.

Household food waste should not include meat or other animal by-products. I have seen that animal protein influences the compost negatively (smell, maggots, not certifiable as organic)

Phase 1 sounds like a reasonably engineered and developed plan, but the proposed site on the 1313 Greyback Rd. should not be on relatively pristine land which needs to be preserved for wildlife along with its life forms. It is the calving grounds for our Greyback Elk herd (250 animals), home to the California Bighorn Sheep and many species at risk like the endangered Northern Pacific Rattlesnake. It is directly east of the landfill which is in view of anyone looking up past Munson Mountain.

The Campbell Mountain Trail Network is immensely popular, with many people hiking, biking or dog walking there. It is a major Tourism attraction and contributes to our tourism. The proposed facilities across from the often well-used parking lot, pose a very real potential for large trucks to encounter pedestrians on that rural road which is a frightful thought.

This eco-system should not be ruined. It is within ESA2 zoned land and is worth to be protected. There needs to be more communication between ALC and RDOS about other options.

Phase 2 is unacceptable as it means to transport sludge from several Wastewater treatment plants up on Landfill-Reservoir Road on a steep windy road, passing through a busy agri-tourism area, or Vancouver Hill, or Uplands Elementary School, then go by a new housing development.

There are other options to produce compost. I read in the news that it was revealed that the Westbank First Nations (WFN) has partnered in a deal to compost at the former Brenda Mines site west of Peachland. Starting next month, materials will be initially collected from Kelowna's Glenore landfill. WFN invites all communities, south to the US Border and north to Vernon, including Penticton, to partake. There needs to be communication between Westbank FN, City of Penticton, and RDOS to find the most efficient way to handle compostable refuses in the Okanagan.



Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

10:	Regional District	of Okanagan Similkameen	FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONE
FROM:	Name:	Alix MacDonald		
		(pleas	se print)	
	Street Address:	Spiller Road, Penticton BC, V	/2A 8T3	
	Date:	October 11, 2023		
RE:	Okanagan Valley 1313 Greyback N	Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2 Iountain Road — Sublot 8, Distric	2800.24 ct Lot 2711, SDYD, P	lan 1190
My comm	ents / concerns are:			
	I <u>do</u> support the prop	osed rezoning of the subject parce	el.	
\checkmark	I <u>do not</u> support the p	roposed rezoning of the subject p	parcel.	
	Written subm	ovide any comments you wish the nissions will be considered by the to 1 st reading of Amendment Byla	Regional District Box	ard
My comm	nents are in a letter t	hat I will submit with this form		
				7

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.

RDOS BOARD MEMBERS October 11, 2023

I am not opposed, in general, to a composting/biosolids facility. I am opposed to locating the proposed one at 1313 Greyback Mountain Road.

Please live up to the RDOS Vision and Mission Statement:

Vision: "We envision the Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen as a steward of our environment, sustaining a diverse and livable region that offers a high quality of life through good governance."

Mission Statement: "To initiate and implement policies which preserve and enhance the quality of life and serve the broader public interest in an effective, equitable, environmental and fiscally responsible manner."

A facility such as the Campbell Mountain Landfill (CML) is, obviously, a necessary function of municipal and rural populations but it should no longer be where it is. In 1972, landowners in its vicinity were promised that after 20 years, it would be covered over, and a golf course would be installed. 51 years later, and 31 years after that promise, the CML is still there, and it is permitted to limp on despite its numerous, varied and highly detrimental issues. To be blunt, the lies started in 1972.

Clearly, the state of the CML and its history is an issue separate to the present proposal but the lines between the two are blurred; it is hard to look at what is proposed in isolation because it goes hand-in-hand with what exists. The currently proposed, two-phase composting and biosolids facility would be adjacent to the CML. The existing composting/biosolids operations at the CML would move across the road, effectively increasing CML's capacity and extending its life.

'Across the road' is 1313 Greyback Mountain Road (1313). Once a cattle farm, it is 80 acres in total, comprised of 55-acres in the ALR and another 25-acre piece. When 1313 came on the market, it was as a closed bid process. A party bid 1.6 million, planning to have an agri-tourism business there. When the process questionably somehow turned into an open bid one, they learned about the RDOS's bid and upped theirs to 1.7 million. Even with the high bid, they lost out as the RDOS then swooped in and expropriated it for 1.6 million. Altogether, this expenditure of public money was suspicious and perhaps a tactical move that was impulsive, misguided, and ill-judged.

Apparently, there was an exhaustive search for a composting location that revealed none other than 1313. This is disputable. The RDOS is pushing hard, claiming that 1313 is the most economical, simplest, and otherwise prudent location for composting facilities, that proximity to the CML operations is anticipated to save capital costs. There ARE other locations, some of which are below.

Options for consideration:

1) Primary Counter Proposal

- Using the facilities that The Westbank First Nations (WFN) very recently announced.
- The WFN is reaching out to other Districts from as far south as the US Border and north to Vernon to use their facilities.
- When their operation was announced, the WFN said that Directors from Regional Districts around the area were touring. Were ours?
- No capital costs required.
- These facilities are almost immediately available the opening is slated for next month.
- Sell 1313 and dedicate those funds to those required to secure a new location for the CML.
- Opponents to this will decry the cost the environmental impact of hauling but efficiencies are possible.

2) Second Counter Proposal

- Explore the offer that Elizabeth Kruger, of Marron Valley, proposed as an alternate site.
- Capital costs may not change, but hauling would be less of a concern than in #1.

3) Third Counter Proposal

- If it MUST be near the CML, consider this 1655 Reservoir Road is already owned by the RDOS. They bought it, with information sealed, from the previous residential owner because the land became too contaminated by the CML for habitation.
- A very small portion of this 6.093 acre property is currently used as an RDOS yard. The proposed compost/biosolid operation would require a total of approx. 5 acres.
- Placing it there would:
 - Make better use of an already 'condemned' space.
 - Leave 1313 largely natural and allow the known life species there to continue their existence.
 - Not threaten the water courses/sheds/catchments and ground pools on 1313, or the Penticton Water Reservoir to the east.
 - Be no capital cost for land and less costs required for road access since there is already a vehicle entry.
 - Somewhat alleviate traffic at the CML entrance as trucks with composting/sludge would turn left prior to the S-curve leading up to it. There is even space across the road from 1655 that could be used if there are lineups, thus alleviating blockage of Reservoir Road.
 - No conflict, other than travel up to 1655 Reservoir, with users of the public parking lot higher up (the corner of Spiller, Reservoir and Greyback Mountain Roads). This parking lot is extremely well used, often overflowing such that vehicles line Spiller and Greyback Mountain Roads.

It is known that grant money (\$10,984,380) will be available but only until March 2024 when a tender must be awarded and March 2025 when the project must be complete. A report by Andrew Reeder on July 6, 2023, indicates that capital costs, based on projections (we all know what happens with projected numbers) would be in the range of \$70 million without any infrastructure (road works, etc.) taken into account. Throwing \$5 million in to cover infrastructure, contingencies, and land acquisition, makes the grant an approximate 15% of the total.

Is the size of the grant worth enough to warrant spending it, in addition to the balance of the monies coming from the public purse (\$64 million?), on a project at the wrong place? And after spending 1.6 million for land that is yet deemed to be usable for the project? We deserve transparency and real consultancy about the allocation of our tax dollars.

The public information session and the public hearing were NOT made known to the public far enough in advance and the net cast was far too narrow. Methods of communication used were extremely limited with very short notice given. The information session was the day before the hearing. Who does that? And why? It almost seems as if the RDOS did not want anyone to know, thereby doing the absolute minimum required. What is the secrecy all about and why the rush? Had a larger portion of public known about both these meetings, I am sure more people would have been in attendance.

The information session should have been called, "The Public Vague Concept Presentation." Its lack of information and the subsequent confusion was exhausting. Well intentioned, very concerned people in attendance tried to get a grasp of the project but were unable to do so by the visuals posted and by the uninformed presenter. In addition to the presenter, there was a fellow 2 weeks into his job at the RDOS and the Area E Director who apparently said that she had never driven to the site and did not know where it was.

The visuals were vague and the presenter did not know about environmental concerns in the area, had no idea how the site would be accessed, seemed to have no historical knowledge pertinent to the area, claimed that traffic at the CML entrance would be reduced but did not have facts to back that up, claimed that there would be no impact to folks using the public parking lot that serves Campbell Mountain (how could it not?) and on and on it went. He also very flippantly provided non-answers such as throwing his arms up in the air while saying, "I'm just an engineer" or "I've only been here 3 years" but mentioned numerous times that he was instrumental in the building and design of the Glenmore Landfill in Kelowna as well as being involved in the Okanagan Falls one. When speaking to the public parking lot, he said, "we'll just move it." Really, to where? Why not 'just move' the composting? On top of the lack of information available, that

dismissive attitude was disrespectful and offense was taken. In that this was to be an INFORMATION session and in that it was held one day prior to a public hearing, it was discourteous to the public.

At the information session, we were referred to the RDOS Regional Connections website and told that questions submitted there would be promptly answered. As of today, the info session on Oct 4th is noted but nothing else seems to be current. Any questions, and corresponding answers, uploaded there are from "approx. 3 years ago". I asked a question on Oct. 5th, 2023, and it has yet to be answered there.

The public hearing was attended by a group of very passionate people, almost all of whom spoke. Everyone who spoke, and everyone in the room (indicated by a show of hands), were opposed to the project; 100% against. Contrary to what was expected, the meeting was not recorded by use of any 'modern technology' (video and/or sound recording). Rather, notes were taken by an RDSO staff member. Those notes are not available online as of this writing. I suppose we are expected to just trust the veracity and completeness of such notes. However they will eventually read, it is doubtful that the passion and frustration of those in attendance will be portrayed.

There are many reasons that there is opposition to this project, other than what is previously stated herein. Below are some, not all, and not in order of importance:

1. Location

- Trucks overheat in the summer and get stuck in the winter on Reservoir Road particularly at the Scurve below the CML entrance.
- Reservoir Road is in a constant state of disrepair.
- Long lineups are common at the entrance to the CML. Traffic headed to the public parking lot, and to the proposed compost location past the entrance, will be stuck on the road waiting.
- The RDOS is stating that the long lineups will be eliminated when the new facilities go in at 1313. This is
 extremely doubtful as the lineups consist of other vehicles cars, SUV's, pick-up trucks, pick-up trucks
 with trailers, cube vans, commercial vehicles of all shapes and sizes, etc. not just
 garbage/compost/sludge trucks.

2. Campbell Mountain Recreation area

- Campbell Mountain's popular trail network is extremely well used for recreation. Walkers, hikers, bike riders and dog walkers enjoy it daily. This is in the immediate vicinity of the CML and 1313.
- The City of Penticton built a public parking lot at the corner of Reservoir, Spiller, and Greyback Mountain
 Roads to service those utilizing all that is offered on Campbell Mountain. This lot is extremely well used,
 often filled to capacity with overflow lining the adjacent roads. People accessing this parking lot do and
 will compete with compost and sludge trucks to get to it.
- People access the Campbell Mountain Trail network primarily from the public parking lot and secondarily from other road-based access points. The RDOS owning 1313 has no bearing on access to Campbell Mountain, contrary to their claims that this will ensure continued and uninterrupted access.

3. Environment

- Proper environmental studies have not been done.
- This is home to the Greyback Elk Herd (250 elk) and is the spot they calve each spring.
- This is home to approximately 40 California Big Horn Sheep who also claim it as their calving grounds each spring.
- The Northern Pacific Rattlesnake, which is only found in BC and is one of only three remaining rattlesnake species in Canada, will be displaced.
- The ALR comprises just 5% of BC's total land base and is the area with the greatest agricultural capacity. Even though this project is aimed at 25 acres of non-ALR land, it is part of the overall 80 acres in which 55 acres are in the ALR. As a finite and valuable resource, we must protect this land.

Sewage sludge trucks leak. Anyone who has had the misfortune of following one will have been lucky to
only have witnessed leakage flowing onto the road. The nasty contents can and do get splashed onto
vehicles which is disgusting and very difficult to remove.

A fellow who attended both the public information session and the public hearing stated that his family has farmed in this area since the 1950's. My grandparents arrived in this area much longer ago, in the 1920's. That was 100+/- years ago when Penticton was akin to a frontier town. It was so small that geographical distances seemed far greater. Some Pentictonites, including my grandparents, had summer cottages at "Dog Lake" (Skaha Lake) because it was so far from town! In 1972, when the Campbell Mountain Landfill (CML) was first established, it seemed remote, far and away out of town along and high up from the sleepy little orchard-lined road to the tiny village of Naramata. Since then, the area has grown substantially, so much so that the CML has seemingly become much closer to town, practically IN town. Who wants a dump close to town, with its smells wafting all over, with leachate and environmental issues downhill as far as Randall's Draw (and possibly Okanagan Lake), so visibly a scar on the Naramata Bench/eastern hillside and immediately beside a very well used recreation area? NO ONE.


Why wait for 100 more years of leaching and polluting to a time when finding a new spot for the CML will be insanely more difficult than now? Maybe because politicians are involved, and they won't be around then? Maybe it is just because they don't really care so taking the 'easy way out' is the solution. It is hard not to be sarcastic when this whole thing seems such omnishambles. A <u>lot</u> of people do care, and they care a <u>lot</u>.

If you can spontaneously expropriate 80 acres at the tune of 1.6 million dollars, then surely you can find and purchase a new location for a landfill. All or most of the \$1.6 million could be recouped by selling 1313. A purchaser could then use it within the scope of the current ALR and zoning requirements. With the recouped funds, someone could be hired to be specifically tasked with the project, and some could go toward the purchase of a new property. It was once said that it would cost \$10-20 million to remediate the landfill yet you aim to spend approximately \$75 million to effectively enlarge it when it is decades past its due date. It was also once said that finding another location for the landfill would be almost impossible. 'Almost' is the key word here; nothing is impossible.

Why bandage the existing mess? It is like putting a small plaster on something that is hemorrhaging — an inadequate evasion of, and unmindful of, future consequences. This boondoggle was referred to at the hearing as a sow's ear, the kind that will never be a silk purse. Really, this poor sow's ear is grossly infected and is part of an animal already in its death throes.

Please, I beg of you, find a different location *now*, or pick one of the ones that are available *now*, for the composting facilities AND begin working on one *now* for a big, new, and improved landfill that is built properly and will not destroy the environment around it.

Alix MacDonald A Very Concerned Pentictonite



Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

10:	Regional District	of Okanagan Similkameen	FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONE					
FROM:	Name:	Jim Jackson							
		(pleas	se print)						
	Street Address:	Falconridge Drive Penticton B	C						
	Date:	October 11, 2023							
RE:		Okanagan Valley Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24 1313 Greyback Mountain Road — Sublot 8, District Lot 2711, SDYD, Plan 1190							
My comm	ents / concerns are:								
	I <u>do</u> support the propo	osed rezoning of the subject parc	el.						
'	I do not support the proposed rezoning of the subject parcel.								
·									

Please provide any comments you wish the Board to consider. Written submissions will be considered by the Regional District Board prior to 1st reading of Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24.

There is not enough information to make an informed decision. The Presenter at the Information Meeting on Oct 4/23 was too vague and avoided answers. They do not know where the road to the facility is going to go. Stated they were supplying service to more areas but then stating there would be no more trucks on the narrow steep road. Long lineups blocking thru traffic due to backups at the scales which was one of the major pieces of infastructure that the RDOS stated they would share. Obviously when questioned about alternative sites we were told there was nothing available in BC yet the next day it was on Castanet News that the Westbank First Nations was in partnership with the Brenda Mine and is having an open house and already have their operation up and running and looking for other jurisdictions that need this service. If the RDOS didn't know about it they should have intheir position. The RDOS couldn't even give a guess on the heighth of the facility that you are going to see across the valley. The agricultural land should never be used for anything but agriculture as there is so little of it.

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.

Kerri-Lynn Grell

From:

Chad Niddery

Sent:

October 11, 2023 10:51 PM

To:

Planning

Subject:

Penticton BIOSOLIDS Waste Facility Feedback - Chad Niddery

Some people who received this message don't often get email fror

1 why this is important

Hello,

When a biosolids facility is situated in close proximity to a city or urban area, it can trigger several significant concerns among the local population, including myself. These concerns often encompass environmental, health, social, and economic aspects. Here are some specific concerns associated with the proposed biosolids facility located in Penticton:

- 1. Odor and Air Quality Issues: Biosolids facilities will emit unpleasant odors due to the decomposition of organic matter. Penticton residents worry about foul smells affecting the air quality in nearby neighborhoods, potentially impacting respiratory health and overall wellbeing. As the area grows in population (ex. Spiller Road, Greyback Mountain Road) with new subdivisions being planned, this would put a complete halt to any upcoming development plans. I think everyone can agree (including RDOS staff) that this would be nauseating for anyone living remotely close to this facility.
- 2. **Health and Safety Risks**: Concerns regarding potential health risks arise due to exposure to pathogens, bacteria, heavy metals, pharmaceuticals, and other contaminants that may be present in biosolids. Penticton residents fear that these substances could pose health threats to the community through air, water, or soil contamination much further than the Penticton landfill already has.
- 3. **Water Contamination and Runoff**: Residents are apprehensive about the potential contamination of nearby water bodies, including rivers, lakes, or groundwater, through leaching or runoff from the biosolids facility. Water pollution could have far-reaching consequences on aquatic life and the community's access to safe drinking water, especially with Penticton's water reservoir within less than a kilometer to the facility.
- 4. **Impact on Property Values**: Homeowners worry that the presence of a biosolids facility will most definitely decrease property values and deter potential buyers, impacting the real estate market and affecting their investments. Ultimately, this would end any future development for homes in the neighboring area. There is already a very lack of space for new residents to build or buy except in this area.
- 5. **Noise Pollution and Disruptions**: Operations at the biosolids facility, including machinery, transportation, and processing, will generate high levels of noise, disrupting the peace and tranquility of the surrounding urban areas. Concerns about noise pollution and its potential effects on residents' daily lives and well-being are prevalent. Additionally, any and all wildlife that may pass through these hills, including the 150+ elk herd, will greatly be disrupted.

- 6. **Aesthetic and Environmental Concerns**: Penticton residents express concerns about the facility's visual impact on the environment, affecting the scenic beauty of the area and the overall aesthetics of the neighborhood. The presence of industrial structures will alter the character of the landscape.
- 7. **Community Engagement and Transparency**: Penticton residents, especially people that live within this area, have MAJOR concerns about inadequate community involvement and lack of transparent communication from the biosolids facility that have led to complete mistrust. Residents have advocated for active engagement, information dissemination, and inclusive decision-making processes. None of which we have witnessed thus far.
- 8. **Traffic Congestion and Safety**: This is a major issue for all of Penticton, surrounding towns, AND TOURISTS! The increased traffic due to transportation of biosolids to and from the facility will lead to traffic congestion, safety hazards, odor issues, increased potential accidents (more people are riding bikes than driving in summer), and potential damage to local roads. Residents fear the strain on infrastructure and the associated risks. How can anyone in a city, built on tourism, want large trucks rolling through town nonstop, side to side, to head up the same roads that host thousands of tourists to enjoy wine country?


In conclusion, the concerns surrounding the proximity of a biosolids facility to the proposed location are rooted in the potential environmental, health, social, and economic repercussions for the neighboring community. The unease regarding odor and air quality, health risks, water contamination, property devaluation, noise pollution, and lack of community engagement is valid and must not be dismissed. Effective and transparent communication between facility operators and the community, adherence to stringent regulations, deployment of advanced odor control technologies, and proactive measures to mitigate environmental impacts are paramount, and have not been met.

Balancing the essential role of biosolids facilities in waste management with the well-being and concerns of the community necessitates a delicate equilibrium. It calls for continued dialogue, collaborative decision-making, and a commitment to sustainable practices that prioritize both public health and the environment. Ultimately, addressing these concerns holistically will foster a more harmonious coexistence between biosolids facilities and the urban communities they serve, ensuring a safer and healthier living environment for all. However, during this proposal, RDOS has not shown this neighborhood, community, or anyone who has requested information, ANY honesty in what they are trying to get approved. Not one single staff member at RDOS can possibly believe that THIS is the answer. If they do, they do not live in the nicest city in the Okanagan Valley, Penticton.

_			
	ha	n	ks,

Chad

1313 Greyback Mountain Road Opposition to Rezoning



RDOS - Compost Rezoning Application

Poor public consultation on land impacting protected species in a sensitive area

Submitted by Grant Willis, resident of Spiller Road October 11, 2023

Opposition to 1313 rezoning on the grounds of not meeting its own rezoning standards

October 11, 2023

I am writing to oppose the rezoning application presented to the board for all or parts of the land at 1313 Greyback Mountain Road.

This opposition is based on three arguments which I will further outline below

- 1. The application does not meet stated RDOS rezoning requirements
 - o There is a mapped watercourse traversing the area
 - There is a mapped Environmentally sensitive area largely within the parcel boundaries
- 2. Impact to wildlife is not well understood at this time
 - A protected species by the Ministry of Water, Land, and Air Protection is encroached upon and acknowledged by the Environmental memo commissioned by the RDOS
- 3. The RDOS has not met public consultation requirements:
 - There are ZERO engineering or conceptual drawings made available to the public about the use of the re-zoned land for the purposes of <u>all</u> compost facilities
 - The information session held October 4 at Uplands Elementary was based around a singular and only partial scope of an organics compound facility and not the proposed use a biosolids facility
 - o There IS an Engineering report produced by AERCOM outlines phase 1 bordering the ALR area. However, even that scope of the project is not well defined at this time and pertains ONLY to an organics facility and NOT a biosolids facility.

I believe that after reading the arguments below it will be self-evident the RDOS has not presented sufficient information to meets its own standards to approve this rezoning.

Numerous missed items from the RDOS own rezoning checklist, including major environmental concerns

1) On the topic of not meeting its own rezoning requirements:

From its own website¹ the RDOS states a development application requires:

a fee, supporting information such as an environmental impact assessment, riparian area assessment and/or professional geotechnical report.

As this would rezone an existing elk habitat, encroach on farmland, and none of the required professional reports have been completed. The Makonis "Environmental Memo" is certainly not a full impact assessment, there has been no riparian area assessment, and no professional geotechnical report presented

From the RDOS's own rezoning checklist² ensures watercourses are accounted for.

By the RDOS own definition of watercourse:

Watercourse: Includes any of the following that provides fish habitat: a watercourse, whether it usually contains water or not; a pond, lake, river, creek or brook, and; a ditch, spring or wetland that is connected by surface flow to a watercourse." www.rdos.bc.ca mapping section to check for location.

RDOS's own mapping indicates the presence of a watercourse:

¹ https://www.rdos.bc.ca/development-services/planning/application-forms-resources/development-permit-dp/

^{2:} https://www.rdos.bc.ca/assets/PLANNING/Forms/Checklist-RGS.pdf




Image 6 - watercourse clearly seen traversing through the proposed property (blue polygon), along with a red outline indicating Environmentally Sensitive Areas

There were no soil samples taken to confirm or disprove the presence of hydric soil indicators to indicate things such as a temporary graminoid marsh.

In fact, RDOS's own mapping indicates this north side of the parcel where the Organics Compound facility would be located overlies BOTH a Water Course Development Area AND an Environmentally Sensitive Area

When asked about this at the RDOS information session the represented dismissed the question despite not being qualified to comment on such matters. He repeated indicated during the presentation and discussion that he was 'only just an Engineer'.

At future information session it would benefit the public for the RDOS to provide qualified members to answer both technical questions (environmental backgrounds), as well as the project sponsors who can speak to the need and placement of such facilities in protected areas.

Its own Environmental memo determined it was ELK Habitat

2) On the topic of encroachment to wildlife habitat:

To give context on the importance of the presence of Elk in the area and the diligence to determine its criticality in the protection of this species³, here is a Ministry of Water, Land, and Air Protection calling out Elk habitat as one of the unique species requiring attention.



ORDER - CATEGORY OF UNGULATE SPECIES

The following order applies to the province of British Columbia and takes effect on 6th day of May, 2004.

This order is given under the authority of section 11 (3) of the Government Actions Regulation (B.C., Reg. 17/04).

The Minister of Water, Land and Air Protection has determined that the list of ungulate species identified in schedule 1 are a category of ungulate species for which an ungulate winter range may be required for the winter survival of the identified species.

Schedule 1

English Name

Mule and black-tailed deer

White-tailed deer

Elk

Mountain Goat

Caribou

Bighorn sheep
Thinhorn sheep

Moose

Scientific Name Odocotleus hemonius

Odocotleus virginianus

Cervus etaphus

Oreannos americanus

Rangifer tarandus

Ovis canadensis

Ovis dalli

Alces alces

Signed this 3 day of Mary . 200

Bill Barisoff, Minister

Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection

https://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/documents/uwr/UWR_order.pdf

The Makonis memo downplays impact to wildlife

A 2004 study from Ophiuchus Consulting 1313 Greyback Mountain road is within a "Potential Corridor" for California Bighorn Sheep⁴. Furthermore, the opening line of this report states that:

"California Bighorn Sheep no longer occur in many areas of the Okanagan because of degradation of habitat" and "land development are factors that have limited access to forage plants.", and continues later "Sheep survival depends on familiarity with their habitat and they are slow to re-occupy vacant habitats". Disturbance to any of this area is therefore detrimental to the already pressured migrating patterns of this blue list animal.

The Makonis memo asserts the 'unlikeliness' of Bighorn Sheep to congregate in this area despite them being active in the surrounding area. An assertion that is based on speculation given the limited time of physical presence on Site. A series of wildlife cameras directly on the site and within the surrounding area would be required for full migration patters can even be concluded during even that limited sample period.

- While we are not privy to the scope of work issued to Makonis from the conclusion of the memo it seems to be answering the question "could this area be used for alternate purposes" and significantly it is NOT answering the question "IS this area suitable for alternate purposes." That question is only answerable after a substantially more in-depth analysis.

Among other unsubstantiated statements there is nothing to back up the assertion that it was previously a feed lot or what importance this has on the memo.

The memo is also self-contradictory regarding impact to Elk. While regional mapping studies noted the "moderate" or "low" suitability of habitat the presence of Elk scat shows this local area as incredibly high suitability. The stated impact of 0.038% of overall Elk habitat downplays that given the Elk scat this project would have 100% chance to impact Elk habitat. No single act ever leads to the elimination of a species in an area it is the collective of many small actions. This downplaying also does not take into consideration of the increased traffic further into known elk habitat and the activity beyond the strict boundary of the buildings.

- There is a self fulfilling prophecy within the memo that: "Sheep were not likely to be currently congregating in the Campbell Mountain area due to ... industrial activities from landfill" which would only be more unlikely should this rezoning be approved.
- No detailed analysis was undertaken and while "confidence in a future conclusion" is not a conclusion in itself, it reiterates that further studies must be completed, submitted, and stakeholder reviewed before a rezoning application can even be considered

The conclusion of the memo is that "further study is required". And while this is completely agreeable, it is entirely wrong that this should occur AFTER rezoning is approved. To the contrary, this board cannot find that rezoning is appropriate until further study is concluded, reviewed, and understood BEFORE rezoning is even considered.

⁴ https://www.env.gov.bc.ca/okanagan/esd/atlas/species/bighorn_sheep.html

Public consulted on only a partially developed scope for only a part of the land

3) On the topic of public consultation: The RDOS site states:

The RDOS intends to apply to the ALC for non-farm use on the remaining portions of the property in order to replace the City of Penticton's existing **biosolids composting facility**.

Not a single conceptual drawing, diagram, layout, or even written description of a biosolids facility is available. All information is in regard to an entirely different Phase 1 organics compost facility.

Phase 2, the rezoning in relation to the biosolids facility has had absolutely no information presented to the public. There has been ample opportunity for the RDOS to present such information but at every instance they presented information on an entirely different facility.

Upon arriving at Uplands Elementary for the information session on October 4 the sign board clearly read "Organics Compost Facility" and did not mention anything about biosolids. This is consistent with the heading presented on the RDOS's own website:




Image 1 Image taken from RDOS Connections https://rdosregionalconnections.ca/compost October 6. Note, there is no description indicating that this is for rezoning or a biosolids facility



Image 2 Image taken from RDOS Connections https://rdosregionalconnections.ca/compost October 6. Note, there is note, there is note description indicating that this is for rezoning or a biosolids facility

More importantly, while the Power Point presented⁵ states the presentation is in regard to "Rezoning 1313 Greyback Mountain Road" there were three visuals presented in the entirety of the session and NOT ONE was of the biosolids facility.

Those are shown below as taken from the RDOS Connections website and PDF clearly labeled as for this meeting "20230809 1313 Greyback Mountain Rd-Rezoning, Public Information Meeting presentation.pdf (643 KB) (pdf)":

⁵ Power Point is available at the RDOS Connections website <u>here</u> titled "20230809 1313 Greyback Mountain Rd-Rezoning, Public Information Meeting presentation.pdf (643 KB) (pdf)". The version available attached in its entirety below was downloaded October 6, 2023 at 4:35pm



Image 3: Slide 5 shows a high level map indicating the project area for phase 1 organics compost facility but no indication whatsoever as to what part within the ALR is impact as part of the Biosolids 'Phase 2' work.

This is misleading and with no mention of Phase 2 or any biosolids facility it almost appears as though ALR land will not be impacted.




Image 4: Slide 6 shows a conceptual drawing which illustrates composting facility but <u>no layout in regards to the biosolids</u> or "Phase 2" scope.

This drawing shows no encroachment to ALR land clearly labeled as the green part at the bottom of the drawing. Presenting only Phase 1 scope not only greatly downplays the scope of the entire facility, but does not allow stakeholders to understand what possible, if any, impacts are to ALR land




Image 5: Slide 7 presents an analogous aeration bunk building. This photos was taken from the AECOM Organics Waste Composting Facilities – Conceptual Designs technical memorandum⁶ which <u>is for a composting facility and NOT a biosolids facility.</u>

By not presenting an image of a biosolids facility the public cannot conceptualize what is being proposed in the rezoned area.

Additionally, there is Insufficient scope definition for the board to know what is actually to be constructed by the RDOS

AECOM provided what they claim to be a Class 5 estimate based on 0 to 2 percent engineering but did not provide any basis of estimate or basis of schedule (two incredibly basic engineering deliverables). They provided a stated accuracy of -20 to +50% however the AACE (Association for Cost Engineers which is reference in the AECOM report) states that a Class 5 estimate this Concept screening stage (their term for 0 to 2% Engineering complete) is +100% to -50%. No rationale was provided to state the narrower band within the AECOM report. The high error band on such estimates typically is to account for unknown

⁶ From report available on RDOS Connection found on page 4/14

scope. Such scope would impact site considerations. This uncertainty is reflected in the wide error band and large amount of contingency AECOM applies to the project (30%). No rational for this level of contingency was stated in the report. Although not stated explicitly in the report a final cost estimate inclusive of contingency is typically presented as the P50 number in estimating best practices. In other words, even WITH an extra 1/3 buffer of contingency it produces a cost which has a 50% probability of being exceeded.

 Therefore, from the base known scope AECOM is stating that they need to add one-third more because of 'unknowns' as the contingency items. And even after doing this there is a 50/50 chance that there will be additional unknowns.

The engineering report itself shows the large amount of scope that is unknown (50 to 100% MORE in half of all cases), along with an expected one-third additional scope on its base plans is EXPECTED.

Until a more defined scope is in place, the board cannot have confidence as to the actual infrastructure that would reside within the rezoned boundaries. It would have a general idea as to its purpose, but not their accurate size, noise, footprint.

Class 5 estimates usually do not contain ground trothed designs, FULL SETBACK from watercourses would **certainly** impact scope if AECOM were made aware of the wetland.

Finally, In the rezoning requirements provided by the RDOS, consultation must include⁷:

"Notice of a PIM is to be <u>mailed</u> to surrounding residents and property owners within a distance of not less than 100 metres"

I do not believe there was sufficient notification that either:

- property owners in the area were mailed notifications in accordance with this requirement, or
- sufficient consideration as to how the distance of mailouts was determined. As this is
 the single largest development East of Middle Bench road it is reasonable to consider
 the entire area to Indian Head as impacted. The central location of the Public
 Information session at Uplands Elementary acknowledges the wide area of impact of
 this project.

And until the public in the area affected by this facility can be consulted, it should not be taken that sufficient consultation has occurred. Further, WHEN meaningful consultation DOES occur it should include a mailout to all those impacted which includes all residents East and North of Uplands Elementary along all major roads leading to this facility, and all residents within a 10 kilometer radius.

⁷ https://www.rdos.bc.ca/development-services/planning/application-forms-resources/officialcommunity-plan-and-zoning-bylaw-amendments/

I thank you for your time to consider my opposition. I feel that I have clearly demonstrated that:

- The RDOS has not abided by its own rezoning checklist, specifically on Environmental matter, and
- There has been insufficient due diligence on protecting known ungulate habitat
- the RDOS has provided a public education program based on one scope (the Organics Composting Facility) and is applying to rezone an entirely different scope (the biosolids facility) for which there has been ZERO public information as to what this would entail.

If the public has zero concept of the biosolids facility to be placed in the rezoned land I must also question if the anyone knows what it is approving to be located within the rezoned land. There must either be some additional information on the biosolids facility that has not been made public, or the more likely explanation that this information simply does not exist.

AECOM has not abided by wetland setback requirements which questions if they know about its existence. Which is likely because there has clearly been not detailed undertaking to understand its scale or impact to the facility layout.

The board should not be put in a position to approve rezoning of a facility that has not been well understood or described in full to the RDOS or to the public.

The RDOS is arrogant and wasting public funds to ask for this rezoning. They are trying to make the best of a bad piece of land they never should have bought in the first place because it is not suitable for rezoning of such industrial facilities. 1313 Greyback Road should be sold immediately with funds used to properly develop alternatives sites for a compost facility or other solutions which reduce leachate and other pollutants from entering our drinking water, farmland, and surrounding ecosystem which are generated at the current landfill.

This is a multi-jurisdictional issue, involving multiple disciplines, impacting a wide range of stakeholders. Until a panel of all these groups can find a permanent solution, my opposition remains steadfast.

Best.

Grant Willis
Resident of Spiller Road



Jesper Work <riksaga@gmail.com>

Impact Statement Regarding the New Recycling & Bio-Waste Facility:

1 message

Jesper Work

To: Dana Coates-Work

, David Coates 📑

Thu, Oct 5, 2023 at 6:40 PM sper Work

- Fire Hazard: The establishment of this facility, with its increased industrial activity undeniably heightens the risk of devastating fires.
- 2. Road Deterioration: The dire state of Spiller Road around the landfill is an issue that cannot be ignored and rural roads cannot handle the traffic.
- 3. Toxic Spills: Disturbing reports of toxic spills on local roads have exposed irresponsible waste management practices by the Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen (RDOS). Their refusal to take responsibility for incoming transport trucks is a red flag.
- 4. New Residential Subdivision: The recent approval of a new residential subdivision near Greyback Mountain warrants a thorough and impartial environmental and traffic impact assessment. This assessment must carefully consider both current and potentially tripled population estimates, as well as geological instability, such as fault lines and recent landslides. The lead engineer's dismissal of the environmental impact of concurrent development raises concerns about the RDOS's commitment to evaluating the implications of these simultaneous projects.
- 5. Community Resistance: A significant portion of our community vehemently opposes the facility due to concerns that it will compromise our rural lifestyle and the natural beauty of our surroundings. This opposition underscores a clear disconnect between the project's proponents and the residents who will be most affected.
- Aesthetic Concerns: The proposed industrial cement building design is not in harmony with the natural beauty of our surroundings. We consider detrimental to our environment.

In summary, these concerns demand thorough reconsideration of the current plans for the Recycling & Bio-Waste Facility. We implore you to take immediate action to address these pressing issues to ensure the safety, well-being, and environmental integrity of our local area.

Spiller Rd Penticton (Above Waste Facility)

Jesper Work Dana Coates-Work



Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

10:	Regional District o	t Okanagan Similka	meen	FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONE
FROM:	Name:	GAVIN M	(please prin	PER PEND	
	Street Address:	UPPER	BENCH P	OAD SOL	UTH
	Date:	OCT 12+	7023		
RE:		oning Amendment Juntain Road — Sul			an 1190
My comment	s / concerns are:				
l do	support the propo	sed rezoning of the	subject parcel.		
I do	not support the pr	oposed rezoning of	the subject parcel.		
	Written submi	vide any comments ssions will be conside 1 1st reading of Ame	dered by the Regio	nal District Boa	ird
	WORRIED				
	Smary		ising upt	XER BEW	HRD
	CONCER		T THE FU	rule es	
	THIS WIL				
THE BE	POCHES P	dow.			
4					
	ii .				
	Feedback Forms	must be completed	and returned to the I	Regional District	

Protecting your personal information is an obligation the Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen takes seriously. Our practices have been designed to ensure compliance with the privacy provisions of the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* (British Columbia) ("FIPPA"). Any personal or proprietary information you provide to us is collected, used and disclosed in accordance with FIPPA. Should you have any questions about the collection, use or disclosure of this information please contact: Manager of Legislative Services, RDOS, 101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC V2A 5J9, 250-492-0237.

prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.

Kerri-Lynn Grell

From:

Victoria Kryzanowski

Sent:

October 12, 2023 10:31 AM

To:

Planning

Subject:

1313 Greyback road

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

Sent from my iPhone

We are definitely against this project.

This will greatly affect my land and the wildlife and grazing of domestic animals also. There will be impact on the water supply in the area and there are no guarantees of this not happening in the future. West Bank has announced a project at Brenda mines which is better suited for this waste to go! The value of our property and surrounding properties will be affected greatly I hope the ALC votes this down farming is number one not land for number 2 waste!

Thank you

Victoria and family Kryzanowski



Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9
Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

10:	Regional District	of Okanagan Similkameen	FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONE
FROM:	Name:	Ken Hampson		
		(pleas	se print)	-
	Street Address:	piller Road, Penticton BC, V	/2A 8T3	E // 10.00
	Date:	October 12, 2023		
RE:	-	Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2 Iountain Road — Sublot 8, Distric		lan 1190
My comme	nts / concerns are:			
	do support the prop	osed rezoning of the subject parce	el.	
√	do not support the p	roposed rezoning of the subject p	parcel.	
	Written subn	ovide any comments you wish the nissions will be considered by the to 1 st reading of Amendment Byla	Regional District Bo	
The land	should not be rezon	ed for this purpose		
Compostin	ng is good, it just sh	nould NOT be there		
		t effectively be enlarged		
1 am conc	erned about the an	elsewhere - it is decades past v imal and plant life at 1313 Grey heir natural habitat		
				2:
-				
				0
-				

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.

Kerri-Lynn Grell

From:

Michele Curley

Sent:

October 12, 2023 1:16 PM

To:

Planning

Cc:

Michele Curley

Subject:

Okanagan Valley Zoning Amendment Bylaw #2800.24-1313 Greyback Mountain Road

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

[Some people who received this message don't often get email from important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

earn why this is

We do not support this rezoning proposal for the following reasons:

- 1. Based on the information provided by RDOS to date, we feel the proper testing, etc has not been completed thoroughly or not provided adequately. Based on the TownHall held in August the questions asked by the neighbours affected were not answer adequately nor have they been addressed on the testing of the other facilities that are in place in other RDOS areas.
- 2. The impact on the wildlife, with this property being home to Elk, Moose, reptiles, & birds.
- 3. The impact to our neighbourhood, due to the extra trucking & traffic, noise pollution from the trucks and the working equipment & potential oder if the project is not completed with the proper composting recipe causing potential water & soil contamination.
- 4. This type of facility belongs in a designation industrial area where there is no environmental impact, whether it is water resources, wildlife etc. This area is not an industrial area!
- 5. Leaching has happened from the existing facility, and it has cost the City of Penticton money to purchase the affected properties due to the affected land.
- 6. How will this impact the recreational opportunities on the Campbell Mountain Trail Network?

Thank you
Michele & Chris Curley
Pinetree Way, Penticton

I am onside with Phase I of the organic waste facility. I think if done properly, and if the right inputs happen (organic material that is acceptable for farmland), it could be valuable compost for the agricultural community. It would need to be a far improved system than what we have seen in the past at the CML/compost facility, to be sold to the agricultural community.

However, I am opposed to the rezoning as presented given Phase II of the organic facility is a biosolids plant. The RDOS must take into consideration the direction of the ALR, who have suggested Phase I of this project not proceed, until Phase II can be resolved. The RDOS is putting the cart before the horse.

There are too many uncertainties, that have not been explained to the community, and I'm not convinced the RDOS have the knowledge of the toxicities involved in having this biosolids facility. At the open house, it was suggested the RDOS would sell this sludge waste when it comes out the facility, as landscaping material.

This is an excerpt from the Guardian on October 5th, 2019 by Tom Perkins. Please take the time to read it. Sending this sludge out the door as "landscaping" material in our area is a bad idea.

While the water is cleaned and discharged, the remaining toxic sewage sludge stays at the treatment plant, and it's what Sierra Club environmentalist Nancy Raine calls "the most pollutant-rich manmade substance on Earth".

This "biosolid" sludge is expensive to dispose of because it must be landfilled, but the waste management industry is increasingly using a money-making alternative – repackaging the sludge as fertilizer and injecting it into the nation's food chain.

Now the practice is behind a growing number of public health problems. Spreading pollutant-filled biosolids on farmland is <u>making people sick</u>, <u>contaminating drinking water</u> and <u>filling crops</u>, <u>livestock</u> and <u>humans</u> with everything from pharmaceuticals to PFAS. As more biosolid-linked crises develop, some farmers and environmentalists are calling for a ban on the practice.

In 2019, about 60% of sewage sludge produced by treatment facilities will be spread on farmland and gardens, as well as schoolyards and lawns. Sludge holds nitrogen, phosphorus and other nutrients that help crops grow, so the waste management industry lightly treats it and sells it cheaply to farmers who view it as a cost-saving product.

But in fact the excrement from which sludge derives has mixed with any number of 80,000 manmade chemicals that are discharged from industry's pipes or otherwise pumped into the sewer system. By the time the mix lands in treatment plants, it can teem with pharmaceuticals, hormones, pathogens, bacteria, viruses, protozoa and parasitic worms, as well as heavy metals like lead, cadmium, arsenic or mercury. It often includes PCBs, PFAS, dioxins, BPAs and dozens of other harmful substances ranging from flame retardants to hospital waste.

"Spending billions of dollars to remove hazardous chemicals and biological wastes from water, only to spread them on soil everywhere we live, work and play defies common sense," said David Lewis, a former Environmental Protection Agency scientist who opposed spreading sludge on cropland in the mid-1990s as the agency approved the use.

Previously treatment facilities burned sludge or dumped it in the ocean, but the federal government barred the practices because doing so violated clean air rules or created marine dead zones. The EPA now insists spreading the same toxic substance on farmland is safe.

Raine questioned that conclusion, noting that there is very little regulation, very little testing and no knowing what's in each batch of sludge as compositions vary.

In what biosolid testing the EPA has conducted, it identified more than 350 pollutants. That includes 61 it classifies "as acutely hazardous, hazardous or priority pollutants", but the law requires only nine of those be removed. Moreover, the EPA and wastewater treatment plants don't test for or otherwise analyze most of the 80,000 manuale chemicals.

In a scathing <u>2018 report</u>, the EPA office of inspector general noted the agency couldn't properly regulate biosolids, even if it sincerely tried, because "it lacked the data or risk assessment tools needed to make a determination on the safety of 352 pollutants found in biosolids".

Though regulators and industry don't know what's in biosolids, there's strong evidence that it can be dangerous.

A University of North Carolina study found 75% of people living near farms that spread biosolids experienced health issues like burning eyes, nausea, vomiting, boils and rashes, while others have contracted MRSA, a penicillin-resistant "superbug". In South Carolina, sludge containing high levels of carcinogenic PCBs was spread on cropland, and in Georgia sludge killed cows. Biosolids are also thought to be partly responsible for toxic algae blooms in the Great Lakes and Florida, and biosolid treatment centers regularly pollute the air around them.

Meanwhile, sewage sludge is behind a widening PFAS crisis that has contaminated farms in Maine, Michigan, Wisconsin, Alabama and Florida. PFAS, or "forever chemicals", are linked to a range of serious health problems like cancer, thyroid disorders, immune disorders and low birth weight. The chemicals are a product used to make non-stick or water-resistant products, and are found in everything from raincoats to dental floss to food packaging.

Maine's testing of 44 fields sprayed with biosolids earlier this year consistently <u>found</u> <u>alarming PFAS levels</u> in the ground, cows and <u>farmers' blood</u>, which forced one dairy farm to shut down.

"They're <u>finding</u> kilograms of PFAS in sewage sludge when nanograms are harmful to humans, so you can't regulate it as a fertilizer," said Laura Orlando, a civil engineer who tracks problems with biosolids.

Still, state governments continue to allow biosolids to be spread on farmland or sold in compost. In Michigan, an environmental official recently said the state won't test for PFAS in milk because it doesn't want to put farmers out of business. A spokesperson for Michigan's department of environment, Great Lakes and energy did not respond to specific questions about biosolid use, but said the state had increased PFAS testing, and in 2017 it issued suggestions for biosolids applications.

However, the Sierra Club's Great Lakes manager, Christy McGillivray, noted that Michigan doesn't have PFAS standards, so "that makes it impossible to regulate". As of now, states aren't testing for most of the thousands of chemicals known to be in sludge beyond PFAS.

Biosolids are also creating tension in some rural communities as farmers who use it pollute watersheds, contaminate neighbors' wells or sicken neighbors.

Don Dickerson, a farmer with land in Michigan and Ohio, told the Guardian biosolid dust from an adjacent field had coated his home and crops in the substance. Paul Wohlfarth, a resident of Riga Township, Michigan, said sludge is contaminating his well, and charged that biosolids from the state's cities were "turning Riga Township into a waste dump".

"When you put heavy metals, PFAS, plastics, pharmaceuticals and all that in the soil, sooner or later it gets toxic, and you can't wish that stuff away. You're ruining the topsoil forever," he said.

Though the government is reacting slowly or ignoring problems, companies like <u>Whole Foods</u>, Dole, <u>Heinz and Del Monte</u> won't buy crops grown in biosolids, while <u>Switzerland</u>, the Netherlands and other countries have banned it. Still, the wastewater industry has strongly denied that health issues exist and regularly calls any contrary evidence anecdotal.

The Great Lakes water authority, which operates one of the nation's largest biosolid programs, declined interview requests from the Guardian. Despite sludge's chemical makeup, the wastewater industry bills biosolids as "green" and even sells it as organic fertilizer in stores like Walmart and Lowe's, though packaging doesn't indicate that it's composed of human and industrial waste.

In addition, I'm not sure, given the totally inadequate presentation from the RDOS, that I believe there won't be a lot more traffic, and a lot more "poop" trucks coming from all over the Okanagan to this facility. Often, money makes the difference on how these decisions come about, and I have zero comfort hearing from an engineer, that didn't seem to know a whole lot about this facility, telling the public "there will be no more traffic than already exists". Does the RDOS even know about a residential strata development on Reservoir Road recently approved? I can tell you the developer had no idea about this compost facility from discussing it directly with him.

It is not clear if the traffic will go through Upper Bench Rd with its wineries, or Middle Bench Rd with Uplands School, or Lower Bench Rd with City Hall and Vancouver Hill. Why can't the public be told the truth? Why is the RDOS always non transparent?

I have concerns about land which needs to be preserved for wildlife along with its life forms. It is the calving grounds for our Greyback Elk herd (250 herd), home to the California Bighorn Sheep and many species at risk like the endangered Northern Pacific Rattlesnake. Is there not an industrial area location more suitable rather than the hillsides of a tourist area?

The Campbell Mountain Trail Network is immensely popular, with many people hiking, biking or dog walking there. It is a major Tourism attraction and contributes to our tourism. The proposed facilities across from the often-well-used parking lot, pose a very real potential for large trucks to encounter pedestrians on that rural road which will present safety concerns.

What about the option of taking the biosolids to the Westbank First Nations (WFN) who have partnered in a deal to compost at the former Brenda Mines site west of Peachland? WFN invites all communities, south to the US Border and north to Vernon, including Penticton, to partake. There needs to be communication between Westbank FN, City of Penticton, and RDOS to find the most efficient way to handle compostable refuses in the Okanagan.

I do understand the need to decrease carbon emissions from the biosolids waste, and putting them back into the landfill is not the solution. But I'm not convinced there aren't options for Phase II of this project that present better locations.

I also think the RDOS did an extremely poor job of convincing the public at their last minute, thrown together open house for this facility. I think most of the public have no idea what is being considered at this site, they just hear the word "compost", and are onside. The RDOS and the City of Penticton should not rely on this, it is not fair to the public.

The RDOS in area E need to step up their game, modernize public hearings with video, have a proper "form" that actually works for the public to send in comments, actually get back to people, don't ignore them and hope the problems go away. Make changes that make a difference to outcomes. They need to be more transparent with the public, and tackle the issues in our area with the community.

I am onside with the compost facility, but not with the biosolids, and if the biosolids waste is sold back to the community, it is a very, very big mistake.

Gjoa Taylor,

1215 Poplar Grove,

Penticton

Richard & Twylla Da Silva Naramata Road Penticton, BC V2A 8T3 October 5, 2023

Board of Directors RDOS Okanagan Similkameen 101 Martin Street Penticton, BC V2A 5J9

RE: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24, 2023

Dear Board of Directors:

I am formally requesting the proposed RDOS File No. E2023.008-Zone – Zoning Amendment – Electoral Area "E" be voted down or postponed for the following reasons:

- 1. The residents have not had sufficient time to fully review and verify any of the materials provided at the public hearing on Oct 4, 2023.
- 2. Questions from a number of residents were not fully explained or addressed during the meeting on Oct 4^{th} , 2023.
- 3. At the Oct 4th, 2023, Mr Reeder stated that there was "...no other alternative locations or options", yet there were a number viable solutions where mentioned by residents that are available such as a couple of a:
 - a. West Bank First Nations as per Chief Robert Louis interview on CastaNet News on Oct 5th has offered the use of the former Brenda Mines site west of Peachland inviting all communities from the US Border to Vernon to partake. This was NEVER mentioned as an option by Mr. Reeder.
 - b. Elizabeth Kruger of Marron Valley, member of the PIB had offered an alternative site...Mr. Reeder again failed to mention this option...
- 4. Traffic Issues, Mr. Andrew Reeder stated there would be no increase in traffic, yet on a letter dated September 7, 2023 from B. Newell, Chief Administrative Officer of the RDOS states on the bottom of page 2 that "The construction of the proposed organics treatment and processing facility is regionally significant in that it would provide the benefit of expanded waste management services across the region." This would obviously bringing with it more traffic...completely contradicting Andrews statement.
- 5. The use of the Makonis Consulting Ltd letter dated on August 8th, 2023 as an environmental and authoritative report to the safety of this proposal is not only an embarrassment but an insult to the region and its residents. Any resident of the RDOS or the City of Penticton would need to

complete reems of paper work including detailed geotechnical reports for the simple install of a septic system. Yet on a project of this magnitude that includes the handling of toxic bio solids(some of which is septic waste) there is a meager 1-page report with NO geotechnical or environmental or in depth science study, only the opinion of the consultant. It is rather telling that at the end of the report the author to of the report, John Grods states, "I would recommend further biological studies in the conjunction with the proposed design details, if rezoning is approved and project proceeds, so aspects of the impacts are fully understood", basically stating there is no real understanding at all of what is being proposed?!? In lieu of the previous safety record of the RDOS and the City of Penticton on this current site and its well documented and ongoing pollution of the down slope properties by toxic waste from the land fill, to this day, this report smacks of incompetence in understanding the gravity of the situation the residents current face and will continue to face in the generations to come.

6. And finally...the underhanded way this whole process was handled and presented to the residents of the community. The unbelievably short time frame given to the residents to grasp the full ramifications of this proposal and to prepare accordingly. It seems on the service that the RDOS was trying to very quietly squeeze this proposal by the residents and its community. The misinformation wither knowingly or not speaks to the lack of detailed care, attention and respect this type of proposal should command. The proof of what comes of this flippant disregard to due diligence is what has led to the ongoing environmental disaster our community faces today with the Cambell Mountain Landfill.

In conclusion, the above is no exhaustive list of reasons that we are against this proposal. The RDOS is charged with not finding the most convenient nor most economical but the very best solution for the protection of its residents and community. No one disputes the benefits and the need for composting...what this proposal in setting the foundation and ground work for is much more serious than simple composting. As such, the board must and will be held accountable to provide better thought and research into this matter.

Due to the above my wife Twylla and I, residents of 510 Naramata Road are vehemently against this bylaw requesting a full review of the process and further extension to this bylaw application to fully understand and research this proposal.

Regards,

Richard and Twylla Da Silva Property Owner



Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO:	Regional District	of Okanagan Similkameen	FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONE
FROM:	Name:	Cameron Morrell		
		(pleas	se print)	
	Street Address:	⁻ alconridge Drive, Penticto	n	
	Date:	Oct 14 2023		
RE:	-	, Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2 Nountain Road — Sublot 8, Distric		lan 1190
My comme	nts / concerns are:			
ı	<u>do</u> support the prop	osed rezoning of the subject parc	el.	
√ 1	<u>do not</u> support the բ	proposed rezoning of the subject p	parcel.	
	Written subr	rovide any comments you wish the missions will be considered by the to 1st reading of Amendment Byla	Regional District Bo	
because I stays oper compost fa	am opposed to the longer than neces acility and landfill as	and past testing data for leachar proposed new compost facility's sary, further damaging the loca S ONE ISSUE and MOVE THESE ROM LAKES, WATER SOURCE	s location. It will en I environment.Plea TWO FACILITIES	sure the landfill se bundle the TO A NEW
move awa PLEASE C OTHER E	y from the Bench to CONTACT ME TO L NVIRONMENTAL N	on these grounds: both the land o prevent further pollution. LET ME KNOW HOW I CAN AC MEASUREMENTS AROUND TH dest, made in person at your off	CCESS THE LEACH	HATE AND ALL

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.



TO:

Feedback Form

FILE NO .

F2023 008-70NF

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

10.	Megional District	Ol Okallagali Sillilika	iiiiceii	TIEL ITO	12025.000 20112
FROM:	Name:	Joshua	Edwar (please	print)	
	Street Address:			a rd. Pen	ticton
	Date:	18th of C	October,	2023	
RE:		/ Zoning Amendmen //ountain Road — Su	•		lan 1190
My comm	ents / concerns are:				
	I <u>do</u> support the prop	osed rezoning of the	subject parcel		
\times	l <u>do not</u> support the _l	proposed rezoning o	f the subject pa	arcel.	
	Written sub	rovide any comment missions will be cons to 1 st reading of Am	idered by the F	Regional District Bo	
Lech	ing into the	soils with	agricul	twe in the	e avea that
The	reased truff heavy truck	ic load on	the road	ds to the	area by
? =					
:					

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.



Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO:	Regional District of Okanagan Similkamee	en FILE NO.:	E2023.008-ZONE		
FROM:	Name:	Miller			
		(please print)			
	Street Address:	- Bench Rd.	S		
	Date:	16/23	¥		
RE:	Okanagan Valley Zoning Amendment By 1313 Greyback Mountain Road — Sublot	aw No. 2800.24 8, District Lot 2711, SDYD, F	Plan 1190		
My comments / concerns are:					
I do support the proposed rezoning of the subject parcel.					
I do not support the proposed rezoning of the subject parcel.					
Provide proposed verolling of the subject purcei.					
Please provide any comments you wish the Board to consider. Written submissions will be considered by the Regional District Board prior to 1 st reading of Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24.					
T	tis the land	Where	the		
E	14 live & bre	eed. I	have		
Seev	Then with	my ver	/ OWh		
- 444	s. Please lear	e from	B		
also	bur roads cant	take the	extra large		
TUCC					

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting.



Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9 Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email:

TO:	Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen FILE NO.: E2023.008-	ZONE			
FROM:	Name: Michele a Chris Curley (please print)				
	Street Address: Pinetree Way Penticton BC	- %			
	Date: October 12,2023	-			
RE:	Okanagan Valley Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24 1313 Greyback Mountain Road — Sublot 8, District Lot 2711, SDYD, Plan 1190				
My comments / concerns are:					
I do support the proposed rezoning of the subject parcel. I do not support the proposed rezoning of the subject parcel.					
Please provide any comments you wish the Board to consider. Written submissions will be considered by the Regional District Board prior to 1 st reading of Amendment Bylaw No. 2800.24.					
This is not an industrial site. This type of facility belones in a properly zoned industrial area where other impact is minimal to wildlife , properly managed to ensure it is maintains its integredy.					
	RECEIVED Regional District				

Feedback Forms must be completed and returned to the Regional District prior to noon on the day of the applicable Regional District Board meeting artin Street

Lauri Feindell

Subject:

FW: Public Hearing Date Change for RDOS File No. E2023.008-ZONE - 1313 Greyback Mountain Road

From: marc hubbard

Date: October 20, 2023 at 6:19:01 PM PDT

To: Jacquie Jackson

Subject: Re: Public Hearing Date Change for RDOS File No. E2023.008-ZONE - 1313 Greyback

Mountain Road

I will not be here to make the meeting but bottom line is that the first Re zoning Application was turned down because it valuable farm land and a huge wildlife corridor neither of those things have changed!! Marc